School Performance Framework 2012

School: DELTA HIGH SCHOOL - 2164

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

			Distric	DELIA COONTI 50(5) - 0870 (1 Tea
Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	(8.4 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.3%	(8.7 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	87.5%	(30.6 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		71.0%	(71.0 out of 100 points)	
² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points	on an indicator due to insufficient	numbers of s	tudents. In these cases, the points	are removed from both the points earne

and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

		% of Stu	idents Tested			Participo	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	98.7%	98.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	313	313	-	-	317	317
Mathematics	-	-	99.7%	99.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	316	316	-	-	317	317
Writing	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	315	315	-	-	317	317
Science	-	-	99.3%	99.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	149	149	-	-	150	150
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	135	-	-	-	135	-

SCHOOLVIeW.org

Level: F

District: DELTA COUNTY 50(J) - 0870 (1 Year¹)

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High School
School: DELTA HIGH SCHOOL							District: DELTA COUNTY 50	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	()
Reading	2	4		Approaching	303	67.99	35	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	305	28.85	39	
Writing	3	4		Meets	305	50.16	50	
Science	2	4		Approaching	143	37.76	26	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	283	59	25	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	285	41	89	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	283	52	50	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	156	59	33	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	104	59	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	67	57	47	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	98	58	80	No
Mathematics	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	158	42	98	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	105	44	95	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		_	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	68	47	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	153	47	99	No
Writing	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	155	46	68	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	103	49	67	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		_	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	66	51	75	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	128	50	93	No
Total	28	48	58.3%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		Ν	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	1	158/ 178 /143/151	91.1/ 94.9 /93.7/89.4%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds		96/ 95 /75/85	90.6/ 91.6 /89.3/85.9%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds		51/ 40 /39/53	90.2/ 95 /92.3/83%	80%
Students with Disabilities	1	1		Exceeds		18/ 20 /18/17	77.8/ 95 /94.4/82.4%	80%
English Learners	1	1		Exceeds	3	80 /N<16/N<16/22	90 /-/-/68.2%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		789	0.5%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		135	18.5	20.0
Total	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

									Level.
	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	ework Report							
erformance Indicator	-				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Poir
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advo				1				
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009)	•			Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile				Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile		baseline).		proaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bits)	•		Doe	es Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth per	centile and its median student grow	vth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
• · · · • • ·	below 45 but at or above 30.				proaching	2	1	content area	25
Academic	• below 30.			Doe	es Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student gro	wth percentile and its median stud	ent growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				proaching	2	1	-	
	• below 40.				es Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student s • at or above 60.	growth percentile and its median si	ludent growth percentile was		Exceeds		4	4	
							3	-	
	 below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30. 				Meets			- 60	
Acadomic	• below 45 but at of above 50.			proaching		2 60 1 (4 for each of 5			
Academic					es Not Meet		1		15
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate s	student growth percentile and its h	neulun student growth percer		Eveneda		4	subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds		4 3	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.below 55 but at or above 40.				Meets		2	-	
	• below 35 but at of above 40.		Approaching 2 Does Not Meet 1			-			
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate	· The school's graduation rate	disagaragated graduatio		s NUL MEEL	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		uisuyyieyuteu yiuuuutio		Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	-	
	at or above 85% but below 90%.				proaching	2	0.75	-	
	• below 65%.				es Not Meet	1	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			DUE	S NOT MEET	1	0.25	- 16	
Destacendencend	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1.0	Eveneda		4	-	25
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds		-	(4 for each sub-	35
Norkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-				Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009	-10 baseline).			proaching		2	-	
	• above 10%.			Doe	es Not Meet		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	Loiorado ACT composite score	was:		- 1			-	
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds		4	-	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-1				Meets		3	-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-1	u baseline).			proaching		2	-	
	• below 17.				es Not Meet		1		
ut-Points for each pe	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignme	ent				
Cut	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.		Cut Point: Th	ne school e	earned	. of the to	otal Framework points eligibl	е.
Achievement; • a	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		 at or above 	e 60%				Performance
Growth; Gaps	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	 at or above 	e 47% - belo	w 60%			Improvement
• ;	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points • at or above 33% -		e 33% - belo	w 47%		Pi	riority Improveme
• 1	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	• below 33%						Turnaround
hool plan type assig	nments								
	Plan description								
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may not implem	ent a Priority Imr	provement a	and/or Tu	rnaround F	Plan for longer than a combined to	otal of
nprovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an I		- · ·					ture or close the school. The five	
•		•				•			
riority Improvement			- '					liately following the fall in which t	ne
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Tu	rnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is	s required to impl	lement a Pri	iority Imp	rovement	or Turnaround Plan.	

Scoring Guide

Level: H

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	it-Points	- 1-year	(2009-10) baselin	e)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing		Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6			
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9			

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	88.7	88.8	88.8	89.4
Anticipated Year	2009	90.4	93.7	93.7	
of Graduation	2010	94.4	94.9		
	2011	91.1			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	88.7	88.8	88.8	89.4
Anticipated Year	2009	90.4	93.7	93.7	
of Graduation	2010	94.4	94.9		
	2011	91.1			
	Aggregated	91.3	92.6	91.2	89.4

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

	-	4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	85.7	85.9	85.9	85.9
Anticipated Year	2009	87	89.3	89.3	
of Graduation	2010	91.5	91.6		
	2011	90.6			
	Aggregated	88.9	89	87.5	85.9

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	83	83	83	83
Anticipated Year	2009	90	92.3	92.3	
of Graduation	2010	95	95		
	2011	90.2			
	Aggregated	89.1	89.4	87	83

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	76.5	77.8	77.8	82.4
Anticipated Year	2009	78.9	94.4	94.4	
of Graduation	2010	90	95		
	2011	77.8			
	Aggregated	81.1	89.3	86.1	82.4

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		1 00	-0/		
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	68.2	68.2	68.2	68.2
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	90			
	Aggregated	82.1	77.1	72.7	68.2

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	85.7	85.9	85.9	85.9
Anticipated Year	2009	87	89.3	89.3	
of Graduation	2010	91.5	91.6		
	2011	90.6			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	83	83	83	83
Anticipated Year	2009	90	92.3	92.3	
of Graduation	2010	95	95		
	2011	90.2			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	76.5	77.8	77.8	82.4
Anticipated Year	2009	78.9	94.4	94.4	
of Graduation	2010	90	95		
	2011	77.8			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	68.2	68.2	68.2	68.2
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	90			