## School Performance Framework 2012

#### School: RIVERSIDE SCHOOL - 7388

# Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Improving Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

## **Test Participation Rates**

	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	382	326	-	708	382	326	-	708	
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	382	326	-	708	382	326	-	708	
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	382	326	-	708	382	326	-	708	
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	185	160	-	345	185	160	-	345	
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Level: EM

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>								
Academic Achievement	Meets	62.5%	(15.6 out of 25 points)							
Academic Growth	Meets	63.5%	( 31.8 out of 50 points )							
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.9%	(12.7 out of 25 points)							
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate									
TOTAL		60.1%	( 60.1 out of 100 points )							

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Performance Indicators							Level: El	ementary Schoo
School: RIVERSIDE SCHOOL							District: GARFIELD R	E-2 - 1195 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	•
Reading	3	4		Meets	371	72.78	52	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	371	63.61	35	
Writing	3	4		Meets	371	57.41	56	
Science	3	4		Meets	181	51.93	58	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	355	44	30	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	354	34	55	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	355	46	46	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	26	36	63	No
Total	6.5	14	46.4%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	163	42	38	Yes
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	145	38	40	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	30	75	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	89	39	45	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	110	36	59	No
Mathematics	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	162	35	65	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	145	36	66	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	30	90	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	89	30	70	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	99	32	83	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	163	46	55	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	145	46	56	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	23	78	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	89	47	64	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	142	48	66	No
Total	20	60	33.3%	Does Not Meet				

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Schoo
School: RIVERSIDE SCHOOL							District: GARFIELD	RE-2 - 1195 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	314	66.56	37	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	314	44.9	35	
Writing	3	4		Meets	314	59.87	54	
Science	2	4		Approaching	153	41.18	36	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	701 Onnes	Meets	299	59	30	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	299	55	77	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	299	60	55	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets	11.20			
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	141	57	51	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	124	63	55	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	72	64	62	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	107	59	70	No
Mathematics	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	141	52	94	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	124	55	95	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	72	52	95	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	163	52	96	No
Writing	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	141	63	73	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	124	64	74	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	72	65	77	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	129	64	84	No
Total	35	48	72.9%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Scoring Guide										Level: EN		
¥		e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	mework Report			Dullas	0.1	14.1				
Performance Indi						Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	elFramework Poin		
		ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or aa					1	-				
• · · · • · · • ·		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200		401 1: )		Exceeds		4	16			
Academic		by the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per				Meets		3	(4 for each	25		
Achievement		ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	1 0	pproaching oes Not Meet		2	content area)					
		ow the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10		1								
		hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student <u>c</u>		TCAP	CELA						
		r above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14			
		bw 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each				
		ow 45 but at or above 30.				pproaching	2	1	content area			
Academic	• belo					es Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50		
Growth	-	hool does not meet the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median s	student growth percentile w	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English			
		r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language			
		ow 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)				
		ow 55 but at or above 40.		A	pproaching	2	1	_				
	• belo					es Not Meet	1	0.5				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its medic	an student growth percentile	le was:							
		r above 60.			Exceeds		4	_				
		ow 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3					
		ow 45 but at or above 30.				pproaching	2		60			
Academic	• belo	ow 30.			Do	es Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5			
Growth Gaps	s If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequat	e student growth percentile and i	its median student growth p	percentile was:				subgroups in 3	25		
	• at o	r above 70.			Exceeds	4 subject areas)						
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3					
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			A	pproaching		2				
	• belo	ow 40.			Do	es Not Meet		1				
it-Points for eac	h performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	n type assignme	ent						
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: The	e school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points elig	ible.		
Achievement:	• at or abo	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above !	59%				Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or abo	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 4	47% - below	59%			Improvement		
,	• at or abo	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above a	37% - below	47%			Priority Improvemen		
	below 37.5% Does Not Meet				• below 37%					Turnaround		
hool plan type :	assignments											
		Plan description										
erformance Plar		The school is required to adopt and implement a P	erformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of								
nprovement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an	Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
riority Improven		The school is required to adopt and implement a P		consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		┥		,			,			

ring Guid

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Loval, EN

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

## Reference

#### 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

## **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 1-year	(2009-10	) baselin	aseline)							
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math				Writing		Science							
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High					
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286					
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50					
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00					
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41					
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008-	-10 base	line)						
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading Math Writing							Science	Science							
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High					
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347					
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93					

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.