# School Performance Framework 2012

### School: SIERRA MIDDLE SCHOOL - 6773

District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (1 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Level: N

Ρ	erf	orn	าลท	ce
	<b>.</b>	<b>U</b>		

t	signment Framework Points Earned	Test
	nance at or above 59%	
	ement at or above 47% - below 59%	тот
ment	Improvement at or above 37% - below 47%	
	bund below 37%	<sup>2</sup> Scho

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	( 18.8 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	83.3%	( 41.7 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	56.3%	( 14.1 out of 25 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		74.6%	( 74.6 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

# <sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

#### Test Participation Rates

Improving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Student	ts Tested		Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	
Reading	-	99.0%	-	99.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	944	-	944	-	954	-	954	
Mathematics	-	99.3%	-	99.3%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	947	-	947	-	954	-	954	
Writing	-	99.3%	-	99.3%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	947	-	947	-	954	-	954	
Science	-	98.9%	-	98.9%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	463	-	463	-	468	-	468	
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

								el: Middle Scho
School: SIERRA MIDDLE SCHOOL							District: DOUGLAS COUNTY	RE 1 - 0900 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	918	78.32	66	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	921	68.95	80	
Writing	3	4		Meets	921	72.2	79	
Science	3	4		Meets	454	57.93	66	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	880	45	21	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	882	62	55	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	883	49	41	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
		-			Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	118	45	30	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	212	48	25	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	78	34	64	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	164	48	56	No
Mathematics	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	117	53	75	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	213	62	65	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	78	41	94	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	248	55	90	No
Writing	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	118	46	55	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	213	48	46	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	78	38	83	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	204	48	78	No
Total	27	48	56.3%	Approaching				

coring Guide										Level: N		
coring Guide for	Performance	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report									
erformance Indi	cator Scoring	Guide	·			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	elFramework Poin		
	The scho	ol's percentage of students scoring proficient or adv	vanced was:			5						
		above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200				Exceeds	4		16			
Academic	• belo	w the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-10	) baseline).		Meets	3		(4 for each	25		
Achievemen	t • belo	w the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-10	0 baseline).		Approaching	aching		content area)			
	• belo	w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 l	paseline).	Does Not Meet		1	1 1					
		nool meets the median adequate student growth pe	•	owth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA				
	• at or	above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14					
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each				
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area			
Academic	• belo	w 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50		
Growth	If the sch	nool does not meet the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median stu	ident growth percentile w	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English			
	• at or	above 70.	•		1	Exceeds	4	2	language			
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)			
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1					
	• belo	w 40.	1	0.5	-							
	If the stu	dent subgroup meets the median adequate student	growth percentile and its median	student growth percentile	e was:							
	• at or	above 60.			Exceeds		4					
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3					
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60				
Academic	• belo	w 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5			
Growth Gaps	s If the stu	dent subgroup does not meet the median adequate			subgroups in 3	25						
-	• at or	above 70.			Exceeds	4		subject areas)				
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	1				
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	-				
	• belo	w 40.			Does Not Meet		1	-				
-Points for eac	ch performan	ice indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment						
	Cut Point: Tl	ne school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: T	he school ear	rned	of the	total Framework points elig	ible.		
chievement;	<ul> <li>at or abov</li> </ul>	e 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abov	/e 59%				Performance		
rowth; Gaps	<ul> <li>at or abov</li> </ul>	e 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or abov	/e 47% - below	59%			Improvement		
	<ul> <li>at or abov</li> </ul>	e 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or abov	/e 37% - below	47%			Priority Improvemen		
	below 37.5%     Does Not Meet				• below 379	%				Turnaround		
ool plan type	assignments											
		Plan description										
formance Plar	ו <u>ו</u>	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of								
provement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an li	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
ority Improver	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences c	n July 1 during	the sum	nmer im	mediately following the fall in w	hich the		
									· · · · ·			

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

## Reference

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

## **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008-	-10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.