Level: MH

School: MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. EARLY COLLEGE - 5605 District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year³)

Improvement (Revised)

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%
Improvement Priority Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60% at or above 33% - below 47%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	37.5%	(5.6 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.1%	(23.1 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	65.8%	(9.9 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	85.7%	(30.0 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

68.6%

(68.6 out of 100 points)

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	99.2%	100.0%	99.4%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	2245	768	3013	-	2263	768	3031
Mathematics	-	98.9%	99.5%	99.1%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	2239	764	3003	-	2263	768	3031
Writing	-	99.5%	100.0%	99.6%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	2252	768	3020	-	2263	768	3031
Science	-	98.7%	99.4%	98.9%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	754	355	1109	-	764	357	1121
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	253	-	-	-	253	-





TOTAL

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Scho
School: MARTIN LUTHER KING JR	. EARLY COLLEC	GE					District: DENVER COUNT	ΓΥ 1 - 0880 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	2100	34.52	4	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	2094	21.78	6	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	2106	24.07	6	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	710	13.1	5	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1943	47	61	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1930	45	90	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1944	49	80	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	615	43	55	No
Total	7	14	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1624	46	63	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1868	47	62	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4	-	Approaching	216	43	92	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1036	47	64	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1256	48	75	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1611	44	91	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1855	45	90	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	212	47	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1032	44	89	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1365	48	97	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1624	50	82	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1869	49	81	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	218	44	96	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1036	51	82	No
A. I II I	2	4		Approaching	1424	51	87	No
Students needing to catch up	2	7		Approaching	1727	31	0,	

Performance Indicators								vel: High Schoo
School: MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. EA	RLY COLLEGE						District: DENVER COUNT	Y 1 - 0880 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	750	59.73	22	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	746	20.38	26	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	750	30	15	
Science	2	4		Approaching	348	30.17	16	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
			~				Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	<u> % Points</u>	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	712	64	46	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	709	60	98	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	712	60	82	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	166	57	72	No
Total	11.5	14	82.1%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	18	20	90%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	3070	Exceeds	560	64	53	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	670	64	49	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	35	61	98	No
English Learners	<u>3</u>	4		Exceeds	434	62	58	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	341	65	81	Yes No
			750/		341		01	INU
Mathematics	15	20	75%	Meets				•
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	557	58	99	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	667	59	99	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	35	64	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	431	59	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	512	64	99	No
Writing	16	20	80%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	560	61	85	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	670	61	83	No
Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	35	79	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	434	64	87	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	486	64	93	No
Total	49	60	81.7%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	1	60 /80/N<16/N<16	90/90/-/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	2	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds	12	20/ 59 /N<16/N<16	90.8 /91.5 /-/-%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	14	44/ 75 /N<16/N<16	90.3/ 90.7 /-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<1	.6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	.6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		2117	0.5%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		253	17.2	20.1
Total	12	14	85.7%	Meets				

Scoring Guide Level: MH

Scoring Guide for Per	formance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report							
Performance Indicato	rScoring Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	el Framework Point
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advar	nced was:							
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1)	LO baseline).			Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percent	tile of all schools (using 2009-10 basel	ine).		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percent	tile of all schools (using 2009-10 basel	ine).		Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 base)	seline).			Does Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	entile and its median student growth p	ercentile was:			TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	rth percentile and its median student g	growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student gi	rowth percentile and its median stude	nt growth percentile was:						
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds		4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and its medic	ın student growth percent	tile was:				subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/disc	iggregated graduation	rate was	:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.				Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:							16	
Postsecondary and	at or below 1%.				Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) baseline).			Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-2)	10 baseline).			Approaching		2		
	above 10%.				Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	olorado ACT composite score was	:						
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds		4	1	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10	baseline).			Meets		3	1	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10	baseline).			Approaching		2		
	• below 17.				Does Not Meet		1		
ut-Points for each p	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	vpe assign	nment				
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.				earned	of the to	tal Framework points eligib	le.
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds			bove 60%		J. the te	rumework points eligit	Performance
	at or above 67.5% at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		ibove 47% - belo	ow 60%			Improvement
	at or above 37.5% - below 67.5% at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points		bove 33% - belo				Priority Improvement
	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	ronits	• below		J * * 7 / 0			Turnaround
chool plan type assig		- Does Not Iviect		Delow					Juniaround
choor plan type assi									
	Plan description								

4

Performance Plan

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

Priority Improvement Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.

SPF 2012 - 0880 - 5605

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

5



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0880 - 5605

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	89.6	92.3		
	2011	91.5			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	91.2	93.1		
	2011	90.5			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	91.5	93.2		
	2011	90.3			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	89.6	92.3		
	2011	91.5			
	Aggregated	90	90	N<16	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	91.2	93.1		
	2011	90.5			
	Aggregated	90.8	91.5	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	91.5	93.2		
	2011	90.3			
	Aggregated	90.3	90.7	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

6

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0880 - 5605