### School Performance Framework 2012

#### School: PEAKVIEW SCHOOL - 0063

### Dui quitu duqua qua que

Priority Improvement

Will enter Year 3\* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

\* on July 1, 2013

# **Test Participation Rates**

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	6 of Points Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>									
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(12.5 out of 25 points)									
Academic Growth	Approaching	41.7%	( 20.9 out of 50 points )									
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	36.9%	( 9.2 out of 25 points )									
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate											
TOTAL		42.6%	( 42.6 out of 100 points )									

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Studen	ts Tested		Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	99.2%	-	99.5%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	309	239	-	548	310	241	-	551
Mathematics	99.7%	99.6%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	309	241	-	550	310	242	-	552
Writing	99.7%	99.2%	-	99.5%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	308	239	-	547	309	241	-	550
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	101	43	-	144	101	43	-	144
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Level: EN



Performance Indicators							Level: El	ementary Schoo
School: PEAKVIEW SCHOOL							District: HUERFANO F	E-1 - 1390 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	296	58.45	23	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	290	63.1	35	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	289	45.33	31	
Science	2	4		Approaching	91	23.08	17	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	167	10	29	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	164	14	47	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	167	16	35	No
English Language Proficiency (CELAp	pro)			-				
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
					Subaroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet	/•	reicentile	Growth Percentile	Giowini
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	-		23%		120	12	22	Na
	1	4		Does Not Meet	128	12	32	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	<u>124</u> 22	10	<u>32</u> 71	No
Students with Disabilities English Learners	0	0		Does Not Meet	N<20		/1	No
	1	4		Does Not Meet	54		62	 No
Students needing to catch up Mathematics	4	16	25%		54		02	
	-	4	23%	Does Not Meet	125	14	50	N -
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	•		Does Not Meet	125	14	50 53	No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	<u>121</u> 22	149	81	No No
English Learners	0	0		DOES NOT WIEEL	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	51	20	79	No
Writing	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet	51	20	75	
3	-	-	2370		120	16	28	Na
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	128	16	38	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	<u>124</u> 22	16	39	No
Students with Disabilities	1 0			Does Not Meet	22 N<20	5	73	No
English Learners Students needing to catch up	0	04		- Does Not Meet	N<20 82	- 21	- 64	 No

SPF 2012 - 1390 - 0063

2

Performance Indicators							Leve	l: Middle Schoo
School: PEAKVIEW SCHOOL							District: HUERFANO F	RE-1 - 1390 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	231	63.2	32	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	233	37.34	21	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	231	51.95	34	
Science	2	4		Approaching	42	45.24	43	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	/01 01110	Meets	212	58	39	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	213	40	75	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	212	42	54	No
English Language Proficiency (CELA		-		-				
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	163	56	42	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	158	55	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	84	59	66	No
Mathematics	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	165	42	75	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	159	39	76	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	121	38	90	No
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	163	43	55	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	159	40	56	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	102	51	75	No
Total	19	36	52.8%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide										Level: EN						
		e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report			D. Mar		14.1	T. (. ) D							
Performance Indi						Rating	Point	value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Point						
		pol's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad			r		1		10							
<b>A J *</b> .		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200	•			Exceeds	4		16							
Academic		by the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per		•		Meets	3		(4 for each	25						
Achievement		ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	1 0	Approaching		2	content area)									
		w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10		Does Not Meet												
		hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student g	rowth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA								
		r above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14							
		ow 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each							
		ow 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area							
Academic	• belo					Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50						
Growth	If the sc	hool does not meet the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median st	tudent growth percentile w	las:		TCAP	CELA	English							
		r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language							
		ow 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)									
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2	1										
	• belo					Does Not Meet	1	0.5								
		udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its media	n student growth percentile	e was:		-	4								
		r above 60.			Exceeds											
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3										
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60							
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5							
Growth Gaps	s If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequat	e student growth percentile and it	ts median student growth p	percentile was:				subgroups in 3	25						
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	4		subject areas)							
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3								
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2								
	• belo	ow 40.				Does Not Meet		1								
It-Points for eac	ch performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment										
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: T	he school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points eligil	ble.						
Achievement:	• at or abo	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abov	/e 59%				Performance						
Growth; Gaps	• at or abo	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or abov	ve 47% - below	59%			Improvement						
C. C. I. I., Cupo		ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Points	• at or abov	ve 37% - below	47%		F	riority Improvement							
• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching     • below 37.5% Does Not Meet					• below 379	%				Turnaround						
chool plan type a																
		Plan description														
erformance Plar		The school is required to adopt and implement a Po	erformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of												
nprovement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an	Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five												
riority Improven		The school is required to adopt and implement a Pi		consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the												
			1		, 0			consecutive school years continences of sury 1 during the summer initialities following the fall in which the								

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

ting Guid

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Laural, EA

# Reference

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

# Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)													
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing			Science			
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	
	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)													
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math			Writing			Science			
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.