School Performance Framework 2012

School: FREMONT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 3218

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	les
Performance	at or above 59%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	то
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 37%	² Sch

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(12.5 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(37.5 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	66.7%	(16.7 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		66.7%	(66.7 out of 100 points)	

hools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage ³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

mproving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating					ts Tested		Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	240	-	-	240	240	-	-	240
Mathematics	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	240	-	-	240	240	-	-	240
Writing	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	242	-	-	242	242	-	-	242
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	98	-	-	98	98	-	-	98
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

1

Level: E

District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 1010 (1 Year¹)

Performance Indicators								lementary Scho
School: FREMONT ELEMENTARY	SCHOOL						District: COLORADO SPRING	<u>S 11 - 1010 (1 Yea</u>
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	233	69.1	43	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	231	68.4	44	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	233	52.79	48	
Science	2	4		Approaching	94	45.74	47	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	/01/01/10	Meets	161	53	33	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	160	58	50	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	161	56	48	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	73	58	37	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	73	58	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	53	58	65	No
Mathematics	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	73	56	55	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	73	55	55	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	51	58	78	No
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	73	52	61	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	73	46	54	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0			N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	83	54	67	No
Total	24	36	66.7%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

coring Guide										Level:									
coring Guide for	Performanc	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report																
Performance Indi	icator Scoring	g Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	el Framework Poin									
-	The sch	ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad	vanced was:																
		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200				Exceeds	4		16										
Academic	• belo	ow the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-1	.0 baseline).		Meets	3		(4 for each	25									
Achievemen	t • belo	ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-1	Approaching		2	content area)												
	• belo	ow the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).	Does Not Meet		1	1												
	If the sc	hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	rcentile and its median student gi		TCAP	CELA													
	• at o	r above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14												
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each												
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area										
Academic	• belo	30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50									
Growth	If the sc	hool does not meet the median adequate student gr	rowth percentile and its median st	udent growth percentile w	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English										
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language										
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)										
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1												
	• belo	ow 40.				Does Not Meet	0.5												
	If the st	udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	4																
	• at o	r above 60.		Exceeds		4													
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3													
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2		60											
Academic	• belo	ow 30.		Does Not Meet 1					(4 for each of 5										
Growth Gaps	s If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student growth percentile and it	s median student growth p	percentile was	:		subgroups in 3	25										
	• at o	r above 70.		Exceeds		4	subject areas)												
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3													
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2													
	• belo	ow 40.				Does Not Meet 1													
-Points for eac	ch performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	iment													
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point:	The school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points elig	ible.									
chievement;	• at or abo	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		at or abc					Performance									
irowth; Gaps	• at or abo	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		ve 47% - below				Improvement									
	• at or abo	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points		ve 37% - below	47%			Priority Improvemen									
below 37.5% Does Not Meet					below 37	1%			Turnaround										
ool plan type	assignments																		
		Plan description																	
rformance Plar	n	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	erformance Plan.	A school may not implen	nent a Priority	Improvement a	and/or T	urnarou	nd Plan for longer than a combir	ed total of									
provement Pla	in	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years be	efore the Disti	rict or Institute i	s require	ed to res	structure or close the school. The	five									
ority Improver	ment Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences	on July 1 during	the sum	nmer im	mediately following the fall in wh	nich the									
									an. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the										

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)														
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing						
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	
	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)													
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.