School Performance Framework 2012

School: MONUMENT CHARTER ACADEMY - 5093

District: LEWIS-PALMER 38 - 1080 (3 Year¹)

Level: EM

–	r -	
Por	forma	anco

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	lest Participation	Meets 95% Participation Rate	
Performance	at or above 59%			
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	TOTAL	81.5	5% (81.5
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%			
Turnaround	below 37%	, 0	ole for all possible points on an indicator due to	
Francisco de mainta ana		both the points earned ar	nd the points eligible, so scores are not negativ	ely impacted.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	(25.0 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Meets	80.8%	(40.4 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	64.3%	(16.1 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		

TOTAL	81.5%	(81.5 out of 100 points)	
² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicat	or due to insu	fficient numbers of students. In t	hese cases, the points are removed from

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1)

meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple

levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

mproving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

Test Participation Rates

	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Studen	ts Tested		Total Students			
Content Area	Area Elem Middle High Overall					Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	99.8%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	829	611	-	1440	832	612	-	1444
Mathematics	99.8%	100.0%	-	99.9%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	830	612	-	1442	832	612	-	1444
Writing	99.6%	99.8%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	830	611	-	1441	833	612	-	1445
Science	100.0%	99.4%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	275	158	-	433	275	159	-	434
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

School: MONUMENT CHARTER A							District: LEWIS-PALME	P 28 _ 1080 /2 Voo
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	n 38 - 1080 (3 fea
Reading	4	4	/0 FUIIILS	Exceeds	822	90.15	93	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	822	90.51	93	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	822	80.78	94	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	273	74.73	92	
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds	275		52	
	-	-						
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	504	52	17	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	506	46	29	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	505	63	26	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2		Exceeds	79	65	36	Yes
Total	12	14	85.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	02.3/0	Approaching	32	37	33	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	74	49	20	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		Wieets	N<20	- 49	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	32	54	28	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	49	49	51	No
Mathematics	9	16	56.3%	Approaching	45	45	51	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	9	-	50.570		33	32	٢.4	No
C	3	4		Does Not Meet	75	51	<u> </u>	NoYes
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	0		Meets	N<20	51	-	- 105
English Learners	3	4		Meets	32	59		Yes
	2	4			32	40	<u> </u>	No
Students needing to catch up		-	07.5%	Approaching	39	40	70	INU
Writing	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	33	47	40	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	75	64	31	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	32	59	36	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	105	65	53	Yes
Total	33	48	68.8%	Meets				

School: MONUMENT CHARTER A							District: LEWIS-PALME	R 38 - 1080 (3 Vea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	1000 (5 168
Reading	4	4	70 F UIII CS	Exceeds	605	92.07	96	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	606	79.21	93	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	605	84.96	95	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	157	75.8	93	
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds	137	75.0		
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	/01/01/05	Meets	546	49	16	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	547	49	43	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	546	53	27	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subaroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
					<u></u>	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth
Reading	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	28	26	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	85	43	22	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	47	68	52	Yes
Mathematics	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	35	57	70	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	85	44	56	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	85	47	81	No
Writing	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	35	46	49	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	85	50	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	76	53	70	No
Total	21	36	58.3%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide										Level: EN			
¥		e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	mework Report			Dullas	0.1	14.1					
Performance Indi						Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	elFramework Poin			
		ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or aa			T			-	10				
		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200		101 11 1		Exceeds		4	16				
Academic		ow the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per			Meets		3	(4 for each	25				
Achievement		ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	1 0	pproaching		2	content area)						
		ow the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10			Do	es Not Meet		1					
		hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student <u>c</u>	growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA					
		r above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14				
		ow 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each				
		ow 45 but at or above 30.		A	pproaching	2	1	content area					
Academic	• belo	ow 30.			Do	es Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50			
Growth	If the sc	hool does not meet the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median s	student growth percentile w	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English				
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language				
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)				
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			A	pproaching	2	1					
	• belo	ow 40.			Do	es Not Meet	1	0.5	1				
	If the st	udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its medic	an student growth percentile	le was:								
	• at o	r above 60.	· · ·	· ·		Exceeds		4	1				
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3		1					
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.			A	pproaching		2	60				
Academic	• belo	ow 30.			Do	es Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5				
Growth Gaps	If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequat	e student growth percentile and i	its median student growth p	percentile was:				subgroups in 3	25			
	-	r above 70.		······································		Exceeds 4			subject areas)				
		by 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3					
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			A	pproaching		2					
	• belo					es Not Meet		1					
ut-Points for eac	h performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	n type assignme	ent							
		he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.				rned	of the	total Framework points elig	jible.			
Achievement;	• at or abo	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above §	59%				Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or abo	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 4	47% - below	59%			Improvement			
· ·	• at or abo	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 3	37% - below	47%			Priority Improvemen			
	• below 37	.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%					Turnaround			
hool plan type a	assignments												
		Plan description											
erformance Plar		The school is required to adopt and implement a P	erformance Plan.	A school may not implem	ment a Priority Im	provement a	and/or T	urnarou	nd Plan for longer than a combi	ned total of			
nprovement Pla	rovement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.				five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
•	iority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.				consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
• • •		· · · · · · ·											

a Guid

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Loval, EN

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points -				- 1-year	(2009-10) baselin	e)					
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	Advanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing		Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.