School Performance Framework 2012

School: BRANSON UNDIVIDED HIGH SCHOOL - 0978

Level: MH

District: BRANSON REORGANIZED 82 - 1750 (3 Year¹)

L - 0978

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Framework Points Earned	Post
at or above 60%	
at or above 47% - below 60%	Test
at or above 33% - below 47%	_
below 33%	тот
	at or above 60% at or above 47% - below 60% at or above 33% - below 47%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	T
-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	1
-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	I
Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
eets 95% Participation Rate			
	75.1%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
	- - - Meets	Meets 95% Participation Rate	- - (0.0 out of 0 points) - - (0.0 out of 0 points) - - (0.0 out of 0 points) Meets 75.0% (26.3 out of 35 points)

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

		% of Stu	idents Tested			Participat	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	8	4	12	-	8	4	12
Mathematics	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	8	4	12	-	8	4	12
Writing	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	8	4	12	-	8	4	12
Science	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	3	4	7	-	3	4	7
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	6	-



SCHOOLVIEW.org

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Scho
School: BRANSON UNDIVIDED	D HIGH SCHOOL						District: BRANSON REORGANIZED) 82 - 1750 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	-	_	
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	-	_	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	_	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	_	
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Proficiency (CELA	pro)			-				
Total	0	0	%	-				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-		l'éléchtiké	, creentine	Growth.
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	70	-	N<20	-		-
Minority Students	0	0			N<20			
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20			
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20			-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20			
Mathematics	0	0	%	-	11120			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	/6	-	N<20	-		-
Minority Students	0	0			N<20	-	_	
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0			N<20	-	<u> </u>	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-		_
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20			-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Total	0	0	%					

Arademic Points Earned Points Eligible % Proficient/Advanced School's Percentile Mathematics 0 0 1 N-16 - - Mathematics 0 0 N-16 - - - Writing 0 0 N-16 - - - Science 0 0 N-16 - - - Total 0 0 % - - - - Academic Growth Points Eligible % Points Rating M Medican Growth Percentile Made Adequa Mathematics 0 0 - N-20 - - Mathematics 0 0 - N-20 - - Total 0 0 % - - - - Academic Growth Gaps Points Eligible % Points Rating N Subgroup Median Adequate Made Adequate Academic Growth Gaps Points Eligible % Points Ra	Performance Indicators School: BRANSON UNDIVIDED HIGH S	SCHOOL						District: BRANSON REORGANIZED	vel: High Scho 82 - 1750 (3 Yea
Mathematics 0 0 . M+16 .			Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced		
Writing 0 0 . N N . </td <td>Reading</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>N<16</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>	Reading	0			-	N<16	-	-	
Selence 0 0 N </td <td>Mathematics</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>N<16</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>	Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Ordel 0 % . Scodenic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Reading N Median Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Percentile Growth Percentile Made Adequate Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Percentile Made Adequate Growth Percentile Rading 0 0 N N Median Growth Percentile N N Mathematics 0 0 N<	Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Academic Growth Points Earred Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Percentile Made Adequate Growth Percentile Growth? Mathematics 0 0 - N-20 - <td>Science</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>N<16</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>	Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Academic GrowthPoints Earling be BeadingPoints Eligible NPoints Eligible NMedian Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Percentile NGrowth Percentile NMathematics00NNN	Total	0	0	%	-				
Mathematics 0 0 N <th< td=""><td>Academic Growth</td><td>Points Earned</td><td>Points Eligible</td><td>% Points</td><td>Rating</td><td>N</td><td>Median Growth Percentile</td><td>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</td><td>Made Adequate Growth?</td></th<>	Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Wring 0 0 N=20 . . . English Language Proficiency (ELApro) 0 % - . <td>Reading</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>N<20</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>-</td>	Reading	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
English Language Proficiency (CELApre) Total 0 % - Cardamic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eigible % Points Subgroup Median Growth Subgro	Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total 0 % - Accdemic Growth Gops Points Eligible Points Eligible % Subgroup Median Growth N Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile Made Adequate Growth Percentile Reading 0 0 % -	Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Academic Growth Gaps Points Eurnel Points Eligible % Points Rating N Subgroup Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile Made Adequate Growth Percentile Made Adequate Growth Percentile Made Adequate Growth Percentile Made Adequate Growth Percentile Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<20	English Language Proficiency (CELApro)				-				
Academic Growth Gaps Points Etarned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Percentile Growth Percentile Growth Percentile Reading 0 0 % - <td< td=""><td>Total</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>%</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Total	0	0	%	-				
Reading 0 % - Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<20	Academic Crowth Cane	Doints Formod	Dointe Flisible	% Dointe	Datina			• • •	Made Adequate
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 N<20 - <th< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Ruting</td><td>N</td><td>rercentile</td><td>Growin Percentile</td><td>Growth?</td></th<>	-				Ruting	N	rercentile	Growin Percentile	Growth?
Minority Students 0 0 N N 20 .		-	-	%	-				
Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20	-		-						
English Learners 0 0 - N<20 -		-	-						
Students needing to catch up 0 0 . N<20 . <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			-						
Mathematics 0 0 % - Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<20	-		-						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 . N<20 . <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>IN<20</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></th<>						IN<20	-	-	-
Minority Students 0 0 N<20 -		-		70		N -20			
Students with Disabilities 0 0 N<20 - N<20 - <		-	-						
English Learners 0 0 . N<20 .	•	-	-						
Students needing to catch up 0 0 N N 20 -		-	-						
Writing 0 0 % - Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<20			-						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<20 - <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>IN<20</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></th<>			-		-	IN<20	-	-	-
Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 - N - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	-	_	-	%	-	N -20			
Students with Disabilities 0 0 N<20 - N<20 - <									
English Learners 0 0 - N<20 -	-	-	-						
Students needing to catch up 0 0 - N<20 - N Colorado Act - - N - N - N - - N - N 0 0 0 - N - - N 10 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>n</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			-	n					
Total00%-Postsecondary and Workforce ReadinessPoints EarnedPoints Eligible% PointsRatingNRate/ScoreExpectationGraduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/fyr/7yr00-N<16/N<16/N<16		-	-						
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-/% 80% Disaggregated Graduation Rate 0 0 % - - N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-/% 80% Disaggregated Graduation Rate 0 0 % - - N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-/% 80% Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-/% 80% Minority Students 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-/% 80% Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-/% 80% English Learners 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-/-% 80% Dropout Rate 3 4 Meets 52 1.9% 3.9% Colorado ACT Composite Score 0 0 - 6 - 20.1			-	%		11<20	-	-	-
Disaggregated Graduation Rate 0 0 % - Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16				% Points	Rating				Expectation
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-% 80% Minority Students 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16		-	-		-	N<:	16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-% 80% Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16				%					_
Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-% 80% English Learners 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16		-	-						
English Learners 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-% 80% Dropout Rate 3 4 Meets 52 1.9% 3.9% Colorado ACT Composite Score 0 0 - 6 - 20.1	· · ·				-		<u> </u>		
Dropout Rate 3 4 Meets 52 1.9% 3.9% Colorado ACT Composite Score 0 0 - 6 - 20.1									
Colorado ACT Composite Score 0 0 - 6 - 20.1						N<:			
		-					6	-	20.1

coring Guide										Level:
oring Guide for Per	formance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report								
erformance Indicate						Rating	Poin	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	el Framework P
ijoimanee maleate	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or adva	nced was:				nuting	1 0 11	Vulue		ch rumework r
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-					Exceeds	1	4	16	
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile 		haseline)			Meets		3	(4 for each	
	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percent below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percent 					Approaching		2		
Achievement			baseline).						content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba If the school meets the median adequate student growth percent		uth narcantila was		P	oes Not Meet	ТСАР	1 CELA		
	• at or above 60.	entile und its medium student gro	win percentile wus.		r	Exceeds	4 1CAP	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.					Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	 below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30. 						2	1.5		
Acadamia						Approaching	1	0.5	content area	
Academic	• below 30.				P	oes Not Meet	ТСАР	CELA	and 2 for English	
Growth		chool does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:								
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.					Meets Approaching	3	1.5	proficiency)	
		55 but at or above 40.							_	
	• below 40.				D	oes Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median s	tudent growth percentile wo	as:			1		_	
	• at or above 60.					Exceeds		4	_	
	below 60 but at or above 45.					Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.					Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.					oes Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its	median student growth perce	entil	e was:				subgroups in 3	
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.					Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.					Approaching		2		
	• below 40.				D	oes Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate	/disaggregated graduati	ion r	ate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.					Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.					Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.					Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.				D	oes Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:								16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.					Exceeds	l – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines		0 haseline)				Meets		3	indicator)	
orkioree nedames	 at or below the state average bat above 1% (using 2009-1 at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-1 					Approaching		2		
	 at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2005) above 10%. 	io bascincj.				oes Not Meet		1	-	
		Colorado ACT composito score			P	des Not Meet	•	1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	colorudo ACT composite score	wus.		- T	Europe de	1	4	-	
	• at or above 22.					Exceeds		4	-	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-1)					Meets		3	-	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-1)	J baseline).				Approaching		2	-	
	• below 17.				U	oes Not Meet		1		
-Points for each p	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	n typ	oe assignn	nent				
Cu	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.		C	Cut Point:	The school of	earned	. of the t	otal Framework points eligib	le.
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds			• at or ab	ove 60%				Performance
—	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	k –	• at or ab	ove 47% - belo	ow 60%			Improvemen
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points			ove 33% - belo				Priority Improver
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet			below 3					Turnaround
ool plan type assi										
oor plan type assi										
	Plan description		1							
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rtormance Plan.	- ' '		,	•	,		Plan for longer than a combined	
rovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Ir	nprovement Plan.	five consecutive years be	efore	e the Distric	ct or Institute	is require	l to restru	cture or close the school. The five	2
ority Improvemen	t Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Pri	ority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school vears	s cor	nmences o	n July 1 durine	g the sum	ner immed	liately following the fall in which	the

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Perc	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008-	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year	
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16	
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16		
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16			
	2011	N<16				
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16	

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		-01			
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			