School Performance Framework 2012

School: RIFLE MIDDLE SCHOOL - 7356

Level: EM

District: GARFIELD RE-2 - 1195 (3 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

> Improving Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIeW.org

Test Participation Rates

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Student	ts Tested		Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.9%	99.9%	-	99.9%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	1112	1100	-	2212	1113	1101	-	2214
Mathematics	99.9%	98.0%	-	99.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	1111	1078	-	2189	1112	1100	-	2212
Writing	99.9%	99.9%	-	99.9%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	1112	1100	-	2212	1113	1101	-	2214
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	564	550	-	1114	564	550	-	1114
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(12.5 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.1%	(33.1 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	60.0%	(15.0 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

TOTAL	60.6% (60.6 out of 100 points)
² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points	on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary Schoo
School: RIFLE MIDDLE SCHOOL							District: GARFIELD	RE-2 - 1195 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1053	60.78	27	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1052	51.81	18	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1053	46.34	32	
Science	2	4		Approaching	531	36.53	33	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	1001	54	38	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1005	52	66	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	1001	55	57	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	86	46	35	Yes
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	537	50	48	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	472	51	50	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	73	48	83	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	324	52	59	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	409	56	68	No
Mathematics	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	539	52	71	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	474	56	73	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	73	45	92	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	326	55	76	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	397	52	85	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	537	52	66	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	473	54	67	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	73	41	90	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	325	56	73	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	597	55	75	No
Total	37	60	61.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Schoo
School: RIFLE MIDDLE SCHOOL							District: GARFIELD I	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4	/	Approaching	1034	58.03	24	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1013	35.04	19	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1035	45.02	24	
Science	2	4		Approaching	517	41.78	36	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	985	52	41	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	946	40	78	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	986	60	69	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	56	44	44	Yes
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	528	50	59	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	497	52	61	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	87	61	87	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	320	52	68	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	417	56	70	No
Mathematics	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	506	38	90	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	477	40	90	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	85	34	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	310	40	94	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	544	40	95	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	529	58	80	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	497	57	80	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	87	56	94	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	320	57	87	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	550	59	86	No
Total	35	60	58.3%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide										Level: EN			
		e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report			D. Mar	0.1	14.1	T. (.) D				
Performance Indi						Rating	Point	value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Point			
		pol's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad			I				10				
A J * .		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200	•			Exceeds		4	16				
Academic		by the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per				Meets		3	(4 for each	25			
Achievement		ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	1 0	10 baseline).		Approaching 2			content area)				
		w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10			Does Not Meet								
		hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student g	rowth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA					
		r above 60.				Exceeds	4 2 3 1.5		14				
		ow 60 but at or above 45.				Meets			(4 for each				
		ow 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area				
Academic	• belo					Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50			
Growth	If the sc	hool does not meet the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median s	tudent growth percentile w	las:		TCAP	CELA	English				
		r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language				
		ow 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)					
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1	-				
	• belo					Does Not Meet	1	0.5					
		udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its media	n student growth percentile	e was:								
		r above 60.				Exceeds	4 3						
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.				Meets							
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60 (4 for each of 5				
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Meet		1					
Growth Gaps	s If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequat	e student growth percentile and it	ts median student growth p	percentile was:				subgroups in 3	25			
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	4		subject areas)				
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3					
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2					
	• belo	ow 40.				Does Not Meet		1					
It-Points for eac	ch performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment							
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: T	he school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points eligil	ble.			
Achievement:	• at or abo	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abov	/e 59%				Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or abo	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or abov	ve 47% - below	59%			Improvement			
C. C. I. I., Cupo		ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Points	• at or abov	ve 37% - below	47%		F	riority Improvement				
	below 37			• below 379	%				Turnaround				
chool plan type a			Does Not Meet										
		Plan description											
erformance Plar		The school is required to adopt and implement a Po	erformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of									
nprovement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an	Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five									
riority Improven		The school is required to adopt and implement a Pi	1	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the									
			• •	1		, 0			, .				

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

ring Guid

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Loval: EN

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	Advanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.