School Performance Framework 2012

School: LITTLETON PREP CHARTER SCHOOL - 5233

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Test Participation Rates

	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Student	ts Tested		Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	99.6%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	532	466	-	998	534	468	-	1002
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	533	468	-	1001	533	468	-	1001
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	533	468	-	1001	533	468	-	1001
Science	100.0%	99.3%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	176	143	-	319	176	144	-	320
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10,2010-11,2011-12

% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible² Performance Indicators Rating/Plan Academic Achievement 81.3% (20.3 out of 25 points) Meets **Academic Growth** 75.0% (37.5 out of 50 points) Meets **Academic Growth Gaps** 74.0% (18.5 out of 25 points) Meets **Test Participation³ Meets 95% Participation Rate**

 TOTAL
 76.3%
 (76.3 out of 100 points)

 ²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Level: EM

1

De Improving Academic Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

Performance Indicators							Level: El	ementary Schoo
School: LITTLETON PREP CHARTE	R SCHOOL						District: LITTLETO	N 6 - 0140 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	532	82.52	76	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	533	77.86	68	
Writing	3	4		Meets	533	71.48	83	
Science	3	4		Meets	176	57.95	70	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	340	52	26	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	342	57	48	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	342	53	33	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
	Delate France d		0/ Delinte	Detter	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	78	55	31	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	134	50	31	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0			N<20	-		-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	58	69	51	Yes
Mathematics	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	79	55	57	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	134	56	57	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4	750/	Meets	83	58	71	No
Writing	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	79	55	40	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	134	55	39	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	110	59	53	Yes
Total	28	36	77.8%	Meets				

Performance Indicators							Level	: Middle Schoo
School: LITTLETON PREP CHARTE	R SCHOOL						District: LITTLETO	N 6 - 0140 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	466	88.63	92	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	468	71.37	86	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	468	80.98	92	
Science	3	4		Meets	143	62.24	76	
Total	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	446	58	21	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	451	57	57	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	450	54	32	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	94	55	28	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	171	57	27	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	31	48	40	Yes
English Learners	3	4		Meets	23	46	44	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	41	61	63	No
Mathematics	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	95	46	68	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	172	54	68	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	32	56	88	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	23	61	74	No
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	117	71	87	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	94	47	36	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	172	48	41	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	32	42	58	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	23	46	54	No
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	74	69	65	Yes
Total	43	60	71.7%	Meets				

Scoring Guide										Level: EN			
¥		e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	mework Report			Dullas	0.1	14.1					
Performance Indi						Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	elFramework Poin			
		ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or aa					1	-					
• · · · • · · • ·		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200		401 1:)		Exceeds		4	16				
Academic		by the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per				Meets	3		(4 for each	25			
Achievement		ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	1 0		pproaching		2	content area)					
		ow the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10			Do	es Not Meet							
		hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student <u>c</u>	growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA					
		r above 60.			Exceeds	4 2		14					
		bw 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each					
		ow 45 but at or above 30.				pproaching	2	1	content area				
Academic	• belo					es Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50			
Growth	-	hool does not meet the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median s	student growth percentile w	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English				
		r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language				
		ow 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)					
		ow 55 but at or above 40.		A	pproaching	2	1	_					
	• belo					es Not Meet	1	0.5					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its medic	an student growth percentile	le was:								
		r above 60.				Exceeds	4		_				
		ow 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3						
		ow 45 but at or above 30.				pproaching		2	60				
Academic	• belo	ow 30.			Do	es Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5				
Growth Gaps	s If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequat	e student growth percentile and i	its median student growth p	percentile was:				subgroups in 3	25			
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	4		subject areas)				
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3					
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			A	pproaching		2					
	• belo	ow 40.			Do	es Not Meet		1					
it-Points for eac	h performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	n type assignme	ent							
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: The	e school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points elig	ible.			
Achievement:	• at or abo	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above !	59%				Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or abo	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 4	47% - below	59%			Improvement			
,	• at or abo	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above a	37% - below	47%			Priority Improvemen			
below 37.5% Does Not Meet					• below 37%			Turnaround					
hool plan type :	assignments												
		Plan description											
erformance Plar		The school is required to adopt and implement a P	erformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of									
nprovement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an	Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five									
riority Improven		The school is required to adopt and implement a P		consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the									
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,											

ting Guid

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Loval, EN

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008-	-10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first		Reading			Math			Writing					
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.