Level: MH

School: LA VETA JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 4864 District: LA VETA RE-2 - 1400 (3 Year')

# **Performance**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	59.4%	( 8.9 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	45.8%	( 16.0 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	44.1%	( 6.6 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	84.6%	( 29.6 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		61.1%	( 61.1 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

<b>Test Participation Rates</b>																
		% of Stu	idents Tested			Particip	ation Rating		Students Tested					Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	98.0%	98.4%	98.2%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	98	124	222	-	100	126	226
Mathematics	-	99.0%	99.2%	99.1%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	99	126	225	-	100	127	227
Writing	-	98.0%	98.4%	98.2%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	98	124	222	-	100	126	226
Science	-	98.1%	98.5%	98.3%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	51	65	116	-	52	66	118
Colorado ACT	-	=	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	66	-	-	-	66	-





Performance Indicators							Leve	l: Middle Schoo
School: LA VETA JUNIOR-SENIOR	HIGH SCHOOL						District: LA VETA F	E-2 - 1400 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	91	69.23	45	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	92	52.17	50	1
Writing	2	4		Approaching	90	56.67	46	'
Science	3	4		Meets	48	66.67	82	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	80	32	26	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	80	24	60	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	82	26	43	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	40	38	27	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	23	39	26	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0	- 1	=	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	-
Mathematics	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	22	64	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	32	64	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	26	35	92	No
Writing	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	25	51	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	26	43	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0	- 1	-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	28	26	73	No
Total	10	32	31.3%	Does Not Meet				

2

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
School: LA VETA JUNIOR-SENIOR HIG	H SCHOOL						District: LA VETA R	E-2 - 1400 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	122	66.39	35	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	123	26.83	40	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	122	41.8	33	
Science	3	4		Meets	63	68.25	86	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Acadomic Crowth	Dointe Farmed	Dainta Fliaibla	9/ Bointo	Destina	A.	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N			Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	111	48	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	111	50	92	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	111	46	65	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	8	12	66.7%	Meets		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	00.770	Meets	63	45	22	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	34	44	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		Approaching	N<20		-	
English Learners	0	0	-	<u> </u>	N<20	<del>_</del>		
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	42		70	No
<u> </u>	6	12	50%		42		70	INU
Mathematics			50%	Approaching	62	45	22	N
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	63	45	88	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	34	47	97	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	-
English Learners	0	0			N<20		-	
Students needing to catch up	2	4	===/	Approaching	72	54	99	No
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	63	49	61	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	34	40	79	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	59	52	92	No
Total	20	36	55.6%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		97/ <b>71</b> /48/27	90.7/ <b>93</b> /89.6/92.6%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	1	100%	Exceeds	,			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds		43/ <b>30</b> /21/N<16	90.7/ <b>93.3</b> /90.5/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<1	16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<1	16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		347	1.4%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets		66	20.8	20.1
Total	11	13	84.6%	Meets				

3

Scoring Guide Level: MH

corning dulue for Fei	formance Indicators on the School Performance	Framework Report						
erformance Indicate	or Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Poi
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:		·				
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using	ng 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	1	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50t	h percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	iseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th	h percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	iseline).	Approaching	5	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 200)	09-10 baseline).	•	Does Not Mee	et	1	1	
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov	vth percentile and its median student growt	h percentile was:	•	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	et 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	lent growth percentile and its median stude	nt growth percentile was:	•	TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1	1	
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	et 1	0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its median stu	dent growth percentile was:					
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	1	4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	5	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	et	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ade	equate student growth percentile and its me	edian student growth percent	ile was:	•		subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Exceeds	Τ	4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	1	3	1 ' '	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	1	
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	et	1	1	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduatio	n Rate: The school's graduation rate/a	lisaggregated graduation	rate was:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1	1	
	at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	1	
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	1	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Mee	et 1	0.25	_	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						16	
Postsecondary and	·			Exceeds	Τ	4	(4 for each sub-	35
Vorkforce Readines		2009-10 baseline).		Meets	1	3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using the state average)			Approaching		2	1	
	• above 10%.	16 2003 10 Busenney.		Does Not Mee		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's av	erage Colorado ACT composite score v	nuc.	DOCS NOT WELL	-4			
	• at or above 22.	erage colorado Aer composite score v		Exceeds	T	4	1	
	at or above 22.      at or above the state average but below 22 (using	2009-10 haseline)		Meets		3	1	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using     at or above 17 but below the state average (using	•		Approaching		2	1	
	• below 17.	, 2003 10 busemiej.		Does Not Mee		1	-	
					-4	1	1	
	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty					
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligib				l earned	. of the to	otal Framework points eligibl	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%				Performance
· -	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - be				Improvement
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching Approa	Points	• at or above 33% - be	low 47%		Pi	iority Improvemer Turnaround
_	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%				

at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%		Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%		Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
• below 37.5	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
ignments								
Plan description								
	The school is required to adopt and implement a Perf	ormance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a con	bined total of			
	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school.	The five			
wement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turi	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					
	• at or abov • below 37.! gnments	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% • below 37.5%  • plan description  The school is required to adopt and implement a Perf  The school is required to adopt and implement an Im  It Plan  The school is required to adopt and implement a Prio	<ul> <li>at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%         <ul> <li>below 37.5%</li></ul></li></ul>	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% • below 37.5% • below 37.5% • below 37.5% • Does Not Meet    Plan description	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%  • below 37.5% • below 33% • below 3			

4

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

## Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math	th Writing				Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

5



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 1400 - 4864

#### **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	92.6	92.6	92.6	92.6
Anticipated Year	2009	85.7	85.7	85.7	
of Graduation	2010	95.7	100		
	2011	88.5			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	92.6	92.6	92.6	92.6
Anticipated Year	2009	85.7	85.7	85.7	
of Graduation	2010	95.7	100		
	2011	88.5			
	Aggregated	90.7	93	89.6	92.6

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	90.7	93.3	90.5	N<16

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

6

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 1400 - 4864