Level: I

School: JAMES IRWIN CHARTER HIGH SCHOOL - 4378 District: HARRISON 2 - 0980 (3 Year')

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	68.8%	(10.3 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	100.0%	(35.0 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

79.9%

(79.9 out of 100 points)

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.7%	99.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	676	676	-	-	678	678
Mathematics	-	-	99.7%	99.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	677	677	-	-	679	679
Writing	-	-	99.6%	99.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	675	675	-	-	678	678
Science	-	-	99.7%	99.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	314	314	-	-	315	315
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.6%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	241	-	-	-	242	-





TOTAL

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
School: JAMES IRWIN CHARTER HIGH	SCHOOL						District: HARRISO	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	675	84.89	87	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	676	47.63	83	1
Writing	3	4		Meets	674	70.92	89	
Science	3	4		Meets	314	67.52	86	1
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	628	52	12	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	629	50	67	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	627	50	28	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	16	75%	Meets		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	10/0	Meets	214	47	14	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	307	53	14	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	36	55	20	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	102	59	63	No
Mathematics	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	50.570	Approaching	215	46	73	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	308	49	73	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	36	 54	75	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	239	61	95	No
Writing	12	16	75%	Meets	233			110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	73/0	Meets	214	53	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	307	51	31	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		- 31	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	36	52	52	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	165	60	79	No
Total	33	48	68.8%	Meets	103		.,	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		268/ 186 /117/59	88.1/ 94.1 /92.3/89.8%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	2	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds		64/ 46 /34/19	87.5/ 93.5 /91.2/84.2%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds		95/ 61 /33/17	87.4/ 96.7 /93.9/88.2%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<1	L6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-		L6/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		1370	0.1%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	4	4		Exceeds		241	22.7	20.1
Colorado ACT Composite Score	-	-		LACCCUS		241	22.7	20.1

Scoring Guide Level: H

	formance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report						
Performance Indicate	orScoring Guide			Rating	Point	: Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	el Framework Poi
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advan	nced was:						
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1)	LO baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percent	tile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	seline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percent	tile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	seline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bases)	seline).		Does Not Mee	t	1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	entile and its median student growth	h percentile was:		TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median studen	t growth percentile was:	•	TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.	·		Exceeds	4	2	language	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1	1	
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median stud	dent growth percentile was:		•			
	• at or above 60.	•		Exceeds		4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	t	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and its me	dian student arowth percent	ile was:	•		subgroups in 3	15
C. C	• at or above 70.	g p		Exceeds	Ī	4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	-	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	_	
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	t	1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's araduation rate/da	isagareaated araduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	g		Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	_	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.25	_	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			Poes Hormes	1 -	0.25	16	
Postsecondary and	·			Exceeds	1	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines) hasoling)		Meets		3	indicator)	33
orkioice keauliles		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Approaching		2	- Indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-	to baseline).		177 0	+		-	
	above 10%. Colored ACT Conserve to Conserve Theorem 1.	alanda ACT anna air		Does Not Mee	ų	1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	olorddo ACT composite score w	as:		1	•	_	
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4	_	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10			Meets		3	_	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10	baseline).		Approaching	_	2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Mee	ţ	1		<u> </u>
t-Points for each p	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	pe assignment				
Cu	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The school	earned	. of the to	otal Framework points eligible	le.
Achievement;	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%				Performance
Growth; Gaps	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - bel	ow 60%			Improvement
_	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - bel	ow 47%		Р	riority Improveme

Cut-Points for each	n performan	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	/pe assignment				
	Cut Point: Th	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	at or abov	e 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	e 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	at or abov	e 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer tha	an a combined total of			
Improvement Plan	1	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the	school. The five			
Priority Improvem	ority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Turi	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Pla	an.			

3

SPF 2012 - 0980 - 4378

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0980 - 4378

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	81.3	89.8	89.8	89.8
Anticipated Year	2009	85.5	94.8	94.8	
of Graduation	2010	97.1	97.1		
	2011	87.7			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	75	84.2	84.2	84.2
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	82.4			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	77.8	88.2	88.2	88.2
Anticipated Year	2009	73.7	100	100	
of Graduation	2010	100	100		
	2011	90			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	81.3	89.8	89.8	89.8
Anticipated Year	2009	85.5	94.8	94.8	
of Graduation	2010	97.1	97.1		
	2011	87.7			
	Aggregated	88.1	94.1	92.3	89.8

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	75	84.2	84.2	84.2
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	82.4			
	Aggregated	87.5	93.5	91.2	84.2

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	77.8	88.2	88.2	88.2
Anticipated Year	2009	73.7	100	100	
of Graduation	2010	100	100		
	2011	90			
	Aggregated	87.4	96.7	93.9	88.2

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0980 - 4378