School Performance Framework 2012

School: SPROUL JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 8178

District: WIDEFIELD 3 - 0990 (3 Year

Level: N

–	r -	
Por	rorm	ance
		ance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Improving Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

Test Participation Rates

	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Studen	ts Tested		Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	99.8%	-	99.8%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	1524	-	1524	-	1527	-	1527
Mathematics	-	99.9%	-	99.9%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	1528	-	1528	-	1529	-	1529
Writing	-	99.9%	-	99.9%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	1526	-	1526	-	1527	-	1527
Science	-	99.6%	-	99.6%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	559	-	559	-	561	-	561
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible ²
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	(14.1 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Meets	71.4%	(35.7 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	68.3%	(17.1 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		

TOTAL	66.9%	(66.9 out of 100 points)
² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicato	or due to insu	fficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from

both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Schoo
School: SPROUL JUNIOR HIGH SC	HOOL						District: WIDEFIE	LD 3 - 0990 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1429	63.68	32	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1433	57.29	62	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1431	48.36	28	
Science	2	4		Approaching	526	40.49	34	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	1351	46	35	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1355	62	70	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1352	47	56	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2		Exceeds	22	77	63	Yes
Total	10	14	71.4%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	626	47	38	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	645	46	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	143	51	74	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	55	65	58	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	440	50	65	No
Mathematics	16	20	80%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	628	61	72	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	646	63	72	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	145	62	95	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	55	73	81	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	566	65	90	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	625	45	62	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	645	47	59	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	143	52	86	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	55	57	73	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	642	47	79	No
Total	41	60	68.3%	Meets				

coring Guide										Level: N				
coring Guide for	Performance	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report											
erformance Indi	cator Scoring	Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	elFramework Poin				
	The scho	ol's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad	vanced was:			5								
		above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200				Exceeds		4	16					
Academic	• belo	w the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-10) baseline).	Meets	3		(4 for each	25					
Achievemen	t • belo	w the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-10) baseline).		Approaching	2		content area)					
	• belo	w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).			Does Not Meet		1	1 1					
		nool meets the median adequate student growth pe	TCAP	CELA										
	• at or	above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14							
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each					
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area					
Academic	• belo	w 30.	and 2 for	50										
Growth	If the sch	nool does not meet the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median stu	ident growth percentile w	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English					
	• at or	above 70.	· ·		Exceeds	4	2	language						
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)					
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1							
	• belo	w 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	-					
	If the stu	dent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its median	student growth percentile	e was:									
	• at or	above 60.			Exceeds		4							
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3							
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60					
Academic	• belo	w 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5					
Growth Gaps	s If the stu	dent subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student growth percentile and its				subgroups in 3	25						
-	• at or	above 70.			Exceeds	I .	4	subject areas)						
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	1						
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	-						
	• belo	w 40.		Does Not Meet		1	-							
-Points for eac	ch performan	ice indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment								
	Cut Point: Tl	ne school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: T	he school ear	rned	of the	total Framework points elig	ible.				
chievement;	 at or abov 	e 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abov	/e 59%				Performance				
rowth; Gaps	 at or abov 	e 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or abov	/e 47% - below	59%			Improvement				
	 at or abov 	e 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or abov	at or above 37% - below				Priority Improvemen				
	below 37.5% Does Not Meet		Does Not Meet		below 37	%				Turnaround				
ool plan type	assignments													
		Plan description												
formance Plar	ו <u>ו</u>	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	erformance Plan.	A school may not implem	nent a Priority	Improvement a	and/or T	urnarou	ind Plan for longer than a combi	ned total of				
provement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five										
ority Improver	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences c	n July 1 during	the sum	nmer im	mediately following the fall in w	hich the				
									· · · · ·					

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing					
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	Advanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first		Reading			Math				Writing		Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.