School: RIFLE HIGH SCHOOL - 7360 District: GARFIELD RE-2 - 1195 (3 Year')

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	holow 22%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

				<u> </u>
Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	67.9%	(23.8 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	63.3%	(9.5 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	64.1%	(22.4 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		63.2%	(63.2 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested					Participo	ation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1008	1008	-	-	1014	1014
Mathematics	-	-	99.6%	99.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1010	1010	-	-	1014	1014
Writing	-	-	99.5%	99.5%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1009	1009	-	-	1014	1014
Science	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	477	477	-	-	480	480
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.3%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	420	-	-	-	423	-





Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
School: RIFLE HIGH SCHOOL							District: GARFIELD R	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	955	61.68	25	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	957	28.94	44	,
Writing	2	4		Approaching	957	40.54	31	
Science	2	4		Approaching	451	40.8	31	,
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	701 011100	Meets	901	57	31	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	902	53	96	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	904	56	78	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	85	55	72	No
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets	83		72	IVO
Total			07.570	Wiccis				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	15	20	75%	Meets				0.00.00.00
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	70/0	Meets	385	55	59	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	416	59	71	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4	-	Meets	60	59	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	278		79	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	357	58	86	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching	337	38	80	INO
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	33/0		385	53	99	No
	2	4		Approaching	416	53	99	
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching		41	99	No
				Approaching	63			No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	278	58	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4	500/	Approaching	555	54	99	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	387	53	92	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	418	57	95	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	62	38	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	279	58	98	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4	22.22/	Meets	509	58	97	No
Total	38	60	63.3%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	6	616/475/307/ 173	75/77.5/77.5/ 80.9 %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.25	4	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		251/182/118/ 65	62.5/63.2/66.1/ 69.2 %	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		220/156/99/ 54	60.9/63.5/66.7/ 70.4 %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets		53/43/ 28 /N<16	58.5/69.8/ 82.1 /-%	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching		103/81/52/ 33	58.3/66.7/69.2/ 75.8 %	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		2243	2.2%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		420	18.2	20.1
Total	10.25	16	64.1%	Meets				

Scoring Guide Level: H

erformance Indicato	rScorina Guide			Rating	Point	: Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	el Framework Poi
jormanee mareato	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advan	ced was:		nating	1 01111	- Turuc	rotari ossibie pei Eiriri Eet	en rame work ro
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1			Exceeds	Т	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile.		seline)	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percent		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Approaching		2	content area)	15
Acinevement	below the 56th percentile but at of above the 15th percent below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bas)		sciiic).	Does Not Me	,	1	- content area)	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	•	h narcantila was:	Does Not Me	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	nthe una its median stadent growth	ii percentile was.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1.5	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Me	_	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	th parcentile and its madian studer	at arouth perceptile was:	DOES NOT ME	TCAP	CELA	English	33
Growth	• at or above 70.	th percentile and its median studer	it growth percentile was.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55. below 55 but at or above 40.				2 2	_	proficiency)	
	• below 40.			Approaching	<u> </u>	0.5	-	
		auth parantile and its madian stu	dant arouth narcontile was	Does Not Me	et 1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student gr • at or above 60.	owth percentile and its median sta	uent growth percentile was:	Exceeds	Т	4	1	
	• below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	_	2	60	
A d : -				111	' 		-	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Me	et	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and its me	dian student growth percent				subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	4	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	<u> </u>	2	4	
	• below 40.			Does Not Me		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/d	isaggregated graduation	<u> </u>	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1	_	
	at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Me	et 1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10	baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-1	.0 baseline).		Approaching	3	2	1	
	• above 10%.	-		Does Not Me	et	1	1	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Co	olorado ACT composite score w	vas:	<u> </u>			1	
	• at or above 22.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Exceeds	T	4	1	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)	baseline).		Meets		3	1	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)	<u> </u>		Approaching		2	1	
	• below 17.			Does Not Me		1	1	
Dainta fan aank na			Cut Dainta fan alan t					
	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t					
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th				l earned	. of the to	otal Framework points eligib	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%				Performance
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - be				Improvement
•	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - be	low 47%		F	Priority Improveme
	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%				Turnaround

		cc indicator		eact office to plan type assignment					
	Cut Point: Th	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	at or abov	re 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	re 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching				• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Peri	ormance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combin	ed total of			
Improvement Plan)	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The	five			
Priority Improvem	ent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Prio	rity Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years co	ommences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in wh	ich the			
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Turi	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.				

3

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing				Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 1195 - 7360

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	78.9	80.9	80.9	80.9
Anticipated Year	2009	67.2	70.6	73.1	
of Graduation	2010	73.7	79.5		
	2011	79.6			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65.7	69.2	69.2	69.2
Anticipated Year	2009	48.3	56.4	62.3	
of Graduation	2010	57.4	62.9		
	2011	76.9			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	64.3	70.4	70.4	70.4
Anticipated Year	2009	49	57.4	62.2	
of Graduation	2010	52.7	61.8		
	2011	75			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	67.6	75.8	75.8	75.8
Anticipated Year	2009	45.5	55	57.9	
of Graduation	2010	53.6	64.3		
	2011	63.2			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	78.9	80.9	80.9	80.9
Anticipated Year	2009	67.2	70.6	73.1	
of Graduation	2010	73.7	79.5		
	2011	79.6			
	Aggregated	75	77.5	77.5	80.9

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65.7	69.2	69.2	69.2
Anticipated Year	2009	48.3	56.4	62.3	
of Graduation	2010	57.4	62.9		
	2011	76.9			
	Aggregated	62.5	63.2	66.1	69.2

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	64.3	70.4	70.4	70.4
Anticipated Year	2009	49	57.4	62.2	
of Graduation	2010	52.7	61.8		
	2011	75			
	Aggregated	60.9	63.5	66.7	70.4

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	58.5	69.8	82.1	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

	, 00				
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	67.6	75.8	75.8	75.8
Anticipated Year	2009	45.5	55	57.9	
of Graduation	2010	53.6	64.3		
	2011	63.2			
	Aggregated	58.3	66.7	69.2	75.8

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 1195 - 7360