School Performance Framework 2012

School: PIKES PEAK SCHOOL EXPEDITIONARY LEARNING - 6935

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to implement. Schools are assigned a plan base overall framework score, which is a percent total points they earned out of the total poi in each performance indicator. The overall sc matched to the score ranges below to dete plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Test Participation Rates

Improving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	98.8%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	149	85	-	234	149	86	-	235
Mathematics	99.3%	100.0%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	148	86	-	234	149	86	-	235
Writing	98.7%	100.0%	-	99.1%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	147	86	-	233	149	86	-	235
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	45	23	-	68	45	23	-	68
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

1

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2011-12

	Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	2	
	Academic Achievement	Meets	68.8%	(17.2 out of 25 points)	
to adopt and sed on their ntage of the	Academic Growth	Meets	70.8%	(35.4 out of 50 points)	
oints eligible score is then etermine the	Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	45.0%	(11.3 out of 25 points)	

Test Participation³ **Meets 95% Participation Rate** TOTAL (63.9 out of 100 points) 63.9%

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Level: EM

Performance Indicators							Level: El	ementary Schoo
School: PIKES PEAK SCHOOL EXPE	EDITIONARY LE	ARNING					District: FALCON	49 - 1110 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	148	76.35	61	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	147	70.75	49	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	146	53.42	49	
Science	3	4		Meets	44	52.27	59	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	89	44	28	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	90	41	48	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	88	41	42	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	29	60	No
Mathematics	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	26	29	74	No
Writing	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	35	42	68	No
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Schoo
School: PIKES PEAK SCHOOL E	XPEDITIONARY LE	ARNING					District: FALCO	N 49 - 1110 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	85	84.71	82	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	86	65.12	74	
Writing	3	4		Meets	86	68.6	72	
Science	3	4		Meets	23	60.87	71	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	76	64	24	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	77	67	66	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	77	54	47	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELA	pro)			-				
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
					Subaroun	Subarous Modian Crowth	Subarous Modian Adoquato	Made Adequate
Acadomic Crowth Cana	Deinte Formed	Dointe Fligible	% Points	Dating	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-	N. 00			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20 N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0			-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	0	75%	Meets	IN<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	-	4	/5%	ivieets	N -20			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20 N<20	-	-	-
	0	0		-	N<20 N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		- Meets	34		- 82	-
Students needing to catch up	2		50%		34	69	82	No
Writing		4	50%	Approaching	N -20			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4	CO F 0(Approaching	26	49	78	No
Total	5	8	62.5%	Meets				

Scoring Guide										Level: EN		
		e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report			D. Mar		14.1	T. (.) D			
Performance Indi						Rating	Point	value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Point		
		pol's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad			r		1		10			
A J ! .		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200	•			Exceeds		4	16			
Academic		by the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per		•			Meets 3		(4 for each	25		
Achievement		ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	1 0	10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)				
		w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	Does Not Meet		1							
		hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student g		TCAP	CELA						
		r above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14					
		ow 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each			
		ow 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area			
Academic	• belo					Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50		
Growth	If the sc	hool does not meet the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median st	tudent growth percentile w	las:		TCAP	CELA	English			
		r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language			
		ow 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)					
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2	1 0.5						
	• belo				Does Not Me							
		udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its media	n student growth percentile	e was:							
		r above 60.		Exceeds	4							
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3							
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60			
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5			
Growth Gaps	s If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequat	e student growth percentile and it	ts median student growth p	percentile was:			subgroups in 3	25			
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds		4	subject areas)			
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.	0 but at or above 55.									
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			Approachir			2				
	• belo	ow 40.				Does Not Meet		1				
It-Points for eac	ch performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment						
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: T	he school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points eligil	ble.		
Achievement:	• at or abo	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abov	/e 59%				Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or abo	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or abov	ve 47% - below	59%			Improvement		
C. C. I. I., Cupo		ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Points	• at or abov	ve 37% - below	47%		F	riority Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching • below 37.5% Does Not Meet				• below 379	%				Turnaround		
chool plan type a												
		Plan description										
erformance Plar		The school is required to adopt and implement a Po	erformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of								
nprovement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an	Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
riority Improven		The school is required to adopt and implement a Pi		consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
			consecutive sensor years commences on sury 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the									

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

ting Guid

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Loval, EN

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing					
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)												
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading	Math			Writing			Science			
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.