# School Performance Framework 2012

#### School: SKYVIEW ACADEMY - 6365

District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (1 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Performance
-------------

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

lest	Framework Points Earned	Plan Assignment				
	at or above 59%	Performance				
тот	at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement				
	at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement				
<sup>2</sup> Sch	below 37%	Turnaround				

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	Rating/Plan % of Points Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>									
Academic Achievement	Meets	78.6%	( 19.7 out of 25 points )								
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	( 37.5 out of 50 points )								
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	80.0%	( 20.0 out of 25 points )								
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate										
TOTAL		77.2%	( 77.2 out of 100 points )								

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

SCHOOLVIew.org

### **Test Participation Rates**

Improving

Academic

Achievement

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	100.0%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	243	100	-	343	244	100	-	344
Mathematics	99.6%	100.0%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	243	100	-	343	244	100	-	344
Writing	99.2%	98.0%	-	98.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	243	98	-	341	245	100	-	345
Science	98.6%	0.0%	-	98.6%	Meets	-	-	Meets	72	0	-	72	73	0	-	73
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

1

Final plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report.

Level: EM

Performance Indicators							Level: Ele	ementary Scho
School: SKYVIEW ACADEMY							District: DOUGLAS COUNTY R	E 1 - 0900 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	243	90.12	91	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	243	86.01	83	
Writing	3	4		Meets	243	69.96	80	
Science	3	4		Meets	72	66.67	80	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	143	52	20	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	143	51	35	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	142	59	37	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth		Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	44	49	22	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	44	63	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Writing	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	44	61	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	39	49	56	No
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Schoo
School: SKYVIEW ACADEMY							District: DOUGLAS COUNTY I	RE 1 - 0900 (1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4	/01/01/10	Meets	100	87	86	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	100	66	75	
Writing	3	4		Meets	98	79.59	89	
Science	0	0		-				
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	86	57	16	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	85	49	49	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	84	57	28	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	· -	-	N<20	-		-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20			-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>		-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Mathematics	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20		- -	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>		-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	23	56	93	No
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	- -	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Total	3	4	75%	Meets				

coring Guide										Level: El			
coring Guide for	Performance In	dicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report										
Performance Indi	catorScoring Gu	ide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	velFramework Poin			
-	The school's	percentage of students scoring proficient or ad	vanced was:				1						
	at or abo	we the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200	9-10 baseline).			Exceeds		4	16				
Academic	below th	e 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-1	10 baseline).		Meets	3		(4 for each	25			
Achievemen	t • below th	e 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-1	10 baseline).		Approaching		2	content area)				
	<ul> <li>below th</li> </ul>	e 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).	Does Not Meet		1							
	If the school	meets the median adequate student growth pe	rcentile and its median student g	rowth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA					
	<ul> <li>at or abo</li> </ul>	ove 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14				
	• below 60	) but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each				
	below 45	5 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area				
Academic	below 30	).				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50			
Growth	If the school	does not meet the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median st	tudent growth percentile v	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English				
	at or abo	ove 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language				
	• below 70	) but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)				
	below 55	5 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2	1							
	• below 40	).			Does Not Meet	1	0.5						
	If the studen	t subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its media	n student growth percenti	le was:		-						
	at or abo	ove 60.		Exceeds		4							
	below 60	) but at or above 45.		Meets		3							
	below 45	5 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60					
Academic	below 30	).		Does Not Meet					(4 for each of 5				
Growth Gaps	s If the studen	t subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student growth percentile and it	s median student growth	percentile wa	15:			subgroups in 3	25			
	<ul> <li>at or abo</li> </ul>	ove 70.				Exceeds		4	subject areas)				
	• below 70	) but at or above 55.		Meets		3							
	below 55	5 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2							
	• below 40	).				Does Not Meet		1					
t-Points for eac	ch performance i	indicator		Cut-Points for plan	n type assig	nment							
	Cut Point: The s	chool earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point:	The school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points el	gible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87	.5%	Exceeds		<ul> <li>at or ab</li> </ul>	ove 59%				Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62	.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or ab	ove 47% - below	59%			Improvement			
	• at or above 37	.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or ab	ove 37% - below	47%			Priority Improveme			
	• below 37.5%			• below 3	37%			Turnaround					
hool plan type	assignments												
	Plar	n description											
erformance Plar	n The	school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of									
nprovement Pla	n The	school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five									
iority Improver	nent Plan The	school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school year	s commence	s on July 1 during	the sun	nmer im	mediately following the fall in v	vhich the			
· · ·				1 <sup>'</sup>		. 0							

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

# Reference

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)													
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	Advanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 <sup>.</sup>	10 base	line)		
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math				Writing		Science			
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.