School: ELIZABETH HIGH SCHOOL - 2608 District: ELIZABETH C-1 - 0920 (1 Year')

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	62.5%	(9.4 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	90.0%	(31.5 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		78.5%	(78.5 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates	s															
	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.5%	99.5%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	376	376	-	-	378	378
Mathematics	-	-	99.7%	99.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	377	377	-	-	378	378
Writing	-	-	99.5%	99.5%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	376	376	-	-	378	378
Science	-	-	99.1%	99.1%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	209	209	-	-	211	211
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.4%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	174	-	-	-	175	-





Reading 3	Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
Reading 3	School: ELIZABETH HIGH SCHOOL							District: ELIZABETH	C-1 - 0920 (1 Year
Reading 3	Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
More	Reading	3			Meets	360		81	
	Mathematics	3	4		Meets	361	46.26	78	'
Total 12 15 75% Meets	Writing	3	4		Meets	360	68.61	87	'
Reading 3		3	4		Meets	200	66	80	,
Reading 3	Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Reading 3									Made Adequate
Meteralics 3				% Points					
Metsing 3			·					<u>*</u>	
Total 9 12 75% Meets Subgroup Subgroup Median Subg		_							
Name			<u>-</u>		Meets		57	33	Yes
Rating Points Earmed Points Eligible % Points Rating N Growth Percentile	English Language Proficiency (CELApro)					N<20	-	-	-
Reading	Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Reading							- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Made Adequate
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3	Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible			N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Minority Students		11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Students with Disabilities 2	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets				Yes
English Learners 0 0 0 - N<20	Minority Students	3	4		Meets	53	50		Yes
Students needing to catch up 3	Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	29	51	93	No
Mathematics 9 16 56.3% Approaching Approaching 45 53 98 No	English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching by Minority Students 53 54 98 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching by Students with Disabilities 53 54 98 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching by Students 30 52 99 No English Learners 0 0 - N<20	Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	58	58	77	No
Minority Students 2	Mathematics	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Students with Disabilities 2	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	45	53	98	No
English Learners 0	Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	53	54	98	No
Students needing to catch up 3	Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	30	52	99	No
### Price Pr	English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 45 56 75 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 53 54 63 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 29 48 99 No English Learners 0 0 - N 20 - - N 20 - - - N R 85 N No No No R No	Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	155	67	99	No
Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 53 54 63 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 29 48 99 No English Learners 0 0 - N<20 - - - Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 99 57 85 No Total 30 48 62.5% Meets Points Earned Points Eligible Points Eligible Points Eligible Points Eligible Points Earned Points Eligible Points E	Writing	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 53 54 63 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 29 48 99 No English Learners 0 0 - N<20 - - - Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 99 57 85 No Total 30 48 62.5% Meets Points Earned Points Eligible Points Eligible Points Eligible Points Eligible Points Earned Points Eligible Points E	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	45	56	75	No
Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 29 48 99 No English Learners 0 0 - N<20		2	4			53		63	No
English Learners			4						
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	English Learners	0	0			N<20			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Rate/Score Expectation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr 4 4 Exceeds 210/191/199/194 90/93.2/92.5/93.3% 80%	Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	99	57	85	No
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr 4 4 Exceeds 210/191/199/194 90/93.2/92.5/93.3% 80% Disaggregated Graduation Rate 2.5 3 83.3% Meets Second of the control of the cont	Total	30	48	62.5%	Meets				
Disaggregated Graduation Rate 2.5 3 83.3% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0.75 1 Meets 20/N<16/N<16/18		Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points					Expectation
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0.75 1 Meets 20/N<16/N<16/18 85/-/-/83.3% 80% Minority Students 1 1 Exceeds 26/N<16/19/16		•				2	210/191/199/ 194	90/93.2/92.5/ 93.3 %	80%
Minority Students 1 1 Exceeds 26/N<16/19/16 84.6/-/94.7/93.8% 80% Students with Disabilities 0.75 1 Meets N<16/20/N<16/N<16				83.3%					
Students with Disabilities 0.75 1 Meets N<16/20/N<16/N<16 -/80/-/-% 80% English Learners 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1			2	0 /N<16/N<16/18		80%
English Learners 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-% 80% Dropout Rate 4 4 Exceeds 915 0.9% 3.6% Colorado ACT Composite Score 3 4 Meets 174 21.4 20.0	·		1				26/N<16/ 19 /16		
Dropout Rate 4 4 Exceeds 915 0.9% 3.6% Colorado ACT Composite Score 3 4 Meets 174 21.4 20.0	Students with Disabilities				Meets				
Colorado ACT Composite Score34Meets17421.420.0	English Learners	0	0		-	N<1			
		4	4		Exceeds			0.9%	3.6%
Total 13.5 15 90% Exceeds	Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets		174	21.4	20.0
	Total	13.5	15	90%	Exceeds				

Scoring Guide Level: H

erformance Indicato	orScoring Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	velFramework Poi
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or adva	nced was:							
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-	10 baseline).			Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile.	ntile of all schools (using 2009-10 base	line).		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile.	ntile of all schools (using 2009-10 base	line).	1	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	seline).	•	D	oes Not Meet		1	1	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	· ·	percentile was:	•		TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			D	oes Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grov	vth percentile and its median student o	growth percentile was:	•		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, ,	Т	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1	1	
	• below 40.				oes Not Meet	1	0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median stude	nt arowth percentile was:		ocs wet meet		0.5		
	• at or above 60.		- J	1	Exceeds	I	4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3	1	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				oes Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate s	tudent arowth percentile and its media	an student arowth nercent					subgroups in 3	15
Crown Cups	• at or above 70.	taacht growth percentile and its meat	an seadene growen percent	<u> </u>	Exceeds	l	4	subject areas)	10
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3	Subject areas,	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2	†	
	• below 40.				oes Not Meet		1	-	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/disc	naareaated araduation	-	ocs wot meet	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	The sensor's graduation rate, also	aggregatea graduation	1	Exceeds	4	1	1	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	1	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.73	1	
	• below 65%.			D.	oes Not Meet	1	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				ocs Not Micci		0.23	16	
Dasta a sandam, and	• at or below 1%.			1	Evenede	ı	4	-	35
Postsecondary and		01			Exceeds			(4 for each sub-	35
Vorkforce Readines		<u> </u>			Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-	10 baseline).			Approaching		2	-	
	• above 10%.			D	oes Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	colorado ACT composite score was	S:					ı	
	at or above 22.				Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)				Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)) baseline).			Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			D	oes Not Meet		1		
t-Points for each p	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	ype assignn	ment				
Cu	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the	nis Indicator.		Cut Point:	The school	earned	of the to	otal Framework points elig	ble.
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abo					Performance
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		ove 47% - belo	ow 60%			Improvement
Growth: Gaps									
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points		ove 33% - belo				Priority Improveme

		se maleutor		cat i onito for plant	1-2-1-1		
	Cut Point: Th	ne school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points e	ligible.	
Achievement;	at or abov	e 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance	
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	e 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement	
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching				• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround	
School plan type a	ssignments						
		Plan description					
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Peri	ormance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combin	ned total of	
Improvement Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The	e five	
Priority Improvem	ent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Prio	consecutive school years co	ommences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in w	hich the		
Turnaround Plan	around Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan. school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.						

3

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing Science			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math	Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High		
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347		
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93		
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00		
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45		

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0920 - 2608

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	89.9	92.3	92.8	93.3
Anticipated Year	2009	89.3	92.5	92.5	
of Graduation	2010	94.8	93.2		
	2011	90			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	83.3	83.3	83.3	83.3
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	85			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	87.5	93.8	93.8	93.8
Anticipated Year	2009	94.7	94.7	94.7	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	84.6			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	64.7	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	90	80		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	89.9	92.3	92.8	93.3
Anticipated Year	2009	89.3	92.5	92.5	
of Graduation	2010	94.8	93.2		
	2011	90			
	Aggregated	90.9	92.7	92.6	93.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	83.3	83.3	83.3	83.3
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	85			
	Aggregated	83.3	82.5	84.6	83.3

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	87.5	93.8	93.8	93.8
Anticipated Year	2009	94.7	94.7	94.7	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	84.6			
	Aggregated	87.7	91.5	94.3	93.8

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	64.7	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	90	80		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	76.7	75	69	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0920 - 2608