School Performance Framework 2012

School: CROWLEY COUNTY WARD MIDDLE SCHOOL - 2054

Level: M

District: CROWLEY COUNTY RE-1-J - 0770 (3 Year¹)

Improvement

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their Α overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 37%	

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

mproving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

Test Participation Ra	tes															
		Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students						
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	99.4%	-	99.4%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	360	-	360	-	362	-	362
Mathematics	-	99.4%	-	99.4%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	360	-	360	-	362	-	362
Writing	-	99.4%	-	99.4%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	360	-	360	-	362	-	362
Science	-	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	105	-	105	-	105	-	105
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	(14.1 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	(29.2 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	41.7%	(10.4 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		53.7%	(53.7 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).



Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle School
School: CROWLEY COUNTY WAR	D MIDDLE SCHO	DOL					District: CROWLEY COUNTY RE	-1-J - 0770 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	347	72.33	52	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	347	50.43	47	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	347	57.64	48	
Science	2	4		Approaching	100	37	29	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	323	42	25	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	322	40	63	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	323	47	47	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	207	43	32	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	103	36	30	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	24	46	74	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	- -	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	83	46	63	No
Mathematics	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	204	40	71	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	102	36	75	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	18	94	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	129	42	88	No
Writing	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	207	45	58	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	103	38	49	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	36	83	No
English Learners	0	0			N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	122	51	76	No
Total	20	48	41.7%	Approaching				

coring Guide										Level: N
coring Guide for	Performance	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report							
erformance Indi	cator Scoring	Guide	·			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	elFramework Poin
	The scho	ol's percentage of students scoring proficient or adv	vanced was:			5				
		above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200			Exceeds	I .	4	16		
Academic	• belo	w the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-10) baseline).		Meets		3	(4 for each	25
Achievemen	t • belo	w the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-10	0 baseline).		Approaching		2	content area)	
	• belo	w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 l	paseline).	Does Not Meet		1	1 1			
		nool meets the median adequate student growth pe	TCAP	CELA						
	• at or	above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14			
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area and 2 for	
Academic	• belo	w 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5		50
Growth	If the sch	nool does not meet the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median stu	ident growth percentile w	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or	above 70.	•	Exceeds	4	2	language			
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1			
	• belo	w 40.	1	0.5	-					
	If the stu	dent subgroup meets the median adequate student								
	• at or	above 60.		Exceeds		4				
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3				
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60 (4 for each of 5	
Academic	• belo	w 30.				Does Not Meet		1		
Growth Gaps	s If the stu	dent subgroup does not meet the median adequate			subgroups in 3	25				
-	• at or	above 70.		Exceeds	I .	4	subject areas)			
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	1			
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	-			
	• belo	w 40.	Does Not Meet		1	-				
-Points for eac	ch performan	ice indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment				
	Cut Point: Tl	ne school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: T	he school ear	rned	of the	total Framework points elig	ible.
chievement;	 at or abov 	e 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abov	/e 59%				Performance
rowth; Gaps	 at or abov 	e 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or abov	/e 47% - below	59%			Improvement
	 at or abov 	e 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or abov	/e 37% - below	47%			Priority Improvemen
below 37.5% Does Not Meet				• below 379	%				Turnaround	
ool plan type	assignments									
		Plan description								
formance Plar	ו <u>ו</u>	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may not implem	nent a Priority	Improvement a	and/or T	urnarou	ind Plan for longer than a combi	ned total of
provement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an li	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years be	efore the Distri	ct or Institute is	s require	ed to res	structure or close the school. The	e five
ority Improver	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences c	n July 1 during	the sum	nmer im	mediately following the fall in w	hich the
									· · · · ·	

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)														
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing			Science			
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	
	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)													
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math			Writing						
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.