## School Performance Framework 2012

## School: SKYLINE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 7950

District: CANON CITY RE-1 - 1140 (1 Year)

Level: E

D (	•
Pert	ormance
	ormanee

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earne							
Performance	at or above 59%	1						
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	1						
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%							
Turnaround	below 37%	2						

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

mproving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

#### est Participation Rates

restruction na																	
	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	
Reading	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	106	-	-	106	106	-	-	106	
Mathematics	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	106	-	-	106	106	-	-	106	
Writing	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	106	-	-	106	106	-	-	106	
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	43	-	-	43	43	-	-	43	
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan			
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	( 12.5 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	( 37.5 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.3%	( 14.6 out of 25 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

TOTAL	64.6%	( 64.6 out of 100 points )	
<sup>2</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible po	nts on an indicator due to insuf	fficient numbers of students. In t	hese cases, the points are removed from

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary Schoo
School: SKYLINE ELEMENTARY SC	HOOL						District: CANON CITY	RE-1 - 1140 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	· ·
Reading	2	4		Approaching	96	67.71	41	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	96	70.83	49	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	96	42.71	29	
Science	2	4		Approaching	40	47.5	49	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
And and Counth	Defete Francis		0 Delinte	Dettine			Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	60	52	39	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	60	60	58	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	60	51	53	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0	750/	-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	8	50%	Approaching	/•	i creentile	Growth refeetule	Glowin:
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	5078	Approaching	43	44	40	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		Approaching	N<20	-		-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	25	52	64	No
Mathematics	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	43	60	58	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	22	47	77	No
Writing	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	43	51	53	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	34	52	70	No
Total	14	24	58.3%	Approaching				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

coring Guide										Level:		
coring Guide for	Performanc	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report									
erformance Indi						Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	vel Framework Poir		
<u> </u>	The scho	ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad	vanced was:									
		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200				Exceeds	1	4	16			
Academic	• belo	w the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-	10 baseline).		Meets		3	(4 for each	25		
Achievement	t • belo	w the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-	Approaching	2 2		content area)					
	• belo	w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).	Does Not Mee	t	1	1					
	If the sci	hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student o	growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA				
	• at o	r above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14					
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each					
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area			
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5	and 2 for	50		
Growth	lf the sci	hool does not meet the median adequate student gr	rowth percentile and its median s	student growth percentile v	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English			
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language			
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)						
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2	1						
	• belo	ow 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5				
	lf the sti	udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its medic	an student growth percent	ile was:							
	• at o	r above 60.		Exceeds		4						
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3						
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60				
Academic	• belo	ow 30.			Does Not Meet 1				(4 for each of 5			
Growth Gaps	s If the stu	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student growth percentile and i	its median student growth	percentile w	vas:			subgroups in 3	25		
	• at o	r above 70.		Exceeds	1	4	subject areas)					
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	1					
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2						
	• belo	ow 40.				Does Not Meet	t	1				
-Points for eac	h performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assi	gnment						
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Poin	t: The school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points el	gible.		
chievement;	• at or abov	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or a	above 59%				Performance		
rowth; Gaps	• at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or a	above 47% - below	59%			Improvement		
	• at or abov	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or a	at or above 37% - below 47%				Priority Improveme		
	below 37.5% Does Not Meet			• below 37					Turnaround			
ool plan type	assignments											
		Plan description										
formance Plar	1	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	erformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of								
provement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years b	efore the D	istrict or Institute i	is requir	ed to res	structure or close the school. T	ne five		
ority Improven	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school year	rs commenc	es on July 1 during	g the sun	nmer im	mediately following the fall in v	vhich the		

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

# Reference

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)													
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	Advanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 <sup>.</sup>	10 base	line)		
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math			Writing			Science			
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.