Level: MH

School: CALICHE JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 1224 District: VALLEY RE-1 - 1828 (1 Year')

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Framework Points Earned
at or above 60%
at or above 47% - below 60%
at or above 33% - below 47%
below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Exceeds	100.0%	(15.0 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	83.3%	(29.2 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		81.8%	(81.8 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rate	s															
		% of Stu	idents Tested			Particip	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	48	50	98	-	48	50	98
Mathematics	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	48	50	98	-	48	50	98
Writing	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	48	50	98	-	48	50	98
Science	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	24	32	56	-	24	32	56
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	-	-	-	19	-





Performance Indicators School: CALICHE JUNIOR-SENIO	OR HIGH SCHOOL						District: VALLEY RI	F-1 - 1828 (1 Ye
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eliaible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	1 1010 (110
Reading	3	4	70 7 0 11 10	Meets	44	77.27	63	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	44	52.27	49	
Writing	3	4		Meets	44	68.18	72	
Science	3	4		Meets	22	72.73	86	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	41	57	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	41	45	64	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	41	58	50	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELAp	ro)			-				
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Vriting	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
		_						
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-

2

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High School
School: CALICHE JUNIOR-SENIOR HIG	H SCHOOL						District: VALLEY R	E-1 - 1828 (1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	48	77.08	66	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	48	45.83	77	
Writing	3	4		Meets	48	64.58	81	,,
Science	4	4		Exceeds	32	78.13	95	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	46	53	7	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	46	71	85	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	46	50	43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)				-				
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Anadamia Cuandh Cana	Dainta Farmand	Dainta Flinible	0/ Dainta	Doubles or	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Mathematics	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	24	72	95	No
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		19 /N<16/23/20	100/-/100/100%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0	0	%	-			• • •	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<1	16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0		_		L6/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		_		L6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-		L6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		96	0%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		19	18.6	20.0
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
rotar	10	12	03.3/0	ivicets				

Scoring Guide Level: MH

formance Indicate	orScoring Guide			Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Poi
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or o	advanced was:					,	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 20			Exceeds	Т	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per		eline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile.	ercentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bas	Approachin	g	2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1)		,	Does Not Me	et	1	1	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth	,	percentile was:		TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approachin	g 2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Me	et 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student	growth percentile and its median student	t growth percentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English	
	at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approachin	g 2	1		
	• below 40.			Does Not Me	et 1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate stude	ent growth percentile and its median stud	ent growth percentile was:	_				
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds		4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approachin	g	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Me	et	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequa	ate student growth percentile and its med	lian student growth percent	ile was:			subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approachin	g	2		
	• below 40.			Does Not Me	et	1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Re	ate: The school's graduation rate/dis	saggregated graduation	rate was:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approachin	g 2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Me	et 1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						16	
stsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readines	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 20)	09-10 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2)	009-10 baseline).		Approachin	g	2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Me	et	1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	ge Colorado ACT composite score w	as:					
	at or above 22.			Exceeds	1	4	1	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 200)	09-10 baseline).		Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 200)	09-10 baseline).		Approachin	g	2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Me	et	1		
Points for each n	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	ne assignment				
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible o	on this Indicator	cut i omits for plum ty		Loarnod	of the t	otal Framework points eligible	2
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	r carried .	. or the t	otal Trainework points eligible	Performance
			Total Framework	• at or above 60%	alow 60%			Improvement
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Points					
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% below 37.5%	Approaching Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 33% - b	EIUW 4/%		Pi	iority Improveme Turnaround
	1161(110) 3 / 5%	LIGES NOT MEET		- UCIUW 3570				Turnaround

	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framewor	k points eligible.			
Achievement;	at or abov	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	at or abov	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					
Improvement Plan	1	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the	e school. The five			
Priority Improvem	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Price	rity Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years co	ommences on July 1 during the summer immediately following	the fall in which the			
Turnaround Plan	naround Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan. school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.								

4

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

5



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 1828 - 1224

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	100	100	100	100
Anticipated Year	2009	100	100	100	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	100			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

<16 N<16	
11110	N<16
<16 N<16	
<16	
	<16 N<16

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	100	100	100	100
Anticipated Year	2009	100	100	100	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	100			
	Aggregated	98.7	98.3	100	100

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	97.6	97	100	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

6

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 1828 - 1224