## School Performance Framework 2012

#### School: ROCKY HEIGHTS MIDDLE SCHOOL - 7448

# Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

lest	Framework Points Earned	Plan Assignment
	at or above 59%	Performance
тот	at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement
	at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
<sup>2</sup> Schc	below 37%	Turnaround

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	( 25.0 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	78.6%	( 39.3 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	63.3%	( 15.8 out of 25 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		80.1%	( 80.1 out of 100 points )	

ools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage <sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

#### **Test Participation Rates**

Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Improving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Student	s Tested		Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	99.5%	-	99.5%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	3885	-	3885	-	3903	-	3903
Mathematics	-	99.8%	-	99.8%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	3894	-	3894	-	3903	-	3903
Writing	-	99.5%	-	99.5%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	3884	-	3884	-	3903	-	3903
Science	-	99.2%	-	99.2%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	1269	-	1269	-	1279	-	1279
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Final plan type based on: 3 Year SPF report.

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Schoo
School: ROCKY HEIGHTS MIDDLE	SCHOOL						District: DOUGLAS COUNTY	RE 1 - 0900 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	3827	88.42	91	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	3836	83.37	95	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	3826	81.52	92	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	1249	73.02	91	
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Doints Farmod	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate
	Points Earned	<b>y</b>	% POINTS					Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	3617	50	16	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	3630	53	36	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	3616	52	30	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2	70.00	Exceeds	39	70	50	Yes
Total	11	14	78.6%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	80	49	27	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	516	50	19	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	252	43	57	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	67	55	38	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	380	53	57	No
Mathematics	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	81	52	60	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	516	54	38	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	256	50	82	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	67	65	56	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	450	53	84	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	80	37	45	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	516	56	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	250	44	74	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	67	55	54	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	579	56	72	No
Total	38	60	63.3%	Meets				

coring Guide										Level: N			
coring Guide for	Performance	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report										
erformance Indi	cator Scoring	Guide	·			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	elFramework Poin			
	The scho	ol's percentage of students scoring proficient or adv	vanced was:			5							
		above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200				Exceeds	I .	4	16				
Academic	• belo	w the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-10	) baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25				
Achievemen	t • belo	w the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-10	0 baseline).		Approaching		2	content area)				
	• belo	w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 l	paseline).			Does Not Meet		1	1 1				
		nool meets the median adequate student growth pe	•	owth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA					
	• at or	above 60.		·		Exceeds	4	2	14				
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each				
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area				
Academic	• belo	w 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50			
Growth	If the sch	nool does not meet the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median stu	as:		TCAP	CELA	English					
	• at or	above 70.	•		1	Exceeds	4	2	language				
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)					
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2	1							
	• belo	w 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	-				
	If the stu	dent subgroup meets the median adequate student	growth percentile and its median	student growth percentile	e was:								
	• at or	above 60.		Exceeds		4							
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3						
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60				
Academic	• belo	w 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5				
Growth Gaps	s If the stu	dent subgroup does not meet the median adequate	student growth percentile and its	median student growth p	percentile was:				subgroups in 3	25			
-	• at or	above 70.				Exceeds	I .	4	subject areas)				
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	1					
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	-						
	• belo	w 40.				Does Not Meet		1	-				
-Points for eac	ch performan	ice indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment							
	Cut Point: Tl	ne school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: T	he school ear	rned	of the	total Framework points elig	ible.			
chievement;	<ul> <li>at or abov</li> </ul>	e 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abov	/e 59%				Performance			
rowth; Gaps	<ul> <li>at or abov</li> </ul>	e 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or abov	/e 47% - below	59%			Improvement			
	<ul> <li>at or abov</li> </ul>	e 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or abov	/e 37% - below	47%			Priority Improvemen			
below 37.5%     Does Not Meet					• below 379	%			Turnaround				
ool plan type	assignments												
		Plan description											
formance Plar	ו <u>ו</u>	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of									
provement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an li	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years be	efore the Distri	ct or Institute is	s require	ed to res	structure or close the school. The	e five			
ority Improver	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences c	n July 1 during	the sum	nmer im	mediately following the fall in w	hich the			
									· · · · ·				

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

## Reference

### 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

## **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing					
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)												
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.