## School Performance Framework 2012

## School: CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 1588

Performance Indicators

District: CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN 12 - 1020 (1 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Level: M

# Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

mproving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

#### **Test Participation Rates**

	% of Students Tested			Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students					
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	99.8%	-	99.8%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	621	-	621	-	622	-	622
Mathematics	-	99.8%	-	99.8%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	621	-	621	-	622	-	622
Writing	-	99.8%	-	99.8%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	621	-	621	-	622	-	622
Science	-	99.7%	-	99.7%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	304	-	304	-	305	-	305
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rating/Plan

Academic Achievement	Exceeds	93.8%	( 23.5 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	( 37.5 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible<sup>2</sup>

TOTAL	79.8%	( 79.8 out of 100 points )	
<sup>2</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicate	or due to insu	fficient numbers of students. In t	these cases, the points are removed from

both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Performance Indicators							Level	: Middle Scho
School: CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN JU	JNIOR HIGH SC	HOOL					District: CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN	12 - 1020 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	608	87.99	89	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	607	75.62	90	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	608	81.91	92	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	302	76.49	91	
Total	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	561	52	14	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	563	52	44	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	561	58	29	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subaroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	16	20	80%	Meets	/•	Fercentile	Growin Percentile	Growth
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	00%		61	47	27	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets Meets	99	54	22	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	36	60	66	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	49	60	15	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	61	59	63	No
Mathematics	14	20	70%	Meets	01			110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	,,,,,	Meets	61	62	81	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	99	64	67	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	37	44	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	49	58	62	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	127	56	90	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	61	54	47	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	99	58	39	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	37	48	85	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	49	65	31	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	71	58	80	No
Total	45	60	75%	Meets				

coring Guide										Level: N			
coring Guide for	Performance	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report										
erformance Indi	cator Scoring	Guide	·			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	elFramework Poin			
	The scho	ol's percentage of students scoring proficient or adv	vanced was:			5							
		above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200				Exceeds	4		16				
Academic	• belo	w the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-10	) baseline).		Meets		3	(4 for each	25			
Achievemen	t • belo	w the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-10	0 baseline).		Approaching		2	content area)				
	• belo	w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 l	paseline).	Does Not Meet		1	1 1						
		nool meets the median adequate student growth pe	•	owth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA					
	• at or	above 60.		·		Exceeds	4	2	14				
	below 60 but at or above 45.					Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each				
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area				
Academic	• belo	w 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50			
Growth	If the sch	nool does not meet the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median stu	ident growth percentile w	as:		TCAP	CELA	English				
	• at or	above 70.	•		1	Exceeds	4	2	language				
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)					
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1						
	• belo	w 40.		Does Not Meet	1	0.5	-						
	If the stu	dent subgroup meets the median adequate student	growth percentile and its median	student growth percentile	e was:								
	• at or	above 60.		Exceeds		4							
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3						
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60				
Academic	• belo	w 30.		Does Not Meet 1					(4 for each of 5				
Growth Gaps	s If the stu	dent subgroup does not meet the median adequate	student growth percentile and its	median student growth p	percentile was:				subgroups in 3	25			
-	• at or	above 70.		Exceeds	I .	4	subject areas)						
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	1					
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	-						
	• belo	w 40.				Does Not Meet		1	-				
-Points for eac	ch performan	ice indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment							
	Cut Point: Tl	ne school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: T	he school ear	rned	of the	total Framework points elig	ible.			
chievement;	<ul> <li>at or abov</li> </ul>	e 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abov	/e 59%				Performance			
rowth; Gaps	<ul> <li>at or abov</li> </ul>	e 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or abov	t or above 47% - below				Improvement			
	<ul> <li>at or abov</li> </ul>	e 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or abov	/e 37% - below	47%			Priority Improvemen			
below 37.5% Does Not Meet					• below 379	%				Turnaround			
ool plan type	assignments												
		Plan description											
formance Plar	ו <u>ו</u>	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of									
provement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an li	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years be	efore the Distri	ct or Institute is	s require	ed to res	structure or close the school. The	e five			
ority Improver	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences c	n July 1 during	the sum	nmer im	mediately following the fall in w	hich the			
									· · · · ·				

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

## Reference

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

## **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)													
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing					
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 <sup>.</sup>	-10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first		Reading			Math			Writing					
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.