School Performance Framework 2012

School: CHERAW HIGH SCHOOL - 1546

Level: H

District: CHERAW 31 - 2560 (3 Year¹)

		•				
,	er	'nΩ	rm	ar	nce	
	.			u .		

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	68.8%	(10.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	83.3%	(29.2 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	70.8%	(10.6 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	82.7%	(28.9 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		79.0%	(79.0 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

% of Students Tested				Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	75	75	-	-	75	75
Mathematics	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	75	75	-	-	75	75
Writing	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	75	75	-	-	75	75
Science	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	36	36	-	-	36	36
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	37	-	-	-	37	-

SCHOOLVIeW.org

Performance Indicators School: CHERAW HIGH SCHOOL							District: CHERAW	vel: High Scho
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	31 - 2560 (3 fea
Reading	3	4	<i>70 PUIIILS</i>	Meets	75		62	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	75	21.33	28	
Writing	3	4		Meets	75	60	75	
Science	3	4		Meets	36	61.11	73	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets	50	01.11	75	
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	71	48	20	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	71	58	94	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	71	61	46	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)								
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
				-	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	46	48	24	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	20	51	65	No
Mathematics	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	46	59	94	No
Minority Students	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	45	64	99	No
Writing	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	46	55	48	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	28	65	87	No
Total	17	24	70.8%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		56/ 45 /32/19	89.3/ 91.1 /90.6/89.5%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0.75	1	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets		22/ 18 /N<16/N<16	81.8/ 83.3 /-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0		-		16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<:	16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate Colorado ACT Composite Score	4	4		Exceeds		198	0.5%	3.9%
	2	4		Approaching		37	19.6	20.1

SPF 2012 - 2560 - 1546

										Leven
coring Guide for Perf	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Fram	ework Report								
Performance Indicator	rScoring Guide					Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	l Framework Poi
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advo	anced was:								
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009)	-10 baseline).				Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	ntile of all schools (using 2009-10 b	baseline).			Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	ntile of all schools (using 2009-10 b	baseline).			Approaching		2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	aseline).				Does Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth per	centile and its median student grow	wth percen	ntile was:			TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.					Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.					Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.					Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.					Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student gro	wth percentile and its median stude	dent growtl	h percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.					Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.					Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.					Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student	growth percentile and its median st	student gro	owth percentile was:					4	
	• at or above 60.					Exceeds		4	4	
	below 60 but at or above 45.					Meets		3	4	
	below 45 but at or above 30.					Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.					Does Not Meet	et 1		(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate	student growth percentile and its m	median stu	ident growth percent	ile was	s:			subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3	4					
	below 55 but at or above 40.					Approaching		2	4	
	• below 40.		1.1.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate	: The school's graduation rate/	/disaggre	egated graduation	rate v		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4	1	-					
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.					Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2	0.5	-					
	• below 65%.					Does Not Meet	1	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:								16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.					Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Vorkforce Readiness						Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009	-10 baseline).				Approaching		2		
	above 10%.					Does Not Meet		1	1	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	Colorado ACT composite score	e was:			<u>.</u>				
	• at or above 22.					Exceeds		4	_	
	 at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-1 					Meets		3	_	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-1	.0 baseline).				Approaching		2	4	
	• below 17.					Does Not Meet		1		
ut-Points for each pe	rformance indicator		Cut	-Points for plan ty	pe as	ssignment				
Cut	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	this Indicator.			Cut P	oint: The school	earned	. of the to	otal Framework points eligibl	e.
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds				or above 60%			······································	Performance
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Т	otal Framework		or above 47% - belo	ow 60%			Improvement
· · ·	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%		Points		 at or above 33% - below 80% 			PI	Priority Improvement	
	below 37.5%				elow 33%				Turnaround	
hool plan type assig		Does Not Meet					_			
he to the	Plan description									
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	urformance Plan	A cob-	ol may not implace	+ - Dr.	iority Improvement	and /or To	rparound	lan for longer than a combined to	atal of
									Plan for longer than a combined to	
nprovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an li	•	_	,			•		cture or close the school. The five	
riority Improvement	Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consec	cutive school years co	mmer	nces on July 1 during	g the sum	mer immed	liately following the fall in which t	he
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Tu	Irnaround Plan.	school	is notified that it is r	equire	ed to implement a Pr	iority Imp	rovement	or Turnaround Plan.	

Scoring Guide

Level: H

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing		Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	89.5	89.5	89.5	89.5
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	89.5	89.5	89.5	89.5
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	89.3	91.1	90.6	89.5

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	81.8	83.3	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	•				

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			