School Performance Framework 2012

School: SIXTH AVENUE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 7932

Priority Improvement

Will enter Year 2* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	les
Performance	at or above 59%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	то
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 37%	² Scł

Framework points are calculated using the percentage

of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with

data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25

points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic

Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible² Performance Indicators Rating/Plan Academic Achievement **Does Not Meet** 31.3% (7.8 out of 25 points) Academic Growth Approaching 53.6% (26.8 out of 50 points) Academic Growth Gaps Approaching 45.0% (11.3 out of 25 points) **Test Participation**³ **Meets 95% Participation Rate DTAL** (45.9 out of 100 points) 45.9%

chools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

* on July 1, 2013

Test Participation Rates

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating					Student	ts Tested		Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	273	-	-	273	273	-	-	273
Mathematics	99.3%	-	-	99.3%	Meets	-	-	Meets	271	-	-	271	273	-	-	273
Writing	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	273	-	-	273	273	-	-	273
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	96	-	-	96	96	-	-	96
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

SCHOOLVIew.org

1

Level: E

Performance Indicators							Level: El	ementary Schoo
School: SIXTH AVENUE ELEMENT	ARY SCHOOL						District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE	28J - 0180 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	250	44	10	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	248	43.95	10	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	250	34.8	18	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	88	19.32	14	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	160	40	54	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	158	52	73	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	160	50	63	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	213	47	42	Yes
Total	7.5	14	53.6%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	144	45	56	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	147	45	54	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	25	86	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	96	48	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	91	41	72	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	142	52	73	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	145	51	73	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	32	93	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	95	52	72	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	106	49	82	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	144	50	63	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	147	50	63	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	17	86	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	96	50	66	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	116	50	71	No
Total	27	60	45%	Approaching				

coring Guide										Level:			
coring Guide for	Performanc	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report										
erformance Indi	cator Scoring	ı Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	el Framework Poir			
-	The scho	ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad	vanced was:						- -				
	• at o	r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200	9-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16						
Academic	• belo	w the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-1	10 baseline).		Meets		3	(4 for each	25			
Achievement	t • belo	w the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	centile of all schools (using 2009-1	Approaching		2	content area)						
	• belo	w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).	Does Not Meet		1							
	If the sci	hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	rcentile and its median student g		TCAP	CELA							
	• at o	r above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14						
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each						
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching	2	1	content area						
Academic	• belo	w 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50				
Growth	lf the sci	hool does not meet the median adequate student gr	rowth percentile and its median st	tudent growth percentile w	las:		TCAP	CELA	English				
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language				
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)				
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1						
	• belo	w 40.		Does Not Meet	1	0.5							
	If the stu	Ident subgroup meets the median adequate studen	4										
	• at o	r above 60.	Exceeds										
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3								
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60					
Academic	• belo	w 30.		Does Not Meet				1	(4 for each of 5				
Growth Gaps	s If the stu	ident subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student growth percentile and it	:			subgroups in 3	25					
	• at o	r above 70.		Exceeds 4			subject areas)						
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3							
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2							
	• belo	w 40.			Does Not Meet		1						
Points for eac	ch performai	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment							
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: 1	The school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points elig	ible.			
chievement;	 at or above 	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		at or abo	ve 59%				Performance			
rowth; Gaps	• at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	at or abo	ve 47% - below	59%			Improvement			
• at or ab		ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	at or abo	ve 37% - below	47%			Priority Improveme			
below 37.5% Does Not Meet				below 37	%				Turnaround				
ool plan type	assignments												
		Plan description											
formance Plar	ı	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	erformance Plan.	A school may not implen	nent a Priority	Improvement a	and/or T	urnarou	nd Plan for longer than a combir	ed total of			
provement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five									
ority Improven	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	s commences	on July 1 during	the sum	nmer im	mediately following the fall in wh	nich the			

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing					
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)												
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math			Writing					
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.