School Performance Framework 2012

School: DUNN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 2298

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adop implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on overall framework score, which is a percentage of total points they earned out of the total points el in each performance indicator. The overall score is matched to the score ranges below to determin plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Improving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

Test Participation Rates

	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Studen	ts Tested		Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	
Reading	99.8%	-	-	99.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	606	-	-	606	607	-	-	607	
Mathematics	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	608	-	-	608	608	-	-	608	
Writing	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	607	-	-	607	607	-	-	607	
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	187	-	-	187	187	-	-	187	
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

1

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10,2010-11,2011-12

of Doints Eligible²

District: POUDRE R-1 - 1550 (3 Year	District:	POUDRE	R-1 - 1	550 (3	Year
-------------------------------------	-----------	--------	---------	--------	------

Level:

Rating/Plan % of	f Points Earned out of Points	Eligible ²
Meets 81	1.3% (20.3 out of 25 p	points)
Exceeds 92	2.9% (46.5 out of 50 p	oints)
Meets 70	0.0% (17.5 out of 25 p	oints)
% Participation Rate		
84	4.3% (84.3 out of 100)	points)
	Meets 81 Exceeds 92 Meets 70 3% Participation Rate	Meets 81.3% (20.3 out of 25 p) Exceeds 92.9% (46.5 out of 50 p) Meets 70.0% (17.5 out of 25 p) % Participation Rate 3%

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Performance Indicators								lementary Scho
School: DUNN ELEMENTARY SCH	00L						District: POUDRE	R-1 - 1550 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	590	84.75	82	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	591	85.79	86	
Writing	3	4		Meets	591	65.31	71	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	184	76.63	93	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	378	60	19	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	378	63	33	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	378	57	30	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2		Exceeds	118	66	45	Yes
Total	13	14	92.9%	Exceeds				
		·			Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	130	49	33	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	103	60	33	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	34	70	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	71	69	36	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	64	60	64	No
Mathematics	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	130	51	48	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	103	54	48	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	33	77	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	71	63	48	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	52	54	80	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	130	48	49	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	103	54	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	29	44	72	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	71	65	52	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	129	57	58	No
Total	42	60	70%	Meets				

SPF 2012 - 1550 - 2298

coring Guide										Level:			
coring Guide for	Performanc	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report										
erformance Indi			· ·			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	vel Framework Poir			
<u> </u>	The scho	ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad	vanced was:										
		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200				Exceeds	xceeds		16				
Academic	• belo	w the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-	10 baseline).		Meets	3		(4 for each	25			
Achievemen	t • belo	w the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-	Approaching		2	content area)						
	• belo	w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).	Does Not Mee	t	1	1						
	If the sci	hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student o	growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA					
	• at o	r above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14				
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each				
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area				
Academic	• belo	ow 30.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5	and 2 for	50				
Growth	lf the sci	hool does not meet the median adequate student gr	rowth percentile and its median s		TCAP	CELA	English						
	• at o	r above 70.		Exceeds	4	2	language						
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)				
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2	1							
	• belo	ow 40.		Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5							
	lf the sti	udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its medic	an student growth percent	ile was:								
• a		r above 60.		Exceeds		4							
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3							
below 45 but at or above 30.					Approaching		2	60					
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Meet	et 1		(4 for each of 5				
Growth Gaps	s If the stu	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student growth percentile and i	its median student growth	percentile w	vas:			subgroups in 3	25			
	• at o	r above 70.		Exceeds	1	4	subject areas)						
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3]						
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2							
	• belo	ow 40.				Does Not Meet	t	1					
-Points for eac	h performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assi	gnment							
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Poin	t: The school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points el	gible.			
chievement;	• at or abov	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or a	above 59%				Performance			
rowth; Gaps	• at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or a	above 47% - below	59%			Improvement			
	• at or abov	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or a	at or above 37% - below 47%				Priority Improveme			
	• below 37.5%		Does Not Meet	37%				Turnaround					
ool plan type	assignments												
		Plan description											
formance Plar	1	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	erformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of									
provement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years b	efore the D	istrict or Institute i	is requir	ed to res	structure or close the school. T	ne five			
ority Improver	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school year	rs commenc	es on July 1 during	g the sun	nmer im	mediately following the fall in v	vhich the			

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	nts Profi	oficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline					ne)						
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008-	-10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.