Level: MH

School: BYERS JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 1176 District: BYERS 32J - 0190 (1 Year')

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	62.5%	(9.4 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	65.9%	(9.9 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	92.3%	(32.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		74.9%	(74.9 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stu	dents Tested			Participo	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	74	86	160	-	74	86	160
Mathematics	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	74	85	159	-	74	85	159
Writing	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	74	86	160	-	74	86	160
Science	-	100.0%	97.1%	98.6%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	34	34	68	-	34	35	69
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	34	-	-	-	34	-





Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Middle Scho
School: BYERS JUNIOR-SENIO	R HIGH SCHOOL							32J - 0190 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Ratina	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4	72.7.0	Approaching	72	63.89	31	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	71	49.3	45	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	72	55.56	45	
Science	3	4		Meets	33	54.55	60	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	67	35	27	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	69	54	70	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	68	50	54	No
English Language Proficiency (CELA	pro)			-				
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	28	33	28	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	28	54	70	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	=	=
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	28	54	92	No
Writing	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	28	50	55	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	28	44	77	No
Total	10	20	50%	Approaching				

2

Performance Indicators							le	vel: High Schoo
School: BYERS JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH	SCHOOL							32J - 0190 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	<u> </u>
Reading	3	4	701 011165	Meets	83	78.31	68	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	82	35.37	53	1
Writing	3	4		Meets	83	57.83	69	
Science	2	4	-	Approaching	34	44.12	37	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets	31	111.12	3,	
							Ad-dissa Ad-ssessed Correctly	0.0
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
	4	4	70 FOII163	Exceeds	78	75	23	Yes
Reading Mathematics	2	4		Approaching		50		No No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	78	66	60	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		Exceeds	N<20	-	-	
Total	10		83.3%	Meets	11<20	-	-	-
lotai	10	12	03.3%	ivieets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	8	8	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	26	74	23	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	25	73	62	Yes
Mathematics	4	8	50%	Approaching			92	. 00
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	3070	Approaching	25	40	91	No
Minority Students	0	0		Approaching _	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20			
English Learners	0	0			N<20			-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	42	44	99	No
Writing	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds	42	44		NO
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	87.3/6	Exceeds	26	73	70	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		- Exceeds	N<20	- 75	-	
Students with Disabilities	0	0		<u>-</u>	N<20 N<20	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
English Learners	0	0		<u> </u>	N<20			
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	39	64	92	No
Total	19	24	79.2%	Meets	33		52	140
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		28/35/ 29 /47	89.3/94.3/ 100 /95.7%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	1	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds	N<	<16/N<16/N<16/ 16	-/-/- /100 %	80%
Minority Students	0	0		-		L6/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		L6/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-		L6/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		163	0%	3.6%
<u> </u>								
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets		34	20.9	20.0

Scoring Guide Level: MH

ufaunana an Indiant	formance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	тотк кероге			Datina	Daini	Madaga	Total Descible year FAMILIAN	al France annual de Da
rformance Indicato					Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	ei Framework Po
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advar								
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1)				Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percent		<u> </u>		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percent	, ,	eline).		Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bases)	•			Does Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	entile and its median student growth	percentile was:			TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	yth percentile and its median student	t growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median stud	ent growth percentile was:						
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds		4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	tudent growth percentile and its med	lian student growth percent	tile was:				subgroups in 3	15
·	• at or above 70.				Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3	1	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2	1	
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet		1	1	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/dis	saggregated graduation	rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4	1	1	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	1	
	at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.5	1	
	• below 65%.				Does Not Meet	1	0.25	1	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:							16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds	I	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines		n hasalina)			Meets		3	indicator)	
OI KIOI CE NEauliles	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-1)				Approaching		2	- indicator)	
	• above 10%.	to baseline).			Does Not Meet		1	-	
		colorado ACT composito cooro un	201		Does Not Meet	l	<u> </u>	1	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	olorado ACT composite score wi	15:		- 1	1		4	
	• at or above 22.	N			Exceeds		4	-	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10	<u> </u>			Meets		3	-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10	baseline).			Approaching		2	-	
	• below 17.				Does Not Meet		1		
t-Points for each p	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	ype assign	ment				
Cu	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	nis Indicator.		Cut Point	: The school	earned	of the to	tal Framework points eligib	le.
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds			bove 60%				Performance
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		bove 47% - belo	ow 60%			Improvement
a. a. t. t. t. j. aups						33% - below 47%			
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	I ∙atora	DOVE 33% - DEIG	ow 4/%			Priority Improvem

Cut-Points for eac	h performan	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	ype assignment				
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or abov	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps			Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching			Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Peri	formance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					
Improvement Plai	า	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five					
Priority Improvem	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Prio	rity Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years co	ommences on July 1 during the summer immediately following	ng the fall in which the			
Turnaround Plan	rnaround Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan. school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.								

4

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

5



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0190 - 1176

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This School's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	93.8	93.8	93.8	95.7
Anticipated Year	2009	96.7	100	100	
of Graduation	2010	91.7	94.3		
	2011	89.3			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	100	100	100	100
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This School's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	93.8	93.8	93.8	95.7
Anticipated Year	2009	96.7	100	100	
of Graduation	2010	91.7	94.3		
	2011	89.3			
	Aggregated	93	95.5	96.1	95.7

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	100	100	100	100
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	93	97.6	100	100

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	85.7	94.4	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

6

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0190 - 1176