District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (1 Year1)

School: MOUNTAIN VISTA HIGH SCHOOL - 6165

# **Performance**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	( 15.0 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	( 26.3 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	68.3%	( 10.2 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	100.0%	( 35.0 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		86.5%	( 86.5 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	96.9%	96.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1012	1012	-	-	1044	1044
Mathematics	-	-	97.1%	97.1%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1016	1016	-	-	1046	1046
Writing	-	-	97.0%	97.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1013	1013	-	-	1044	1044
Science	-	-	96.6%	96.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	510	510	-	-	528	528
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.6%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	502	-	-	-	504	-





Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High School
School: MOUNTAIN VISTA HIGH SCHO	OOL						District: DOUGLAS COUNTY R	E 1 - 0900 (1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	·
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	992	89.11	93	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	996	57.53	92	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	993	75.93	94	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	498	72.49	90	
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	952	54	6	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	953	52	45	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	953	54	20	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20			-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Cook	Cook and a second a second	Cultura Marillana Arlana	Manda Calanna
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	16	20	80%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	63	60	21	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	170	54	7	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	43	49	72	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	25	68	27	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4	1	Meets	117	59	60	No
Mathematics	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	63	49	83	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	169	53	57	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	43	41	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	25	58	94	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4	1	Meets	323	56	96	No
Writing .	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	63	54	55	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	170	53	26	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	44	38	96	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	25	75	69	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	210	56	82	No
Total	41	60	68.3%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	4	174/ <b>469</b> /463/435	93.9/ <b>97.2</b> /95.9/96.6%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	3	3	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1	ı	Exceeds	2	1/ <b>19</b> /N<16/N<16	90.5/ <b>100</b> /-/-%	80%
Minority Students	1	1	1	Exceeds		90/ <b>55</b> /75/47	90/ <b>100</b> /93.3/95.7%	80%
Students with Disabilities	1	1		Exceeds		34/24/30/ <b>20</b>	82.4/91.7/90/ <b>100</b> %	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	6/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4	1	Exceeds		2257	0.2%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	4	4		Exceeds		502	23.1	20.0
Total	15	15	100%	Exceeds				

Scoring Guide Level: H

erformance Indicate	orScoring Guide			Rating	Poin	: Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	velFramework Poi
.,	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advar	nced was:		9	1 0		,	
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1)			Exceeds	T	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile		line).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile.	<u>`                                 </u>		Approachin	7	2	content area)	
Acmevement	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bases)	· •		Does Not Me	et	1	_ content area,	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percent	,	nercentile was:	DOCS NOT WIL	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	since and its median seadent growing	rerection was	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approachin	2 2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Me		0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	uth nercentile and its median student	arowth nercentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English	
Growth	• at or above 70.	tin percentile una les median stadent (	grower percentile was.	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approachin	2 2	1	_ proneiency)	
	• below 40.			Does Not Me	_	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth nercentile and its median stude	nt arowth nercentile was:	DOCS NOT WIC	<u> </u>			
	• at or above 60.	town percentile and its median stade	ne growen percentine wasi	Exceeds	T	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approachin	2	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Me	et	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	tudent arowth percentile and its media	an student arowth nercent			_	subgroups in 3	15
Crown Cups	• at or above 70.	audent growth percentile und its medic	an scadenc growen percent	Exceeds	T	4	subject areas)	13
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	_ subject areasy	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approachin	7	2	1	
	• below 40.			Does Not Me	_	1	_	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's araduation rate/disc	agareaated araduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	The seriour's graduation rate, aist	aggregated graduation	Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	_	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approachin	2 2	0.75		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Me		0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			DOCS NOT INC	cq _	0.23	16	
lasta a samula me a mal				Exceeds	1	4	7	35
ostsecondary and		01 1: )			-	•	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines	3 1			Meets	+	3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-	10 baseline).		Approachin	3	2	_	
	• above 10%.			Does Not Me	et	1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	olorado ACT composite score was	s:	<u> </u>	1			
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)			Meets	_	3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)	) baseline).		Approachin	3	2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Me	et	1		
-Points for each p	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	pe assignment				
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	nis Indicator.			l earned	. of the to	otal Framework points elig	ble.
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%				Performance
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - b	low 60%			Improvement
· -	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	at or above 33% - b				Priority Improveme
								.,

Cut-Points for eac	h performan	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	at or abov	re 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	re 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	at or abov	re 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Peri	formance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longe	er than a combined total of			
Improvement Plan	1	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or close	the school. The five			
<b>Priority Improvem</b>	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Turi	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaroun	nd Plan.			

3

SPF 2012 - 0900 - 6165

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	


# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0900 - 6165

## **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# <u>This School's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	93.1	95.4	96.1	96.6
Anticipated Year	2009	93.1	95.3	95.9	
of Graduation	2010	95.3	97.2		
	2011	93.9			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	90	100		
	2011	90.5			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	89.4	95.7	95.7	95.7
Anticipated Year	2009	88.2	93.2	93.3	
of Graduation	2010	98.1	100		
	2011	90			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	75	85	100	100
Anticipated Year	2009	71.9	86.7	90	
of Graduation	2010	87.5	91.7		
	2011	82.4			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	93.1	95.4	96.1	96.6
Anticipated Year	2009	93.1	95.3	95.9	
of Graduation	2010	95.3	97.2		
	2011	93.9			
	Aggregated	93.9	96	96	96.6

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	90	100		
	2011	90.5			
	Aggregated	82.3	92.3	85.7	N<16

### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	89.4	95.7	95.7	95.7
Anticipated Year	2009	88.2	93.2	93.3	
of Graduation	2010	98.1	100		
	2011	90			
	Aggregated	91	96	94.3	95.7

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	75	85	100	100
Anticipated Year	2009	71.9	86.7	90	
of Graduation	2010	87.5	91.7		
	2011	82.4			
	Aggregated	79.1	87.8	94	100

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	96.8	95.5	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0900 - 6165