Level: EMH

School: PEAK VIRTUAL ACADEMY - 6262 District: MONTROSE COUNTY RE-1J - 2180 (1 Year³)

Performance (Revised)

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	I
Academic Growth	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	ı
Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	I.
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	-	-	(0 out of 0 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	1

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates	5															
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participat	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	2	10	11	23	2	10	11	23
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	2	10	11	23	2	10	11	23
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	91.7%	95.8%	-	-	-	Meets	2	10	11	23	2	10	12	24
Science	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	1	7	6	14	1	7	6	14
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary Scho
School: PEAK VIRTUAL ACADE	MY						District: MONTROSE COUNTY R	E-1J - 2180 (1 Ye
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Fotal	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Proficiency (CELAp	ro)			-				
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	=	=	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	=	=	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	=	=	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Vriting	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-

2

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Schoo
School: PEAK VIRTUAL ACADEMY	′						District: MONTROSE COUNTY R	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		<u> </u>	N<16	- -		
Mathematics	0	0		_	N<16	-	- -	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)		'		-	'			
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	,-	-	N<20	-	. <u>-</u>	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		<u>-</u>	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	- -	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				

3

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: High Scho
School: PEAK VIRTUAL ACADEMY							District: MONTROSE COUNTY RE	-1J - 2180 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Mathematics	0	0		_	N<16	_	-	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	_	-	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	_	-	
Total	0	0	%	-				
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)				-				
Total	0	0	%	-				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth		Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	=	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	- -	-
Minority Students	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	_
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	=	- -	-
English Learners	0	0	-	_	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		_	N<20		- -	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Farned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	. Omits Earned	. Omits Englishe	, o . o	nating		-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate			%			1 1 1	11170	3070
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible			,,,	_		-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students				<u> </u>		-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities						-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners						-/-/-/- -/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate						-1-1-1-		3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score							/0	20.0
colorado Aci composite scole			%					20.0

Scoring Guide
Level: EMH

rformance Indica	rformance Indicators on the School Performan				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	vel Framework Po
rjormanee marea	The school's percentage of students scoring proficies	nt or advanced was:			nating	7 01110	Vuiuc	Total Tossible per Elvin Le	ven rame work r o
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (use)				Exceeds	Π	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 5) haseline)		Meets		3	(4 for each	
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 1				Approaching		2	content area)	
Acilievellient	below the 35th percentile of all schools (using 2)		buseline).		Does Not Meet		1	- content area)	
	If the school meets the median adequate student gr	•	wth nercentile was:		DOCS NOT WICE	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	oven percentile and its meanan stadent gro	wen percentine was		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate sti	ident arowth percentile and its median stu	dent arowth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
Growth	• at or above 70.	adent growin percentile and its median sta	dent growth percentile was.		Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1	proficiency	
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate	student growth percentile and its median	student growth percentile was	5:	2 3 CS THOC WICCO				
	• at or above 60.		status growth percentile was		Exceeds	1	4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3	1	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median a	dequate student arowth percentile and its	median student arowth nerce	ntile was		1		subgroups in 3	
Growth Gups	• at or above 70.	acquate stauent growth percentile una tes	median stadent growth percei	Exceeds	I	4	subject areas)		
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	3dbjeet areasj		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	†		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet		1	1	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduat.	ion Rate: The school's araduation rate	e/disaggregated graduation	n rate wa		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	on nate. The school's graduation rate	., aisaggregatea graadatio	mate wa	Exceeds	4	1	1	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.5	-	
	• below 65%.				Does Not Meet	1	0.25	1	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				DOCS NOT WICE		0.23	16	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Fyenede	ı	4	-	
ostsecondary and		2000 40 L L \			Exceeds			(4 for each sub-	
orkforce Readine		<u> </u>			Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (u	sing 2009-10 baseline).			Approaching		2	-	
	• above 10%.				Does Not Meet		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's o	iverage Colorado ACT composite score	e was:		1 .			l .	
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds		4	4	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using the state average but below 22).	•			Meets		3	4	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using the state average)	ng 2009-10 baseline).			Approaching		2	4	
	• below 17.				Does Not Meet		1		
t-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assig	nment				
C	ut Point: The school earned of the points elig	ible on this Indicator.		Cut Poir	nt: The school	earned	. of the to	otal Framework points elig	ble.
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or	above 60%			·	Performance
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or	above 47% - belo	ow 60%			Improvement
_	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or	above 33% - beld	ow 47%			Priority Improveme
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• belov					Turnaround
ool plan type ass									
ASSES, 1940.	Plan description								
formance Plan	·	ment a Berformance Dian	A sahaal marria at institut	ont o Dala 1	tu lanara:	and/7	**************************************	Non for langue there	l total of
iormance Plan	The school is required to adopt and imple The school is required to adopt and imple							Plan for longer than a combined	
rovement Plan					trict or loctitute	ic vocuivo	to roctrue	ture or close the school. The fi	10

5

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

SPF 2012 - 2180 - 6262

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 2180 - 6262

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				
	Aggregated				

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				
	Aggregated				

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				
	Aggregated				

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				
	Aggregated				

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

7

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				
	Aggregated				

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.