School Performance Framework 2012

School: MEAD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 5726

Improvement

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	les
Performance	at or above 59%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	то
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 37%	² Scł

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²									
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)								
Academic Growth	Approaching	53.6%	(26.8 out of 50 points)								
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	43.8%	(11.0 out of 25 points)								
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate										
TOTAL		56.6%	(56.6 out of 100 points)								

chools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage ³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Student	s Tested		Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem Middle High Ov				Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	98.8%	-	-	98.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	240	-	-	240	243	-	-	243
Mathematics	98.8%	-	-	98.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	239	-	-	239	242	-	-	242
Writing	98.8%	-	-	98.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	239	-	-	239	242	-	-	242
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	90	-	-	90	90	-	-	90
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Level: E

District: ST VRAIN VALLEY RE 1J - 0470 (1 Year¹)



SCHOOLVIeW.org

							E 1J - 0470 (1 Yea
	Points Eligible	% Points					
-	4			-			
-	4		Meets	-			
-	4		Meets	228	57.46	57	
-	4		Meets	88	52.27	59	
12	16	75%	Meets				
						Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
2	4		Approaching	147	37	24	Yes
2	4		Approaching	147	45	49	No
2	4		Approaching	147	43	43	Yes
1.5	2		Meets	26	54	54	Yes
7.5	14	53.6%	Approaching				
				Subaroun	Subaroun Median Growth	Subaroun Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Points Farned	Points Fligible	% Points	Ratina		. .		Growth?
	-					Cronter Crocharc	
		37.370		3/	21	36	No
							Yes
			Approaching				-
-			Does Not Meet	-			No
							No
		43.8%		11			
-	-	43.070		33	29	66	No
							No
=	-		Approaching				-
-			Approaching				No
							No
	-	E0%		50	45	00	
-	-	50%		24	45	F1	No
							No
=	0		Approaching		46	51	No
			-	N<20	-	-	-
0			A second state	20	50	50	
0 2 2	4		Approaching Approaching	20 64	52 46	56 59	No No
	2 2 2 1.5	Points Earned Points Eligible 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 12 16 1 4 2 4 1 4 2 4 1 4 2 4 1 4 2 4 1 4 2 4 0 0 2 4 2 4 2 4	Points Earned Points Eligible % Points 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 12 16 75% Points Earned Points Eligible % Points 1.5 2 4 2 4 53.6% 1 4 53.6% 1 4 2 2 4 3 1 4 3 2 4 3 1 4 3 2 4 3 1 4 3 2 4 3 2 4 <td>Points EarnedPoints Eligible% PointsRating34Meets34Meets34Meets34Meets34Meets34Meets34Meets34Meets34Meets34Meets34Meets34Meets121675%MeetsPoints EarnedPoints Eligible% PointsRating24Approaching1.52Meets7.51453.6%Approaching14Does Not Meet24Approaching00-14Does Not Meet24Approaching14Does Not Meet24Approaching14Does Not Meet24Approaching14Approaching14Approaching24Approaching24Approaching24Approaching24Approaching34Approaching34Approaching34Approaching34Approaching34Approaching34Approaching34Approaching34Approaching<t< td=""><td>Points EarnedPoints Eligible% PointsRatingN34Meets22934Meets22834Meets22834Meets22834Meets22834Meets28121675%Meets24Approaching14724Approaching14724Approaching1471.52Meets267.51453.6%Approaching14Does Not Meet3424Approaching3600-N<20</td>14Does Not Meet3424Approaching4171643.8%Approaching14Does Not Meet3324Approaching3600-N<20</t<></td> 24Approaching3600-N<20	Points EarnedPoints Eligible% PointsRating34Meets34Meets34Meets34Meets34Meets34Meets34Meets34Meets34Meets34Meets34Meets34Meets121675%MeetsPoints EarnedPoints Eligible% PointsRating24Approaching1.52Meets7.51453.6%Approaching14Does Not Meet24Approaching00-14Does Not Meet24Approaching14Does Not Meet24Approaching14Does Not Meet24Approaching14Approaching14Approaching24Approaching24Approaching24Approaching24Approaching34Approaching34Approaching34Approaching34Approaching34Approaching34Approaching34Approaching34Approaching <t< td=""><td>Points EarnedPoints Eligible% PointsRatingN34Meets22934Meets22834Meets22834Meets22834Meets22834Meets28121675%Meets24Approaching14724Approaching14724Approaching1471.52Meets267.51453.6%Approaching14Does Not Meet3424Approaching3600-N<20</td>14Does Not Meet3424Approaching4171643.8%Approaching14Does Not Meet3324Approaching3600-N<20</t<>	Points EarnedPoints Eligible% PointsRatingN34Meets22934Meets22834Meets22834Meets22834Meets22834Meets28121675%Meets24Approaching14724Approaching14724Approaching1471.52Meets267.51453.6%Approaching14Does Not Meet3424Approaching3600-N<20	Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N % Proficient/Advanced 3 4 Meets 229 78.17 3 4 Meets 228 72.37 3 4 Meets 228 57.46 3 4 Meets 28 52.27 12 16 75% Meets 88 52.27 12 16 75% Meets 88 52.27 12 16 75% Meets 147 37 2 4 Approaching 147 45 2 4 Approaching 147 43 1.5 2 Meets 26 54 7.5 14 53.6% Approaching N Percentile 6 16 37.5% Approaching 34 31 2 4 Approaching 36 38 38 0 0 - N<20	Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N % Proficient/Advanced School's Percentile 3 4 Meets 229 78.17 65 3 4 Meets 228 72.37 53 3 4 Meets 228 57.46 57 3 4 Meets 88 52.27 59 12 16 75% Meets 88 52.27 59 12 4 Approaching 147 37 24 4 2 4 Approaching 147 43 43 1.5 2 Meets 26 54 54 7.5 14 53.6% Approaching 14

coring Guide										Level:
coring Guide for	Performanc	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report				_	_		
erformance Indi	cator Scoring	g Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	el Framework Poir
	The sch	ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad	vanced was:			. –			- -	
	• at o	r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200	9-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16			
Academic	• belo	ow the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-1	10 baseline).		Meets	3		(4 for each	25
Achievement	t • belo	ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-1	Approaching	2		content area)			
	• belo	ow the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).	Does Not Meet		1				
	If the sc	hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	rcentile and its median student g	rowth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA		
	• at o	r above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14	
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50
Growth If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth p		rowth percentile and its median st	tudent growth percentile w	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English		
-	• at o	r above 70.							language	
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1			
	• belo	ow 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the st	udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen								
	• at o	r above 60.			Exceeds		4			
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3				
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2 t 1		60	
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Meet			(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	s If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student growth percentile and it	:			subgroups in 3	25		
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3		
If the school meets the median adequate student grow • at or above 60. • below 60 but at or above 45. • below 45 but at or above 30. • below 30. Growth If the school does not meet the median adequate student at or above 70. • below 70 but at or above 55. • below 55 but at or above 40. • below 40. If the student subgroup meets the median adequate so • at or above 60. • below 40. If the student subgroup meets the median adequate so • at or above 60. • below 40. If the student subgroup meets the median adequate so • at or above 60. • below 30. If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate so • at or above 70. • below 70 but at or above 45. • below 70 but at or above 40. • below 70 but at or above 55. • below 70 but at or above 55. • below 55 but at or above 40. • below 70 but at or above 40. • below 70. • below 70. • below 70. • below 70. • below 55 but at or above 40. • below 70.	ow 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2			
	• belo	ow 40.				Does Not Meet		1		
Points for eac	ch performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment				
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: T	he school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points elig	ible.
chievement;	 at or above 	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abo	ve 59%				Performance
rowth; Gaps	• at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or abo	oove 47% - below 59%				Improvement
	 at or above 	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or abo	ve 37% - below	47%			Priority Improveme
• below 37.5%			Does Not Meet		below 37	below 37%				Turnaround
ool plan type a	assignments									
		Plan description								
formance Plar	<u>ו</u>	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	erformance Plan.	A school may not implem	nent a Priority	Improvement a	and/or T	urnarou	nd Plan for longer than a combir	ed total of
provement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years be	efore the Distr	ict or Institute i	s require	ed to res	structure or close the school. The	five
ority Improven	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences of	on July 1 during	the sum	nmer im	mediately following the fall in wh	nich the
						, .				

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)													
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading				Math			Writing		Science			
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008-	-10 base	line)		
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing		Science			
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.