School Performance Framework 2012

School: MOUNTAIN VISTA COMMUNITY SCHOOL - 6162

Level: EM

District: HARRISON 2 - 0980 (1 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Improving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

Т

Test Participation Ra	tes															
	% of Students Tested			Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students					
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	140	197	-	337	140	197	-	337
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	140	198	-	338	140	198	-	338
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	140	197	-	337	140	197	-	337
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	50	59	-	109	50	59	-	109
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²								
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	(14.1 out of 25 points)								
Academic Growth	Meets	71.4%	(35.7 out of 50 points)								
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	78.1%	(19.5 out of 25 points)								
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate										

TOTAL	69.3%	(69.3 out of 100 points)
² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an in	dicator due to insu	fficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from

both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted. ³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple

levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary Schoo
School: MOUNTAIN VISTA COMM	NUNITY SCHOO	L					District: HARRISC	ON 2 - 0980 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	139	64.03	33	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	140	62.14	32	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	139	51.08	44	
Science	2	4		Approaching	48	45.83	47	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	86	63	50	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	86	58	61	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	86	44	54	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	68	38	49	No
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	74	63	53	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	68	62	50	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	34	62	51	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	44	61	65	No
Mathematics	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	73	61	65	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	68	61	62	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	34	52	63	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	37	63	81	No
Writing	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	74	48	56	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	68	48	54	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	34	49	57	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	54	43	65	No
Total	34	48	70.8%	Meets				

Performance Indicators							Leve	: Middle Scho
School: MOUNTAIN VISTA COMM	NUNITY SCHOO	L					District: HARRISO	N 2 - 0980 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	193	67.88	40	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	192	57.29	61	
Writing	3	4		Meets	193	58.03	51	
Science	2	4		Approaching	58	39.66	34	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	///////////////////////////////////////	Meets	182	57	41	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	182	68	73	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	185	60	56	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	43	37	45	No
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	145	55	43	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	138	55	45	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	60	55	49	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	75	65	65	Yes
Mathematics	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	144	67	73	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	140	68	75	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	62	64	74	No
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	77	76	94	No
Writing	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	145	60	56	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	138	60	58	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	60	60	57	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	74	65	80	No
Total	41	48	85.4%	Meets				

coring Guide										Level: El	
coring Guide for	Performance In	dicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report								
Performance Indi	catorScoring Gu	ide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	velFramework Poin	
-	The school's	percentage of students scoring proficient or ad	vanced was:				1				
	at or abo	we the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200	9-10 baseline).			Exceeds		4	16		
Academic	below th	e 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-1	10 baseline).		Meets	3		(4 for each	25	
Achievemen	t • below th	e 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-1	10 baseline).		Approaching		2	content area)		
	 below th 	e 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).			Does Not Meet		1			
	If the school	meets the median adequate student growth pe	rcentile and its median student g	rowth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA			
	 at or abo 	ove 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14		
	• below 60) but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each		
	below 45	5 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area		
Academic	below 30).				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50	
Growth	If the school	does not meet the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median st	tudent growth percentile v	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English		
	at or abo	ove 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language		
	• below 70) but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)		
	below 55	5 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1			
	• below 40).				Does Not Meet	1	0.5			
	If the studen	t subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its media	n student growth percenti	le was:		-				
	at or abo	ove 60.		Exceeds		4					
	below 60) but at or above 45.		Meets		3					
	below 45	5 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60		
Academic	below 30).				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5		
Growth Gaps	s If the studen	t subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student growth percentile and it	s median student growth	percentile wa	15:			subgroups in 3	25	
	 at or abo 	ove 70.				Exceeds		4	subject areas)		
	• below 70) but at or above 55.		Meets		3					
	below 55	5 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2			
	• below 40).				Does Not Meet		1			
t-Points for eac	ch performance i	indicator		Cut-Points for plan	n type assig	nment					
	Cut Point: The s	chool earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point:	The school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points el	gible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87	.5%	Exceeds		 at or ab 	ove 59%				Performance	
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62	.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or ab	ove 47% - below	59%			Improvement	
	• at or above 37	.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or ab 	ove 37% - below	47%			Priority Improveme	
	• below 37.5%			• below 3	37%		Turnaround				
hool plan type	assignments										
	Plar	n description									
erformance Plar	n The	school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total							
nprovement Pla	n The	school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years b	efore the Dis	trict or Institute i	s requir	ed to res	structure or close the school. T	ne five	
iority Improver	nent Plan The	school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school year	s commence	s on July 1 during	the sun	nmer im	mediately following the fall in v	vhich the	
· · ·				1 [']		. 0					

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing					
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	Advanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first		Reading			Math				Writing		Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.