School Performance Framework 2012

School: BRANSON SCHOOL ONLINE - 0948

Improvement

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Ρ
Performance	at or above 60%	_
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	T
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	_
Turnaround	below 33%	т

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

			District: Dist	
Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	66.7%	(10.0 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	63.9%	(22.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	57.1%	(8.6 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	32.1%	(11.2 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		52.2%	(52.2 out of 100 points)	
² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points and the points eligible, so scores are not negative		numbers of s	tudents. In these cases, the point	s are removed from both the points earne

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

		% of Stude	nts Tested		Participation Rating					Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	98.2%	99.1%	97.6%	98.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	55	109	83	247	56	110	85	251
Mathematics	98.2%	100.0%	98.8%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	54	110	84	248	55	110	85	250
Writing	98.2%	100.0%	98.8%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	54	110	84	248	55	110	85	250
Science	100.0%	98.1%	100.0%	99.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	19	52	33	104	19	53	33	105
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	31	-	-	-	31	-

1



District: BRANSON REORGANIZED 82 - 1750 (1 Year¹)

Performance Indicators							Level: Ele	ementary Schoo
School: BRANSON SCHOOL OF	NLINE						District: BRANSON REORGANIZED	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	54	81.48	74	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	52	75	60	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	53	49.06	40	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	19	78.95	93	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	33	36	18	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	32	49	40	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	33	57	43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELA	pro)			-				
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subaraun	Subarous Modian Crowth	Cubarous Madian Adamsta	Made Adequate
Acadomic Crowth Cana	Deinte Ferned	Dointe Fligible	% Points	Detine	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	/N	Percentile	Growin Percentile	Growth
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20 N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students needing to catch up	0	0			N<20	-		-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-	IN<20	-	-	-
		-	70	-	N -20			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20 N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20 N<20			-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20			-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20			-
Writing	0	0	%	-	11-20	-		-
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	/0	-	N<20		<u> </u>	_
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20			-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20			-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20			-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20			
Total	0	0	%	-	11/20	-		-
TULAI	U	U	70	-				

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Schoo
School: BRANSON SCHOOL ON	ILINE					Di	strict: BRANSON REORGANIZE	D 82 - 1750 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	100	79	68	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	100	48	42	
Writing	3	4		Meets	101	61.39	56	
Science	2	4		Approaching	47	42.55	39	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	88	46	23	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	88	34	63	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	89	47	45	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELAp	pro)			-				
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	75%	Meets		Fercentile	Growth Fercentile	Growin:
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	/5/0	-	N<20		<u>-</u>	
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	35	46	- 23	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-			-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20			
Students needing to catch up	0	0			N<20			
Mathematics	3	8	37.5%	Approaching	1120	÷		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	_	<u>-</u>	<u>.</u>
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	36	34	60	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	33	40	93	No
Writing	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20		-	_
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	35	54	47	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	29	47	75	No
Total	11	20	55%	Approaching	-		-	

Performance Indicators								el: High Schoo
School: BRANSON SCHOOL ONLINE							ct: BRANSON REORGANIZED	82 - 1750 (1 Yeai
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	70	81.43	78	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	71	32.39	48	
Writing	3	4		Meets	71	50.7	51	
Science	2	4		Approaching	29	48.28	46	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	57	56	15	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	60	50	87	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	57	57	46	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)				-				
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	nating			Giowani creentine	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	/0	-	N<20			
	0	0		-		-	-	-
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20 N<20			-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
	0	0			N<20	-		
Students needing to catch up Mathematics	2	4	50%		IN<20	-	-	-
			50%	Approaching	N - 20			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0			N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4	750/	Approaching	34	44	99	No
Writing	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up Total	3 5	4 8	62.5%	Meets Meets	24	66	81	No
	-	_						:
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N 17/32/105/1170	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4	250/	Does Not Meet		47 /72/106/179	57.4 /48.6/39.6/22.3%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0.5	2	25%	Does Not Meet		N 416 117 122 146	147 4 142 5 120 401	000/
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		N<16/17/23/46	-/ 47.1 /43.5/30.4%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		N<16/N<16/ 22 /39	-/-/ 27.3 /15.4%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet		271	10.3%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		31	19.1	20.0
Total	4.5	14	32.1%	Does Not Meet				

coring Guide										Level:
oring Guide for Perf	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report								
erformance Indicato	rScoring Guide					Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	velFramework F
-	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or adva	nced was:								
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-	10 baseline).				Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	ntile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).			Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	ntile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).			Approaching 2			content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	seline).				Does Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perc	entile and its median student gro	wth percentile was:				TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.					Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.					Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.					Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.					Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student group	wth percentile and its median stu	dent growth percentil	e was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.					Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.					Approaching	2	1	_	
	• below 40.					Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median s	student growth perce	ntile was:		I			_	
	• at or above 60.					Exceeds		4	_	
	below 60 but at or above 45.					Meets		3	_	
	below 45 but at or above 30.					Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.					Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its	median student grow	th percen	tile was:	*	-		subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.					Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.					Approaching		2		
	• below 40.					Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate	e/disaggregated gro	aduation	rate was	:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.					Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.					Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.					Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.					Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:								16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.					Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-1	0 baseline).				Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009	10 baseline).				Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.	,				Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average (Colorado ACT composite score	e was:							
	• at or above 22.					Exceeds	1	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-1) baseline).				Meets		3	-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-1	,				Approaching		2	-	
	• below 17.	,				Does Not Meet		1		
Deints for each no			Cut Dointe fo							
	erformance indicator		Cut-Points fo	or plan c	-					h.l.,
	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t						earned	. of the t	otal Framework points eligi	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Tables			above 60%	600/			Performance
· · ·	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Fram			bove 47% - belo				Improvemen
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Point	s		above 33% - belo	ow 47%			Priority Improve
	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet			below	33%				Turnaround
ool plan type assig	nments									
	Plan description									
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	formance Plan.	A school may not	impleme	nt a Priority	y Improvement	and/or Tu	rnaround	Plan for longer than a combined	total of
rovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Ir	nprovement Plan.	- '	•					cture or close the school. The fiv	
riority Improvement	Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Pri	ority Improvement Plan.	consecutive scho	ol years c	ommences	on July 1 during	g the sumi	ner imme rovement	diately following the fall in which	n the

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)												
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	21.8	22.8	22.3	22.3
Anticipated Year	2009	34.6	38	39.6	
of Graduation	2010	45.1	48.6		
	2011	57.4			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	21.8	22.8	22.3	22.3
Anticipated Year	2009	34.6	38	39.6	
of Graduation	2010	45.1	48.6		
	2011	57.4			
	Aggregated	33.6	32.8	28.8	22.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

	-	4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	29.5	32.6	30.4	30.4
Anticipated Year	2009	40.9	41.7	43.5	
of Graduation	2010	41.2	47.1		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	35.9	38.1	34.8	30.4

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	10	13.2	15.4	15.4
Anticipated Year	2009	22.7	27.3	27.3	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	25.6	24.3	19.7	15.4

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	31.8	31.8	26.3	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		1 00	-0		
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	29.5	32.6	30.4	30.4
Anticipated Year	2009	40.9	41.7	43.5	
of Graduation	2010	41.2	47.1		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	10	13.2	15.4	15.4
Anticipated Year	2009	22.7	27.3	27.3	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			