Level: H

School: CENTENNIAL HIGH SCHOOL - 1402 District: PUEBLO CITY 60 - 2690 (1 Year')

Improvement

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.3%	(8.7 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

59.9%

(59.9 out of 100 points)

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates	s															
	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	98.6%	98.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	626	626	-	-	635	635
Mathematics	-	-	98.4%	98.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	625	625	-	-	635	635
Writing	-	-	98.7%	98.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	627	627	-	-	635	635
Science	-	-	97.9%	97.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	281	281	-	-	287	287
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.3%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	238	-	-	-	242	-





TOTAL

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High School
School: CENTENNIAL HIGH SCHOOL							District: PUEBLO CITY	60 - 2690 (1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	556	69.42	39	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	557	27.11	36	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	557	48.65	46	
Science	2	4		Approaching	239	46.03	41	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	519	53	19	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	521	53	97	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	520	49	57	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	03/0	Meets	261	50	43	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	300	50	26	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	42	47	93	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	35	49	32	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	193		69	No
Mathematics	12	20	60%	Approaching	193	34	09	INU
	2	4	00%		262	F1	99	No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	301	51 49	98	No No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	43	49 49	99	No No
	3	4		Approaching	36		99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets Meets	343	55	99	No No
Students needing to catch up			F00/		343	55	99	INO
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching	262		76	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	262	47	76	No No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	301	45	61	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	43	45	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	36	48	81	No
Students needing to catch up Total	2 35	4 60	58.3%	Approaching Approaching	259	50	91	No
						A.	Data /Casus	Fastatia.a
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4	CC 70/	Meets		262/255/ 225 /254	76.7/83.5/ 87.1 /83.5%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	3	66.7%	Meets		152 422 445 422	72/75 2/02/72 72/	0001
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets		163/133/ 115 /122	73/75.2/ 80 /78.7%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		130/130/ 91 /114	70/81.5/ 87.9 /79.8%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		19/N<16/N<16/ 20	31.6/-/-/ 65 %	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	L6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	,	1345	2.2%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		238	18.6	20.0
Total	10	15	66.7%	Meets				

Scoring Guide Level: H

erformance Indicato	rScoring Guide			Rati	g P	oint \	Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	el Framework Poin
•	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advan	nced was:						·	
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-:			Excee	ds	4	ı	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	•	ine).	Mee	s	3		(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile.	ntile of all schools (using 2009-10 basel	ine).	Approa	hing	2		content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba		,	Does No		1		_	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percent	•	ercentile was:		TC/	AP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.			Excee	ds 4		2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Mee	s 3		1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approa	hing 2		1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does No	Meet 1		0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grov	vth percentile and its median student a	rowth percentile was:	•	TC	AΡ	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.	,	·	Excee	ds 4		2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Mee			1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approa	-	$\overline{}$	1		
	• below 40.			Does No	Meet 1		0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median studer	nt growth percentile was:						
	• at or above 60.	·	,	Excee	ds	4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Mee	s	3	;		
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approa	hing	2	!	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does No	Meet	1		(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its media	n student growth percent	tile was:				subgroups in 3	15
•	• at or above 70.		,	Excee	ds	4	ļ	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Mee	s	3	}	1	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approa	hing	2	!	1	
	• below 40.			Does No	Meet	1			
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/disa	ggregated graduation	rate was:	Ove	rall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Excee	ds 4		1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.			Mee	s 3		0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approa	hing 2		0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does No	Meet 1		0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				<u> </u>			16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Excee	ds	4		(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness		0 baseline).		Mee		3	}	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-			Approa		2		-	
	• above 10%.			Does No		1		_	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	Colorado ACT composite score was	•	2003110	ecc				
	• at or above 22.	olorado Ner composite score was	•	Excee	le I	4	1	-	
	at or above 22: at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)) haseline)		Mee		3		1	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10) at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)	,		Approa	-	2		-	
	• below 17.	buseline).		Does No		1		1	
					IVICCU		-		
	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty		_				
	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the				ool earne	ed (of the to	otal Framework points eligi	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 609					Performance
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 479					Improvement
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 339	- below 47	%			Priority Improvemen
•	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%					Turnaround

Cut-Points for eac	h performan	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	at or abov	re 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	re 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching		Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Peri	formance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longe	er than a combined total of			
Improvement Plan	1	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or close	the school. The five			
Priority Improvem	represent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Turi	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaroun	nd Plan.			

3

SPF 2012 - 2690 - 1402

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 2690 - 1402

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	73.5	81.8	82.9	83.5
Anticipated Year	2009	79.2	84	87.1	
of Graduation	2010	76.4	83.5		
	2011	76.7			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	64.8	76.2	77.9	78.7
Anticipated Year	2009	69.7	75	80	
of Graduation	2010	67.2	75.2		
	2011	73			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	66.7	77.7	79.5	79.8
Anticipated Year	2009	77.9	83	87.9	
of Graduation	2010	72.2	81.5		
	2011	70			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	40	61.1	61.1	65
Anticipated Year	2009	23.5	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	35.3	N<16		
	2011	31.6			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	73.5	81.8	82.9	83.5
Anticipated Year	2009	79.2	84	87.1	
of Graduation	2010	76.4	83.5		
	2011	76.7			
	Aggregated	76.4	83.1	84.9	83.5

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	64.8	76.2	77.9	78.7
Anticipated Year	2009	69.7	75	80	
of Graduation	2010	67.2	75.2		
	2011	73			
	Aggregated	68.9	75.5	78.9	78.7

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	66.7	77.7	79.5	79.8
Anticipated Year	2009	77.9	83	87.9	
of Graduation	2010	72.2	81.5		
	2011	70			
	Aggregated	71.4	80.7	83.3	79.8

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	40	61.1	61.1	65
Anticipated Year	2009	23.5	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	35.3	N<16		
	2011	31.6			
	Aggregated	33.3	56.5	58.6	65

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	48.8	75.9	70.6	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 2690 - 1402