School Performance Framework 2012

School: PALMER RIDGE HIGH SCHOOL - 6678

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

| Framework Points Earned |
|-----------------------------|
| at or above 60% |
| at or above 47% - below 60% |
| at or above 33% - below 47% |
| below 33% |
| |

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

| Performance Indicators | Rating/Plan | % of Points | Earned out of Points Eligible ² | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Academic Achievement | Meets | 81.3% | (12.2 out of 15 points) | |
| Academic Growth | Meets | 75.0% | (26.3 out of 35 points) | |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Approaching | 56.3% | (8.4 out of 15 points) | |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Exceeds | 93.3% | (32.7 out of 35 points) | |
| Test Participation ³ | Meets 95% Participation Rate | | | |
| TOTAL | | 79.6% | (79.6 out of 100 points) | |

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

| | | % of Stu | dents Tested | | | Participo | ation Rating | | | Studen | ts Tested | | | Total S | tudents | |
|--------------|------|----------|--------------|---------|------|-----------|--------------|---------|------|--------|-----------|---------|------|---------|---------|---------|
| Content Area | Elem | Middle | High | Overall | Elem | Middle | High | Overall | Elem | Middle | High | Overall | Elem | Middle | High | Overall |
| Reading | - | - | 99.2% | 99.2% | - | - | Meets | Meets | - | - | 520 | 520 | - | - | 524 | 524 |
| Mathematics | - | - | 99.2% | 99.2% | - | - | Meets | Meets | - | - | 520 | 520 | - | - | 524 | 524 |
| Writing | - | - | 99.2% | 99.2% | - | - | Meets | Meets | - | - | 520 | 520 | - | - | 524 | 524 |
| Science | - | - | 99.6% | 99.6% | - | - | Meets | Meets | - | - | 284 | 284 | - | - | 285 | 285 |
| Colorado ACT | - | - | 98.9% | - | - | - | Meets | - | - | - | 267 | - | - | - | 270 | - |

SCHOOLVIeW.org

1

Level: H

District: LEWIS-PALMER 38 - 1080 (1 Year¹)

| Performance Indicators | | | | | | | Le | vel: High School |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| School: PALMER RIDGE HIGH SCHOO | L | | | | | | District: LEWIS-PALMER | |
| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | % Proficient/Advanced | School's Percentile | |
| Reading | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 511 | 85.71 | 86 | |
| Mathematics | 4 | 4 | | Exceeds | 511 | 57.93 | 92 | |
| Writing | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 511 | 71.23 | 89 | |
| Science | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 277 | 71.84 | 88 | |
| Total | 13 | 16 | 81.3% | Meets | | | | |
| | | - | | | | | Median Adequate Growth | Made Adequate |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Median Growth Percentile | Percentile | Growth? |
| Reading | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 476 | 50 | 7 | Yes |
| Mathematics | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 475 | 56 | 47 | Yes |
| Writing | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 476 | 56 | 21 | Yes |
| English Language Proficiency (CELApro) | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | _ | - | - |
| Total | 9 | 12 | 75% | Meets | | | | |
| | | | | | Subgroup | Subgroup Median | Subgroup Median Adequate | Made Adequate |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Growth Percentile | Growth Percentile | Growth? |
| Reading | 9 | 16 | 56.3% | Approaching | | | Growth refeetule | Growth |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 | 50.576 | | 44 | 43 | 22 | Yes |
| | 3 | 4 | | Approaching | | 52 | 33 | |
| Minority Students Students with Disabilities | 2 | 4 | | Meets Approaching | 79 32 | 51 | 55 | Yes No |
| English Learners | 0 | 0 | | Approaching | N<20 | - 51 | - | - |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 82 | 53 | 59 | No |
| Mathematics | 9 | 16 | 56.3% | Approaching | 02 | | 39 | 110 |
| | - | | 50.5% | | 42 | | 02 | Na |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 43 | 47 | 93 | No |
| Minority Students Students with Disabilities | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 79 31 | 54 44 | 70 99 | No No |
| English Learners | 0 | 0 | | Approaching | N<20 | - 44 | - | - |
| Students needing to catch up | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 165 | 60 | 99 | No |
| | 9 | 16 | 56.3% | | 105 | | 33 | |
| Writing | - | | 50.3% | Approaching | | | | N - |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 44 | 50 | 65 | No |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 79 | 57 50 | 42 | Yes |
| Students with Disabilities | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 32 N<20 | 50 | 92 | No |
| English Learners | 2 | 4 | | Annroaching | | 48 | 83 | |
| Students needing to catch up Total | 27 | 4 | 56.3% | Approaching Approaching | 128 | 48 | 63 | No |
| | | | | | | N | Date /Coore | Function |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Points Earned 4 | Points Eligible 4 | % Points | Rating Exceeds | | N 3 /N<16/N<16 | Rate/Score 94.2/-/-/% | Expectation 80% |
| Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr Disaggregated Graduation Rate | 2 | 3 | 66.7% | Meets | 243 | 0/ IN TO/ IN TO/ IN TO | 34.2 /-/-/-% | 00% |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 0.75 | 3 1 | 00.776 | Meets | 24 | /N<16/N<16/ | 87.5 /-/-/-% | 80% |
| Minority Students | 1 | 1 | | Exceeds | | /N<16/N<16/N<16 | 87.3/-/-/-% | 80% |
| Students with Disabilities | 0.25 | 1 | | Does Not Meet | | /N<16/N<16/N<16 | | 80% |
| | | 0 | | | | | 37.5/-/-/% | 80% |
| English Learners | 0 4 | 4 | | - Exceeds | N<1 | .6/N<16/N<16/N<16 | -/-/-% 0.5% | 3.6% |
| Dropout Rate Colorado ACT Composite Score | 4 4 | 4 4 | | | | 267 | 23.4 | 20.0 |
| • | | | 02 20/ | Exceeds | | 207 | 23.4 | 20.0 |
| Total | 14 | 15 | 93.3% | Exceeds | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | Level |
|------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | ormance Indicators on the School Performance Fram | ework Report | | | | | | | |
| erformance Indicator | Scoring Guide | | | R | ating | Point | Value | Total Possible per EMH Leve | elFramework Po |
| | The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or adv | | | | | | | | |
| | at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009) | • | | Ex | ceeds | | 4 | 16 | |
| Academic | below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile | entile of all schools (using 2009-10 b | aseline). | N | /leets | | 3 | (4 for each | 15 |
| Achievement | below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile | entile of all schools (using 2009-10 b | aseline). | Аррі | roaching | | 2 | content area) | |
| | • below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 b | aseline). | | Does | Not Meet | | 1 | | |
| | If the school meets the median adequate student growth per | centile and its median student grow | th percentile was: | | | TCAP | CELA | | |
| | • at or above 60. | | | Ex | ceeds | 4 | 2 | 14 | |
| | below 60 but at or above 45. | | | N | /leets | 3 | 1.5 | (4 for each | |
| | below 45 but at or above 30. | | | Аррі | roaching | 2 | 1 | content area | |
| Academic | • below 30. | | | Does | Not Meet | 1 | 0.5 | and 2 for | 35 |
| Growth | If the school does not meet the median adequate student gro | wth percentile and its median stude | ent growth percentile was: | | | TCAP | CELA | English | |
| | • at or above 70. | | | Ex | ceeds | 4 | 2 | language | |
| | below 70 but at or above 55. | | | N | /leets | 3 | 1.5 | proficiency) | |
| | below 55 but at or above 40. | | | Аррі | roaching | 2 | 1 | | |
| | • below 40. | | | | Not Meet | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | |
| | If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student | growth percentile and its median st | udent growth percentile was: | | | | | | |
| | • at or above 60. | - · | <u> </u> | | ceeds | | 4 | 1 | |
| | below 60 but at or above 45. | | | N | /leets | | 3 | | |
| | below 45 but at or above 30. below 30. | | | | roaching | | 2 | 60 | |
| Academic | | | | | Not Meet | | 1 | (4 for each of 5 | |
| Growth Gaps | If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate | student arowth percentile and its m | edian student arowth percen | | | | | subgroups in 3 | 15 |
| 0.0111. 00p0 | • at or above 70. | | у | 1 | ceeds | | 4 | subject areas) | |
| | below 70 but at or above 55. | | | | /leets | | 3 | | |
| | • below 55 but at or above 40. | | | | roaching | | 2 | 1 | |
| | • below 40. | | Does N | | | | 1 | 1 | |
| | Graduation Rate and Disagaregated Graduation Rate | ·· The school's graduation rate/ | disagaregated araduation | | | Overall | Disaggr. | | |
| | • at or above 90%. | . The sensor's gradation rate, | alsuggregated graduation | | ceeds | 4 | 1 | - | |
| | • at or above 80% but below 90%. | | | | Aeets | 3 | 0.75 | - | |
| | at or above 65% but below 80%. | | | | roaching | 2 | 0.75 | - | |
| | • below 65%. | | | | Not Meet | 1 | 0.25 | - | |
| | | | | DUES | NULIVIEEL | 1 | 0.25 | 16 | |
| | Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was: | | | | | | | 16 | 25 |
| Postsecondary and | • at or below 1%. | | | | ceeds | | 4 | (4 for each sub- | 35 |
| Vorkforce Readiness | • at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009- | | | | leets | | 3 | indicator) | |
| | at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009) | 9-10 baseline). | | | roaching | | 2 | 4 | |
| | • above 10%. | | | Does | Not Meet | | 1 | 4 | |
| | Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average | Colorado ACT composite score | was: | | | | | | |
| | at or above 22. | | | Ex | ceeds | | 4 | | |
| | at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-2) | 10 baseline). | | N | /leets | | 3 | | |
| | at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-2 | 10 baseline). | | Аррі | roaching | | 2 | | |
| | • below 17. | | | Does | Not Meet | | 1 | | |
| ut-Points for each per | formance indicator | | Cut-Points for plan t | vpe assignmen | t | | | | l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l |
| | Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t | this Indicator. | | | | arned | of the to | otal Framework points eligib | le. |
| | t or above 87.5% | Exceeds | | at or above | | .unicu | · or the t | | Performance |
| | t or above 62.5% - below 87.5% | Meets | Total Framework | | | w 60% | | | Improvement |
| | t or above 37.5% - below 62.5% | Approaching | | | • at or above 47% - below 60% | | | riority Improvem | |
| | elow 37.5% | Does Not Meet | Points • at or above 33% - below 47% • below 33% | | | | | Turnaround | |
| | | Dues Not Meet | | - DCIOW 33% | | | | | Turnarounu |
| hool plan type assigr | | | | | | | | | |
| | Plan description | | | | | | | | |
| erformance Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe | erformance Plan. | A school may not impleme | ent a Priority Impr | ovement a | and/or Tu | rnaround I | Plan for longer than a combined t | otal of |
| provement Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement an I | mprovement Plan. | five consecutive years before | ore the District or | Institute is | s require | d to restrue | cture or close the school. The five | |
| iority Improvement | Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr | jority Improvement Plan. | consecutive school years o | ommences on Jul | v 1 during | the sum | ner immed | liately following the fall in which | the |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Scoring Guide

Level: H

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

| | Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|------------|---------|-----------|------------|----------|----------|---------|-----------------------|---------|---------|-------|
| The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's | | | Reading | | | Math | | | Writing | | | Science | |
| proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or | | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura. | N of Schools | 1008 | 479 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 912 | 407 | 286 |
| | 15th percentile | 49.18 | 50.44 | 54.92 | 48.60 | 29.72 | 15.97 | 32.48 | 34.95 | 30.96 | 19.67 | 23.85 | 27.50 |
| | 50th percentile | 71.65 | 71.43 | 73.33 | 70.89 | 52.48 | 33.52 | 53.52 | 57.77 | 50.00 | 47.53 | 48.00 | 50.00 |
| | 90th percentile | 89.10 | 88.24 | 87.23 | 89.34 | 75.00 | 54.79 | 76.83 | 79.67 | 72.24 | 75.96 | 75.11 | 72.41 |
| | Percent of Stude | nts Profi | cient or A | dvanced | d by Pere | centile Cu | t-Points | - 3-year | aggrega | te (2008 [.] | 10 base | line) | |
| All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first | | | Reading | | | Math | | | Writing | | | Science | |
| year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports). | | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports). | N of Schools | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 1032 | 507 | 361 | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 972 | 469 | 347 |
| | 15th percentile | 50.00 | 50.56 | 53.34 | 48.73 | 29.69 | 13.49 | 32.56 | 36.84 | 30.00 | 20.46 | 25.00 | 27.93 |

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

| | N of Students | Mean Rate |
|------------------|---------------|-----------|
| 1-year (2009) | 416,953 | 3.6 |
| 3-year (2007-09) | 1,238,096 | 3.9 |

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | N<16 | N<16 | | |
| | 2011 | 94.2 | | | |

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

| | N of Students | Average Score |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1-year (2010) | 51,438 | 20.0 |
| 3-year (2008-10) | 151,439 | 20.1 |

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | N<16 | N<16 | | |
| | 2011 | 94.2 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 92.3 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | N<16 | N<16 | | |
| | 2011 | 87.5 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 80.8 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | N<16 | N<16 | | |
| | 2011 | 95.3 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 91.1 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| | | | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | N<16 | N<16 | | |
| | 2011 | 37.5 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 35.3 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | N<16 | N<16 | | |
| | 2011 | N<16 | | | |
| | Aggregated | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | N<16 | N<16 | | |
| | 2011 | 87.5 | | | |

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | N<16 | N<16 | | |
| | 2011 | 95.3 | | | |

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | N<16 | N<16 | | |
| | 2011 | 37.5 | | | |

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | N<16 | N<16 | | |
| | 2011 | N<16 | | | |