School Performance Framework 2012

School: ELDORADO K-8 SCHOOL - 2589

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Test Participation	Meets 95% Participation Rate	
Performance	at or above 59%			
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	TOTAL	91.1%	(91.1
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%			
Turnaround	below 37%	, .	le for all possible points on an indicator due to in	
Framework nainte are	alculated using the nercentage	both the points earned an	d the points eligible, so scores are not negatively	/ impacted.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²								
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	96.9%	(24.2 out of 25 points)							
Academic Growth	Exceeds	90.4%	(45.2 out of 50 points)							
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	86.8%	(21.7 out of 25 points)							
Test Participation ³	Neets 95% Participation Rate									

TOTAL	91.1%	(91.1 out of 100 points)	
² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator	r due to insuf	fficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from	1 1

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1)

meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple

levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

mproving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIeW.org

Test Participation Rates

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	99.8%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	256	463	-	719	257	464	-	721
Mathematics	99.2%	99.8%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	255	463	-	718	257	464	-	721
Writing	99.2%	99.8%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	255	463	-	718	257	464	-	721
Science	97.7%	99.4%	-	98.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	84	162	-	246	86	163	-	249
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

District: BOULDER VALLEY RE 2 - 0480 (1 Year¹)

Level: EM

Performance Indicators							Level: El	ementary Schoo
School: ELDORADO K-8 SCHOOL							District: BOULDER VALLEY F	RE 2 - 0480 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	255	87.84	87	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	254	90.94	92	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	254	77.95	91	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	84	80.95	94	
Total	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	167	68	16	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	167	67	28	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	167	64	28	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	39	57	45	Yes
Total	13.5	14	96.4%	Exceeds				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds		<i>r creentine</i>	i creentine	Growth
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	07.3/0	LACECUS	N<20			-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	43	65	22	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	25	56	61	No
Mathematics	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20		<u>-</u>	-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	43	70	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Writing	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	43	74	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	37	59	54	Yes
Total	18	20	90%	Exceeds				

Performance Indicators							Leve	l: Middle Schoo
School: ELDORADO K-8 SCHOOL							District: BOULDER VALLEY F	RE 2 - 0480 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	•
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	451	91.57	94	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	451	85.59	96	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	451	86.03	96	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	157	75.8	90	
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	425	54	15	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	424	61	35	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	425	58	29	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
					Subaroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds	/	Fercentile	reitentile	Growth:
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	07.5%	Exceeus	N < 20			
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	N<20 101	57	- 17	- Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	25	58	65	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	29	72	50	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	38	72	66	Yes
Mathematics	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds	50	70	00	103
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	07.3/0	-	N<20	<u> </u>		-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	101	74	41	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	25	68	88	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	29	71	78	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	55	64	87	No
Writing	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		_	N<20	-		-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	101	58	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	25	66	78	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	29	72	66	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	61	68	71	No
Total	41	48	85.4%	Meets				

Scoring Guide										Level: EN			
¥		e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	mework Report			Dullas	0.1	14.1					
Performance Indi						Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	elFramework Poin			
		ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or aa			T			-	10				
		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200		101 11 1		Exceeds	4		16				
Academic		ow the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per			Meets	3		(4 for each	25				
Achievement		ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	1 0		pproaching		2	content area)					
		ow the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10		Do	es Not Meet		1						
		hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student <u>c</u>	growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA					
		r above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14				
		ow 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each				
		ow 45 but at or above 30.			A	pproaching	2	1	content area				
Academic	• belo	ow 30.			Do	es Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50			
Growth	If the sc	hool does not meet the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median s	student growth percentile w	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English				
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language				
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)				
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			A	pproaching	2	1					
	• belo	ow 40.			Do	es Not Meet	1	0.5	1				
	If the st	udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its medic	an student growth percentile	le was:								
	• at o	r above 60.	· · ·	· ·	Exceeds 4								
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3		1				
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.			A	pproaching		2	60				
Academic	• belo	ow 30.			Do	es Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5				
Growth Gaps	If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequat	e student growth percentile and i	its median student growth p	percentile was:				subgroups in 3	25			
	-	r above 70.		······································		Exceeds	1 .	4	subject areas)				
		by 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3					
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			A	pproaching		2					
	• belo					es Not Meet		1					
ut-Points for eac	h performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	n type assignme	ent							
		he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.				rned	of the	total Framework points elig	jible.			
Achievement;	• at or abo	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above §	59%				Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or abo	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 4	47% - below	59%			Improvement			
<i>,</i> ,	• at or abo	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Points	• at or above 3	37% - below	47%			Priority Improvemen				
	• below 37	.5%		• below 37%				Turnaround					
hool plan type a	assignments												
		Plan description											
erformance Plar		The school is required to adopt and implement a P	erformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of									
nprovement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an	Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five									
Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.			consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the										
• • •		· · · · · · ·											

a Guid

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Loval, EN

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)													
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	Advanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)		
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.