School: CALHAN HIGH SCHOOL - 1218 District: CALHAN RJ-1 - 0970 (3 Year')

# **Performance**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Meets	68.8%	( 10.3 out of 15 points )	
Meets	83.3%	( 29.2 out of 35 points )	
Meets	77.1%	( 11.6 out of 15 points )	
Meets	75.0%	( 26.3 out of 35 points )	
Meets 95% Participation Rate			
	Meets Meets Meets Meets	Meets       68.8%         Meets       83.3%         Meets       77.1%         Meets       75.0%	Meets       68.8%       ( 10.3 out of 15 points )         Meets       83.3%       ( 29.2 out of 35 points )         Meets       77.1%       ( 11.6 out of 15 points )         Meets       75.0%       ( 26.3 out of 35 points )

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

77.4%

( 77.4 out of 100 points )

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

<b>Test Participation Rate</b>	es .															
	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating Students Tested			Total S	Total Students						
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.2%	99.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	256	256	-	-	258	258
Mathematics	-	-	99.6%	99.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	257	257	-	-	258	258
Writing	-	-	99.2%	99.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	256	256	-	-	258	258
Science	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	133	133	-	-	133	133
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.5%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	130	-	-	-	132	-





TOTAL

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
School: CALHAN HIGH SCHOOL							District: CALHAN R	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	248	77.02	66	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	248	29.84	47	1
Writing	3	4		Meets	248	62.5	79	1
Science	3	4		Meets	130	61.54	73	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	231	62	16	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	232	50	86	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	232	68	41	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1			-				1
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	01.370	Exceeds	86	67	23	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	31	55	35	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	24	69	95	No No
English Learners	0	0		- Ivicets	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	65	68	76	No
Mathematics	9	16	56.3%	Approaching	03	08	70	NO
	2	4	30.3/6		86		96	No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Approaching	30	50 58	97	No No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	2	4		Meets	24	50	99	No No
English Learners	0	0		Approaching				
	2	_		^	N<20	-	-	- N-
Students needing to catch up		4	02.00/	Approaching	130	50	99	No
Writing	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	86	73	59	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	31	71	65	Yes
Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	24	76	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20			-
Students needing to catch up	<b>3 37</b>	4	<b>33</b> 40/	Meets	85	68	93	No
Total	3/	48	77.1%	Meets				
		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		198/150/ <b>103</b> /60	88.4/91.3/ <b>92.2</b> /91.7%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.5	2	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets		76/57/ <b>32</b> /16	85.5/86/ <b>87.5/87.5</b> %	80%
Minority Students	0	0		-		L6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets		26/22/ <b>17</b> /N<16	69.2/81.8/ <b>88.2</b> /-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	L6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	,	686	1.3%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		130	19	20.1
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				

Scoring Guide Level: H

de conservations	formance Indicators on the School Performance Frame				0.41	0.7	V-1 -	T. I. I B	15
rformance Indicato					Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Poi
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advar								
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-2				Exceeds	_	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile.		seline).		Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Does Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	entile and its median student growth	n percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	4	
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			C	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	vth percentile and its median studen	t growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.			C	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median stud	dent growth percentile was:						
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds		4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate si	tudent growth percentile and its me	dian student growth percent	tile was:				subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/di	isaggregated graduation	rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4	1	1	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	1	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.5	1	
	• below 65%.			C	Does Not Meet	1	0.25	1	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:							16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-1)	0 baseline).			Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Approaching		2	1	
	• above 10%.	10 2030			Does Not Meet		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	Colorado ACT composite score w	uc.		70001100111000	1	<u>-</u>	1	
	• at or above 22.	olorado Aer composite score w	u3.	T	Exceeds	l I	4	4	
	at or above 22.      at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10).	) haseline)			Meets		3	1	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10				Approaching		2	1	
	• below 17.	buscinic).			Does Not Meet		1	1	
							1		
	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty						
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the					earned	of the to	otal Framework points eligibl	
Achievement; •	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or ab		Pe			
Growth; Gaps •	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or ab	ove 47% - belo	ow 60%	Improvement		
•	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or ab	ove 33% - belo	ow 47%		Р	riority Improveme
	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		below 3	22%				Turnaround

Achievement;	<ul> <li>at or abov</li> </ul>	re 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	re 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%		Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5% Doe		Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Peri	ormance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					
Improvement Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five					
<b>Priority Improvem</b>	ent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Prio	rity Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the					
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Turi	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

3

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0970 - 1218

# **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

## State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# <u>This School's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	90	91.7	91.7	91.7
Anticipated Year	2009	88.6	93	93	
of Graduation	2010	85.4	89.4		
	2011	89.1			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	87.5	87.5	87.5	87.5
Anticipated Year	2009	87.5	87.5	87.5	
of Graduation	2010	83.3	84		
	2011	85			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	90	91.7	91.7	91.7
Anticipated Year	2009	88.6	93	93	
of Graduation	2010	85.4	89.4		
	2011	89.1			
	Aggregated	88.4	91.3	92.2	91.7

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	87.5	87.5	87.5	87.5
Anticipated Year	2009	87.5	87.5	87.5	
of Graduation	2010	83.3	84		
	2011	85			
	Aggregated	85.5	86	87.5	87.5

## Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

## Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	69.2	81.8	88.2	N<16

# English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0970 - 1218