School Performance Framework 2012

School: SILVER CREEK HIGH SCHOOL - 7789

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	I
Performance	at or above 60%	-
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	٦
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 33%	ļ

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

				•
Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	67.9%	(23.8 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	68.3%	(10.2 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	90.0%	(31.5 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		76.8%	(76.8 out of 100 points)	
² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points		numbers of s	tudents. In these cases, the point	s are removed from both the points earned

and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

		% of Stu	idents Tested			Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	540	540	-	-	543	543
Mathematics	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	540	540	-	-	543	543
Writing	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	540	540	-	-	543	543
Science	-	-	99.3%	99.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	266	266	-	-	268	268
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	200	-	-	-	200	-



SCHOOLVIeW.org

Level: H

District: ST VRAIN VALLEY RE 1J - 0470 (1 Year¹)

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
School: SILVER CREEK HIGH SCHOOL							District: ST VRAIN VALLEY RE	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	522	84.1	82	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	522	49.81	83	
Writing	3	4		Meets	522	67.43	86	
Science	3	4		Meets	261	70.88	86	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	498	54	7	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	499	42	50	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	497	55	25	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	28	60	67	No
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	16	20	80%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	93	62	23	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	96	54	29	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	27	65	67	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	57	53	38	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	83	60	71	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	93	43	94	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	96	43	95	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	27	65	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	57	51	94	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	183	45	98	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	92	51	62	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	96	56	67	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	27	57	94	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	57	57	77	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	130	57	86	No
Total	41	60	68.3%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		Ν	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		289/ 256 /180/213	89.6/ 93 /91.1/91.1%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.5	3	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds		40 /39/21/23	90 /74.4/76.2/69.6%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		42/44/ 31 /N<16	78.6/81.8/ 87.1 /-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets		24/ 19 /24/ 19	62.5/ 84.2 /83.3/78.9%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		1203	0.6%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets		200	21.2	20.0
Total	13.5	15	90%	Exceeds				

									Level.
	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	ework Report							
erformance Indicator	-				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Poir
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advo				1				
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009)	•			Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile				Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile		baseline).		proaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bits)	•		Doe	es Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth per	centile and its median student grow	vth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
• · · · • • ·	below 45 but at or above 30.				proaching	2	1	content area	25
Academic	• below 30.			Doe	es Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student gro	wth percentile and its median stud	ent growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				proaching	2	1	-	
	• below 40.				es Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student s • at or above 60.	growth percentile and its median si	ludent growth percentile was		Exceeds		4	4	
					Meets		3	-	
	 below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30. 						2	- 60	
Acadomic	• below 45 but at of above 50.						1	-	
Academic		Pelow 30. Does N student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:						(4 for each of 5	15
Growth Gaps		student growth percentile and its h	neulun student growth percer		Eveneda	4		subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds		3	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.below 55 but at or above 40.				Meets proaching		2	-	
	• below 35 but at of above 40.		Does N				1	-	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate	· The school's graduation rate	disagaragated graduatio		s NUL MEEL	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		uisuyyieyuteu yiuuuutio		Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	-	
	at or above 85% but below 90%.				proaching	2	0.75	-	
	• below 65%.				es Not Meet	1	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			DUE	S NOT MEET	1	0.25	- 16	
Destessondary and	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1	Eveneda		4	-	25
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds		-	(4 for each sub-	35
Norkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-				Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009	-10 baseline).			proaching		2	-	
	• above 10%.			Doe	es Not Meet		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	Loiorado ACT composite score	was:		- 1			-	
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds		4	-	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-1				Meets		3	-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-1	u baseline).			proaching		2	-	
	• below 17.				es Not Meet		1		
ut-Points for each pe	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignme	ent				
Cut	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.		Cut Point: Th	ne school e	earned	. of the to	otal Framework points eligibl	е.
Achievement; • a	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		 at or above 	e 60%				Performance
Growth; Gaps	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	 at or above 	e 47% - belo	w 60%			Improvement
• ;	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points • at or above 33% - below 47%				Pi	riority Improveme	
• !	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	below 33%						Turnaround
hool plan type assig	nments								
	Plan description								
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may not implem	ent a Priority Imr	provement a	and/or Tu	rnaround F	Plan for longer than a combined to	otal of
nprovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an I		- · ·					ture or close the school. The five	
•		•				•			
riority Improvement			- '					liately following the fall in which t	ne
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Tu	rnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is	s required to impl	lement a Pri	iority Imp	rovement	or Turnaround Plan.	

Scoring Guide

Level: H

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	87	89.3	90.2	91.1
Anticipated Year	2009	83.9	88.4	91.1	
of Graduation	2010	90.4	93		
	2011	89.6			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	87	89.3	90.2	91.1
Anticipated Year	2009	83.9	88.4	91.1	
of Graduation	2010	90.4	93		
	2011	89.6			
	Aggregated	88.1	90.5	90.6	91.1

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	56	64	64	69.6
Anticipated Year	2009	62.5	68.2	76.2	
of Graduation	2010	71.1	74.4		
	2011	90			
	Aggregated	72.4	69.8	69.6	69.6

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

	4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year				
2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16				
2009	73.5	83.9	87.1					
2010	81.4	81.8						
2011	78.6							
gregated	79.1	84.4	89.1	N<16				
	2009 2010	2008 N<16	2008 N<16	2008 N<16				

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

-		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	60	65	75	78.9
Anticipated Year	2009	57.7	64	83.3	
of Graduation	2010	78.9	84.2		
	2011	62.5			
	Aggregated	64	70.3	79.5	78.9

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	65.5	75	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	56	64	64	69.6
Anticipated Year	2009	62.5	68.2	76.2	
of Graduation	2010	71.1	74.4		
	2011	90			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	73.5	83.9	87.1	
of Graduation	2010	81.4	81.8		
	2011	78.6			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	60	65	75	78.9
Anticipated Year	2009	57.7	64	83.3	
of Graduation	2010	78.9	84.2		
	2011	62.5			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			