## School Performance Framework 2012

#### School: JAMESTOWN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 4386

# Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Test Participation <sup>3</sup>
Performance	at or above 59%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	TOTAL
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 37%	<sup>2</sup> Schools may not be eli

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	91.7%	(22.9 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	-	-	( 0.0 out of 0 points )	I
Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	( 0.0 out of 0 points )	I

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

# chools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted. <sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1)

(22.9 out of 25 points)

91.6%

meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

#### **Test Participation Rates**

mproving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Student	ts Tested		Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	29	-	-	29	29	-	-	29
Mathematics	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	29	-	-	29	29	-	-	29
Writing	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	29	-	-	29	29	-	-	29
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	10	10	-	-	10
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Meets 95% Participation Rate

1

Level: E

Performance Indicators							Level: El	ementary Scho
School: JAMESTOWN ELEMEN	ITARY SCHOOL						District: BOULDER VALLEY F	RE 2 - 0480 (3 Ye
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	29	89.66	92	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	29	89.66	93	
Writing	3	4		Meets	29	62.07	64	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	_	
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Proficiency (CELA	oro)			-				
Total	0	0	%	-				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequa
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	nating		, creentine	l'éléchtiké	Growth
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	70		N<20		<u> </u>	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20			-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
English Learners	0	0			N<20			
Students needing to catch up	0	0			N<20			
Mathematics	0	0	%	-	11120			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	70	-	N<20	-	_	-
Minority Students	0	0			N<20			
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		_
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-		_
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20			-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-		_
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Total	0	0	%					

coring Guide											Level:		
oring Guide for	Performanc	e Indicators on the School Performance Frar	nework Report										
rformance Indi	cator Scoring	g Guide					Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	vel Framework Poi		
-	The sch	ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad	vanced was:										
	• at o	r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200	9-10 baseline).				Exceeds		4	16			
Academic	• belo	ow the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per	centile of all schools (using 2009	9-10 baseline).			Meets	3		(4 for each	25		
Achievemen	t • belo	ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009	Approaching		2	content area)						
	• belo	ow the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).	Does Not Meet		1							
	If the sc	hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student		TCAP	CELA							
	• at o	r above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14						
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each						
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching	2	1	content area						
Academic	• belo	ow 30.					Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for			
Growth	If the sc	hool does not meet the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median	n student growt	th percentile wo	is:		TCAP	CELA	English			
	• at o	r above 70.		Exceeds	4	2	language						
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)						
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2	1							
	• belo	ow 40.		Does Not Meet	1	0.5							
	If the st	udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen											
	• at o	r above 60.		Exceeds		4							
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3							
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60					
Academic	• belo	ow 30.					Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5			
Growth Gap	s If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student growth percentile and	:			subgroups in 3						
	• at o	r above 70.			Exceeds		4	subject areas)					
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3							
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2						
	• belo	ow 40.		Does Not Meet		1							
t-Points for eac	ch performa	nce indicator		Cut-Po	oints for plan	type assign	ment						
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		-	Cut Point: 1	The school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points eli	gible.		
Achievement;	• at or abo	ve 87.5%	Exceeds			<ul> <li>at or abo</li> </ul>	ve 59%				Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or abo	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	l Framework	<ul> <li>at or abo</li> </ul>	ve 47% - below	59%			Improvement		
· ·	• at or abo	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%		Points	<ul> <li>at or abo</li> </ul>	ve 37% - below	47%			Priority Improveme			
	• below 37	.5%			<ul> <li>below 37</li> </ul>	v 37%				Turnaround			
hool plan type	assignments												
		Plan description											
erformance Plan	ı	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	erformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of									
provement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.			,	•			structure or close the school. Th			
iority Improver		The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	•					•		mediately following the fall in v			
		, , , , , ,											

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

# Reference

### 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)														
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing						
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	
	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)													
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first		Reading			Math			Writing			Science			
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.