Level: N

School: WALT CLARK MIDDLE SCHOOL - 9228 District: THOMPSON R2-J - 1560 (1 Year')

# **Performance**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Framework Points Earned
at or above 59%
at or above 47% - below 59%
at or above 37% - below 47%
below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	( 18.8 out of 25 points )	]
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	( 37.5 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.3%	( 14.6 out of 25 points )	]
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		70.9%	( 70.9 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

<b>Test Participation Ra</b>	ites																	
% of Students Tested							Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall		
Reading	-	99.6%	-	99.6%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	527	-	527	-	529	-	529		
Mathematics	-	99.8%	-	99.8%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	528	-	528	-	529	-	529		
Writing	-	99.2%	-	99.2%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	525	-	525	-	529	-	529		
Science	-	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	170	-	170	-	170	-	170		
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		





Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Schoo
School: WALT CLARK MIDDLE SCH	HOOL						District: THOMPSON	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4	72.7 0 111.00	Meets	504	75.79	58	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	505	60.4	68	-
Writing	3	4		Meets	502	59.96	54	
Science	3	4		Meets	159	59.75	69	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	475	57	27	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	476	57	66	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	473	48	48	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		=	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	143	48	39	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	83	57	38	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	54	49	75	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	119	58	67	No
Mathematics	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	144	53	78	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	83	40	78	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	54	57	98	No
English Learners	0	0			N<20	-	-	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	191	63	93	No
Writing	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	142	40	59	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	82	42	58	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	54	36	88	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	166	42	79	No
	28		58.3%					

2

Scoring Guide	Level: M	

rmance Indicate	or Scoring Guide	Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	ramework Poin
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:					
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds	,	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	:	3	(4 for each	25
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	:	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:		TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Academic Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	1	content area	
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50
	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:					
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	:	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:				subgroups in 3	25
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	]	

						_			
	• belo	ow 40.			Does Not Meet	1			
Cut-Points for eac	h performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignment				
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned	d of the t	total Framework points eli	gible.	
Achievement;	• at or abo	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%			Performance	
Growth; Gaps	• at or abo	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	ó		Improvement	
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%		ove 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching		• at or above 37% - below 47%	• at or above 37% - below 47%			
	• below 37	.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%			Turnaround	
School plan type	assignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plar	1	The school is required to adopt and implement a P	erformance Plan.	A school may not implem	nent a Priority Improvement and/	or Turnarour	nd Plan for longer than a comb	ined total of	
Improvement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an	Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five					
Priority Improven	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a P	riority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the					
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a T	school is notified that it is	s required to implement a Priority	y Improveme	ent or Turnaround Plan.			

3

SPF 2012 - 1560 - 9228

### 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

## Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading		Math Elem Middle High				Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High				Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading Math						Writing		Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem Middle High			Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 1560 - 9228