Level: MH

School: DENVER CENTER FOR INTERNATIONAL STUDIES - 2183 District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year')

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	78.6%	(27.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	63.5%	(9.5 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	78.6%	(27.5 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	ots QEV Participation I			

Test Participation³ Meets 95% Participation Rate

² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned	

75.8%

(75.8 out of 100 points)

and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

3 Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading writing math science and COACT) or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary middle and high schools).

participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation I	Rates															
		% of Stu	dents Tested	1		ı	Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	99.4%	94.5%	97.7%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	361	188	549	-	363	199	562
Mathematics	-	99.4%	94.5%	97.7%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	361	188	549	-	363	199	562
Writing	-	94.2%	94.5%	94.3%	-	Does Not Meet	Meets	Does Not Meet	-	342	188	530	-	363	199	562
Science	-	99.2%	89.7%	95.3%	-	Meets	Does Not Meet	Meets	-	125	78	203	-	126	87	213
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	77	-	-	-	77	-





TOTAL

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Scho
School: DENVER CENTER FOR INT	ERNATIONAL S	TUDIES					District: DENVER COUN	TY 1 - 0880 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	358	80.45	71	,
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	358	63.13	71	
Writing	3	4		Meets	339	78.76	88	
Science	3	4		Meets	125	64	75	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	349	52	19	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	350	42	54	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	330	58	38	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	33	60	62	No
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	16	62.5%	Meets		·		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	127	48	32	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	215	50	24	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	- -
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	100	44	36	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	55	48	62	No
Mathematics	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	127	35	69	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	216	35	63	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	100	42	69	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	98	43	87	No
Writing	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	118	53	53	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	203	52	44	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	95	51	55	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	79	62	75	No

Performance Indicators								vel: High Schoo
School: DENVER CENTER FOR INTERN	IATIONAL STUD	IES					District: DENVER COUNT	Y 1 - 0880 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	184	77.72	67	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	184	34.24	51	
Writing	3	4		Meets	184	55.98	63	
Science	3	4		Meets	77	53.25	56	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	166	63	12	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	166	55	87	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	166	60	52	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	21	63	64	No
Total	12.5	14	89.3%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	33.070	Exceeds	88	60	32	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	117	63	22	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		LACEEUS	N<20		22	163
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	47	66	54	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	43	57	80	No
		16	F09/		43		80	INU
Mathematics			50%	Approaching	00	46	00	NI -
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	88	46	98	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	117	47	97	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20		-	
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	47	44	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4	22.22/	Approaching	87	48	99	No
Writing	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	88	59	74	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	117	54	66	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		<u> </u>	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	47	55	93	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	69	60	92	No
Total	34	48	70.8%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		50/40/31/ 23	90/95/87.1/ 95.7 %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	2	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds		30/30/ 21/20	93.3/ 100 /90.5/ 100 %	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	36/34/21/ 20		91.7/97.1/85.7/ 100 %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<16/N<16/N<16		-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16/N<16/N<16		-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	418		1.7%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		77	19.4	20.0
Total	11	14	78.6%	Meets				

3

SPF 2012 - 0880 - 2183

Scoring Guide Level: MH

erformance Indicato	Scorina Guide			Ratin	Poi	int Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	elFramework Poir
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advar	nced was:			, , , , ,		Per anni actività per anni activi	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1			Excee	s	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percen	•	ne).	Meet		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percen			Approac		2	content area)	
7.Cilic Vellicite	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 base)			Does Not		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	·	ercentile was:	B 0 0 0 1 1 0 1	TCAF			
	• at or above 60.			Excee	s 4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meet	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approac	ing 2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not	∕leet 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	rth percentile and its median student ar	rowth percentile was:	•	TCAF	CELA	English	
G. G. I. I. I.	• at or above 70.	p		Excee	_	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meet		1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approac	ing 2	1		
	• below 40.			Does Not		0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median studen	t growth percentile was:					
	• at or above 60.		· 9 · · · p · · · · · · · · · ·	Excee	s	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meet	_	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approac	ing	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not	∕leet	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	udent arowth percentile and its median	n student arowth percent		•		subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.			Excee	s	4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meet		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approac		2		
	• below 40.			Does Not		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/disag	gareaated araduation		Overa	all Disaggr		
	• at or above 90%.	The series of graduation rate, assay	gg. egatea g. aaaation	Excee		1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meet		0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approac		0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not		0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			B 0 0 0 1 1 0 1	1000	0.25	16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Excee	<u>. </u>	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness) basalina)				3	−	33
orkiorce Readiness				Meet		2	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-1	to baseline).		Approac		1	_	
	• above 10%.	alaunda ACT aanaasita aanaa waa		Does Not	леец	1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Co	olorado ACT composite score was:		1 -	<u> </u>			
	• at or above 22.	I P		Excee		4	_	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10			Meet		3	_	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10	baseline).		Approac		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not	/leet	1		
t-Points for each pe	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	pe assignment				
Cut	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The sch	ool earned	l of the t	otal Framework points eligib	le.
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%				Performance
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	below 60%			Improvement
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33%	below 47%		F	riority Improvemen
		11 0		• below 33%				Turnaround

	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework	points eligible.		
Achievement;	at or abov	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance		
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement		
	at or abov	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%		Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround		
School plan type a	ssignments							
		Plan description						
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan.	A school may not impleme	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than	a combined total of		
Improvement Plan)	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	ore the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the so	chool. The five		
Priority Improvem	ent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Price	rity Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years co	ommences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the	e fall in which the		
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Tur	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.				
					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

4

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

5



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0880 - 2183

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This School's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	95.5	95.5	95.7	95.7
Anticipated Year	2009	87.1	87.1	87.1	
of Graduation	2010	92.5	95		
	2011	90			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	100	100	100	100
Anticipated Year	2009	90.5	90.5	90.5	
of Graduation	2010	96.7	100		
	2011	93.3			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	100	100	100	100
Anticipated Year	2009	85.7	85.7	85.7	
of Graduation	2010	94.1	97.1		
	2011	91.7			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	95.5	95.5	95.7	95.7
Anticipated Year	2009	87.1	87.1	87.1	
of Graduation	2010	92.5	95		
	2011	90			
	Aggregated	90.9	92.5	90.7	95.7

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	100	100	100	100
Anticipated Year	2009	90.5	90.5	90.5	
of Graduation	2010	96.7	100		
	2011	93.3			
	Aggregated	95	97.1	95.1	100

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	100	100	100	100
Anticipated Year	2009	85.7	85.7	85.7	
of Graduation	2010	94.1	97.1		
	2011	91.7			
	Aggregated	92.7	94.6	92.7	100

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

6

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	96	100	100	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0880 - 2183