#### School Performance Framework 2012

#### School: HERITAGE HIGH SCHOOL - 3930

## Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	87.5%	( 13.1 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	83.3%	( 29.2 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	61.7%	(9.3 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	95.0%	( 33.3 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		84.9%	( 84.9 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

#### **Test Participation Rates**

		% of Stu	dents Tested		Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	97.5%	97.5%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	774	774	-	-	794	794
Mathematics	-	-	97.4%	97.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	773	773	-	-	794	794
Writing	-	-	97.4%	97.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	773	773	-	-	794	794
Science	-	-	96.0%	96.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	406	406	-	-	423	423
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.3%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	460	-	-	-	468	-

SCHOOLVIeW.org

District: LITTLETON 6 - 0140 (1 Year')

Level: H

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: High Schoo
School: HERITAGE HIGH SCHOOL							District: LITTLETO	N 6 - 0140 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	755	84.5	82	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	755	59.47	93	
Writing	3	4		Meets	754	69.63	88	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	393	74.3	91	
Total	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Ann do min Crownth	Deinte Frumed	Deinte Elizible	% Deinte	Datian	•	Mading Crowth Deverytile	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N 701	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	701	51	7	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	698	62	46	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	701	51	26	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%		~~~~	Glowin Fercentile	Growth Fercentile	Glowin:
			05%	Meets	00	45	40	N
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	99	45	16	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	131	51	12	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	60	41	86	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	27	46	29	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	125	45	59	No
Mathematics	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	100	60	82	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	130	60	64	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	60	52	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	27	49	92	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	217	62	99	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	99	43	53	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	131	49	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	60	36	98	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	27	60	61	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	189	51	87	No
Total	37	60	61.7%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	2	137/387/361/ <b>455</b>	89.9/89.7/92.2/ <b>93.8</b> %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.25	3	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets		46/ <b>33</b> /41/37	69.6/ <b>81.8</b> /75.6/78.4%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds		80/ <b>43/43/</b> 51	88.8/83.7/ <b>93</b> /92.2%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		48/31/35/ <b>36</b>	60.4/74.2/68.6/ <b>75</b> %	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	.6/N<16/N<16/	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		1922	0.4%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	4	4		Exceeds		460	22.9	20.0
Total	14.25	15	95%	Exceeds				

oring Cuid <u>e for Por</u>	formance Indicators on the School Derformer of Fre	mowork Bonort						
	formance Indicators on the School Performance Fra	mework Report		Datian	Dain	4 \ / m	Total Dessible new CMUL Law	
erformance Indicato				Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	elFramework Po
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or a						10	
• · · · • · • ·	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 20	•		Exceeds	_	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile			Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th pe		baseline).	Approachin	g	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	•		Does Not Me		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth p	ercentile and its median student gro	wth percentile was:	Eveneda	TCAP 4	CELA	14	
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	3	2	14	
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>			Meets	g 2	1.5	(4 for each content area	
Academic	below 45 but at of above 50.     below 30.			Approachin Does Not Me	<u> </u>	0.5	and 2 for	35
		wouth powentile and its median stu	dent growth percentile was	Dues Nut Me	TCAP	CELA		55
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student of	rowth percentile and its mealan stat	rowth percentile and its median stadent growth percentile was.				English	
	at or above 70.     below 70 but at or above 55.			Exceeds	4	2	language	
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>			Meets Approachin	g 2	1.5	proficiency)	
	<ul> <li>below 35 but at of above 40.</li> <li>below 40.</li> </ul>			Does Not Me	•	0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student	nt arowth percentile and its medians	tudent arowth perceptile was		eų 1	0.5		
	• at or above 60.	it growth percentile and its median s	stadent growth percentile was.	Exceeds	T	4	-	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	-	
	below 45 but at or above 30.		Approachin	σ	2	60		
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Me	et	1	(4 for each of 5		
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequa	te student arowth percentile and its i	median student arowth percen		<u> </u>	-	subgroups in 3	15
Growth Gaps	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	1	4	subject areas)	15
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				σ	2	-	
	• below 40.			Approachin Does Not Me	et	1	-	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Ra	te. The school's araduation rate	/disagaregated araduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	-	
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approachin	g 2	0.5	-	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Me	•	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				-		16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	1	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines		9-10 haseline)		Meets		3	indicator)	
vorkioree keduires.	<ul> <li>at or below the state average bat above 1% (using 200)</li> <li>at or below 10% but above the state average (using 200)</li> </ul>			Approachin	σ	2		
	above 10%.			Does Not Me	et	1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	e Colorado ACT composite score	W/06'	Does Not Me	C q	1		
	• at or above 22.	e colorado Acr composite score		Exceeds	1	4	-	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 200	-10 baseline)		Meets		3	-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 200			Approachin	σ	2	-	
	below 17.			Does Not Me	et	1	-	
						-		
	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t					
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible o				l earned .	of the to	otal Framework points eligib	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%				Performance
· · ·	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - b				Improvement
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching			elow 47%			Priority Improvem
	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%				Turnaround
nool plan type assi								
	Plan description							
rformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan.	A school may not impleme	ent a Priority Improveme	nt and/or Tu	urnaround	Plan for longer than a combined	total of
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	n Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before	ore the District or Institut	e is require	d to restru	cture or close the school. The five	2
ority Improvement							diately following the fall in which	
.,	The school is required to adopt and implement a	.,			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	provement		

Scoring Guide

Level: H

## Reference

### 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

## **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing		Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 <sup>.</sup>	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

#### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

#### This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	88.2	92.6	93.4	93.8
Anticipated Year	2009	86.3	90.7	92.2	
of Graduation	2010	85.3	89.7		
	2011	89.9			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	88.2	92.6	93.4	93.8
Anticipated Year	2009	86.3	90.7	92.2	
of Graduation	2010	85.3	89.7		
	2011	89.9			
	Aggregated	87.6	91.1	92.9	93.8

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65.8	73.7	76.3	78.4
Anticipated Year	2009	60	75	75.6	
of Graduation	2010	60	81.8		
	2011	69.6			
	Aggregated	64.2	76.6	75.9	78.4

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	84.3	88.5	90.4	92.2
Anticipated Year	2009	80	88.6	93	
of Graduation	2010	76.2	83.7		
	2011	88.8			
	Aggregated	83.5	87.1	91.6	92.2

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	43.2	67.6	72.2	75
Anticipated Year	2009	44.7	59.5	68.6	
of Graduation	2010	62.5	74.2		
	2011	60.4			
	Aggregated	52.9	66.7	70.4	75

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

			-0		
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65.8	73.7	76.3	78.4
Anticipated Year	2009	60	75	75.6	
of Graduation	2010	60	81.8		
	2011	69.6			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	84.3	88.5	90.4	92.2
Anticipated Year	2009	80	88.6	93	
of Graduation	2010	76.2	83.7		
	2011	88.8			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	43.2	67.6	72.2	75
Anticipated Year	2009	44.7	59.5	68.6	
of Graduation	2010	62.5	74.2		
	2011	60.4			

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			