# School Performance Framework 2012

#### School: GATEWAY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 9692

# Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

les	Framework Points Earned	Plan Assignment
	at or above 59%	Performance
то	at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement
	at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
<sup>2</sup> Sch	below 37%	Turnaround

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Meets	81.3%	( 20.3 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	( 33.4 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	43.8%	( 11.0 out of 25 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		64.7%	( 64.7 out of 100 points )	

hools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage <sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

#### **Test Participation Rates**

Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

mproving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating					Student		Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	162	-	-	162	162	-	-	162
Mathematics	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	162	-	-	162	162	-	-	162
Writing	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	162	-	-	162	162	-	-	162
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	49	-	-	49	49	-	-	49
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Final plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report.

1

Level: E

Performance Indicators								ementary Scho
School: GATEWAY ELEMENTARY							District: WOODLAND PARK R	E-2 - 3020 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	155	78.71	67	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	155	77.42	65	
Writing	3	4		Meets	155	63.87	70	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	46	76.09	90	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	85	39	21	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	85	45	43	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	85	45	35	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
		•			Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	22	29	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	<u> </u>	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Mathematics	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	25	44	59	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	<u> </u>	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Writing	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	25	43	54	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	32	44	62	No
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				

coring Guide										Level:	
coring Guide for	Performanc	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report								
erformance Indi			· ·			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	vel Framework Poir	
<u> </u>	The scho	ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad	vanced was:								
		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200				Exceeds	1	4	16		
Academic	• belo	w the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-	10 baseline).		Meets		3	(4 for each	25	
Achievemen	t • belo	w the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-	10 baseline).		Approaching		2	content area)		
	• belo	w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).	Does Not Mee	t	1	1				
	If the sci	hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student o	growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA			
	• at o	r above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14		
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each			
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area		
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5	and 2 for	50	
Growth	lf the sci	hool does not meet the median adequate student gr	rowth percentile and its median s		TCAP	CELA	English				
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language		
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)		
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1				
	• belo	ow 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5			
	lf the sti	udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its medic	an student growth percent	ile was:						
	• at o	r above 60.		Exceeds	4						
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3					
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60			
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Meet 1			(4 for each of 5		
Growth Gaps	s If the stu	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student growth percentile and i	its median student growth	percentile w	vas:			subgroups in 3	25	
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	Exceeds		subject areas)		
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	1				
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2				
	• belo	ow 40.				Does Not Meet	t	1			
-Points for eac	h performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assi	gnment					
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Poin	t: The school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points el	gible.	
chievement;	• at or abov	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or a	above 59%				Performance	
rowth; Gaps	• at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or a	above 47% - below	59%			Improvement	
	• at or abov	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or a	above 37% - below	47%			Priority Improveme	
below 37.5% Does Not Meet					<ul> <li>below</li> </ul>	37%				Turnaround	
ool plan type	assignments										
		Plan description									
formance Plar	1	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	erformance Plan.	A school may not imple	ment a Prio	rity Improvement	and/or T	urnarou	nd Plan for longer than a comb	ined total of	
provement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years b	efore the D	istrict or Institute i	is requir	ed to res	structure or close the school. T	ne five	
ority Improver	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school year	rs commenc	es on July 1 during	g the sun	nmer im	mediately following the fall in v	vhich the	

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

# Reference

### 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing					
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)												
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.