School Performance Framework 2012

School: POWDERHORN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 7128

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Tes
Performance	at or above 59%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	то
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	2
Turnaround	below 37%	² Sch

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	78.6%	(39.3 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	70.0%	(17.5 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		75.6%	(75.6 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

SCHOOLVIew.org

Test Participation Rates

mproving

Academic

Achievement

% of Students Tested						Participation Rating				Student	s Tested		Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	1123	-	-	1123	1123	-	-	1123
Mathematics	99.8%	-	-	99.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	1124	-	-	1124	1126	-	-	1126
Writing	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	1125	-	-	1125	1125	-	-	1125
Science	99.6%	-	-	99.6%	Meets	-	-	Meets	275	-	-	275	276	-	-	276
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

District: JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1 - 1420 (3 Year¹)

Level:

Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary Scho
School: POWDERHORN ELEMENT	ARY SCHOOL						District: JEFFERSON COUNTY	′ R-1 - 1420 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	1099	87.17	87	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1097	81.59	78	
Writing	3	4		Meets	1099	72.7	84	
Science	3	4		Meets	267	67.04	84	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	799	55	22	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	797	57	44	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	799	49	31	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2		Exceeds	53	68	52	Yes
Total	11	14	78.6%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequa
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	16	20	80%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	97	57	32	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	162	47	28	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	54	42	59	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	42	64	31	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	122	67	53	Yes
Mathematics	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	97	52	57	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	162	59	53	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	54	49	80	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	42	76	46	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	146	51	77	No
Writing	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	97	52	39	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	162	48	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	54	37	71	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	42	65	38	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	214	53	60	No
Total	42	60	70%	Meets				

Scoring Guide										Level: E
Scoring Guide for	Performanc	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report				_			
Performance Indi	catorScorin	g Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Point
	The sch	ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or adv	vanced was:							
		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200		Exceeds	· · ·	4	16			
Academic	• belo	ow the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-1	0 baseline).		Meets	3		(4 for each	25
Achievement	t • belo	ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-1	Approaching		2	content area)			
	• belo	ow the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).	Does Not Meet	et 1					
	If the sc	hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	rcentile and its median student gr	rowth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA		
	• at o	r above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14			
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each			
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• belo	ow 30.			C			0.5	and 2 for	50
Growth	If the sc	hool does not meet the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median st	udent growth percentile w	as:		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at o	r above 70.		Exceeds	4	2	language			
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1			
	• belo	ow 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the st	udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen		4						
	• at o	r above 60.		Exceeds	Neets 3					
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.		Meets						
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	s If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student growth percentile and its	s median student growth p	ercentile was	:			subgroups in 3	25
	• at o	r above 70.		Exceeds 4		4	subject areas)			
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3]		
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2			
	• belo	ow 40.		Does Not Meet		1				
t-Points for eac	ch performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment				
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: 1	The school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points eligi	ble.
Achievement;	• at or abo	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		 at or abo 	ve 59%				Performance
Growth; Gaps	• at or abo	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	at or abo	ove 47% - below 59%				Improvement
• at or ab		ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	at or abo	ve 37% - below	47%		I	Priority Improvement
below 37.5% Does Not Meet					below 37	%			Turnaround	
hool plan type a	assignments									
		Plan description								
erformance Plar	ı	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	erformance Plan.	A school may not implem	nent a Priority	Improvement a	and/or T	urnarou	nd Plan for longer than a combin	ed total of
nprovement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.			•			structure or close the school. The	
riority Improven		The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr					•		mediately following the fall in wh	

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	cient or A	dvanced	dvanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)											
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	
	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)													
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.