District: CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN 12 - 1020 (3 Year¹)

School: CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN HIGH SCHOOL - 1590

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	(15.0 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	83.3%	(29.2 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	100.0%	(35.0 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		90.5%	(90.5 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stud	lents Tested			Participa	ition Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.7%	99.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1952	1952	-	-	1957	1957
Mathematics	-	-	99.8%	99.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1953	1953	-	-	1956	1956
Writing	-	-	99.8%	99.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1953	1953	-	-	1957	1957
Science	-	-	99.9%	99.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	979	979	-	-	980	980
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.3%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	974	-	-	-	991	-





Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
School: CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN HIGH	SCHOOL					Di	strict: CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN	12 - 1020 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	1898	88.51	93	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	1898	61.38	95	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	1899	79.09	97	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	960	71.77	90	
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
							Madian Adamsta Crowth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	701 011115	Meets	1762	57	6	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	1764	64	44	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	1763	53	14	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets	IVVEO			
			03.570	- IIICCIO				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	169	56	18	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	373	59	12	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	86	52	78	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	156	64	12	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	199	57	71	No
Mathematics	17	20	85%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	170	60	81	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	373	62	60	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	88	58	99	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	156	61	56	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	533	64	98	No
Writing	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	169	53	43	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	373	51	24	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	87	43	96	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	156	55	23	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	317	53	79	No
Total	45	60	75%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	1	411/1056/ 701 /343	94.1/94.2/ 94.6 /94.5%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	4	4	100%	Exceeds	_			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds		72 /38/N<16/N<16	91.7 /89.5/-/-%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds		261 /186/117/58	94.6/94.6/ 94/91.4%	80%
Students with Disabilities	<u>-</u> 1	1		Exceeds		53/35/ 23 /N<16	73.6/80/ 95.7 /-%	80%
English Learners	1	1		Exceeds		20/ 19 /N<16/N<16	90/ 94.7 /-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		4535	0.4%	3.9%
Dropout Rate Colorado ACT Composite Score	4	4		Exceeds Exceeds		4535 974	0.4% 23.5	3.9%

Scoring Guide Level: H

erformance Indicator	Scorina Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Po
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or adv	anced was:						Total Foods Per Ellin Eest	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009)				Exceeds	Π	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile.		seline)		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile.				Approaching		2	content area)	
Acinevement	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 below the 2009-10 below t		Jenney.		Does Not Meet		1	_ content area,	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth per	•	nercentile was		Does Not Meet	TCAP	CELA		+
	• at or above 60.	centile and its median stadent growth	percentile was.		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student gro	owth nercentile and its median studen	t arowth nercentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
Growth	• at or above 70.	win percentile and its median staden	t growth percentile was.		Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1	proficiency)	
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student	arowth percentile and its median stud	dent arowth nercentile was:		DOCS NOT WICE		0.5		
	• at or above 60.	5· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	one grande paraentile maar		Exceeds	Ι	4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3	1	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate	student growth percentile and its med	dian student arowth percent	ile was:				subgroups in 3	15
о. о	• at or above 70.		у		Exceeds	<u> </u>	4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2	1	
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet		1	1	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate	e: The school's graduation rate/di	sagaregated araduation	rate wa	-	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		ouggregateu graduution	rate ma	Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.5	-	
	• below 65%.				Does Not Meet	1	0.25	1	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				2 oco mot mice.		0.23	16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds	I	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness		10 hasalina)			+		3	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	33
orkiorce keadiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-				Meets		2	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009) above 10%.	9-10 baseline).			Approaching Does Not Meet		1	-	
		Colorado ACT composito cooro u	~~.		Does Not Weet	1	1	1	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	Colorado ACT composite score w	as:		1 - 1			4	
	• at or above 22.	10!:			Exceeds		3	-	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-	•			Meets		2	-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-	to baseline).			Approaching		1	-	
	• below 17.				Does Not Meet		1		
t-Points for each pe	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	ype assig	gnment				
Cut	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Poir	nt: The school	earned	of the to	otal Framework points eligibl	e.
Achievement; •	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or	above 60%				Performance
Growth; Gaps	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or	above 47% - beld	ow 60%			Improvement
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or	above 33% - beld	ow 47%		Р	riority Improveme
•	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• belov	w 33%				Turnaround

				cas i canto lei piani cype acoignment					
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points	eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or abov	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	• at or abov	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a com	bined total of			
Improvement Plai	า	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five					
Priority Improvem	Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan	Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan. school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.								

3

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 1020 - 1590

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	91.5	93.6	93.9	94.5
Anticipated Year	2009	94.4	94.7	95.3	
of Graduation	2010	93.8	94.4		
	2011	96.6			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	95.8	95.8		
	2011	94.1			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	86	89.7	89.7	91.4
Anticipated Year	2009	98.3	98.3	98.3	
of Graduation	2010	95.7	95.7		
	2011	97.4			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	76.5			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	91.5	93.6	93.9	94.5
Anticipated Year	2009	94.4	94.7	95.3	
of Graduation	2010	93.8	94.4		
	2011	96.6			
	Aggregated	94.1	94.2	94.6	94.5

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	95.8	95.8		
	2011	94.1			
	Aggregated	91.7	89.5	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	86	89.7	89.7	91.4
Anticipated Year	2009	98.3	98.3	98.3	
of Graduation	2010	95.7	95.7		
	2011	97.4			
	Aggregated	94.6	94.6	94	91.4

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	76.5			
	Aggregated	73.6	80	95.7	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

	<u> </u>				
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	90	94.7	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 1020 - 1590