## School Performance Framework 2012

#### School: MISSION VIEJO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 5934

District: CHERRY CREEK 5 - 0130 (3 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Level: E

| -        |     | ·   |     |     |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| <b>D</b> | ۵rt | nrr | ทวเ | nce |
|          |     |     | nai |     |

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

| Plan Assignment      | Framework Points Earned     | I |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Performance          | at or above 59%             |   |
| Improvement          | at or above 47% - below 59% | Т |
| Priority Improvement | at or above 37% - below 47% |   |
| Turnaround           | below 37%                   | 2 |

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

mproving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

#### **Test Participation Rates**

|              | % of Students Tested |        |      |         | Participation Rating |        |      |         |      | Student | ts Tested |         | Total Students |        |      |         |  |
|--------------|----------------------|--------|------|---------|----------------------|--------|------|---------|------|---------|-----------|---------|----------------|--------|------|---------|--|
| Content Area | Elem                 | Middle | High | Overall | Elem                 | Middle | High | Overall | Elem | Middle  | High      | Overall | Elem           | Middle | High | Overall |  |
| Reading      | 99.2%                | -      | -    | 99.2%   | Meets                | -      | -    | Meets   | 987  | -       | -         | 987     | 995            | -      | -    | 995     |  |
| Mathematics  | 99.4%                | -      | -    | 99.4%   | Meets                | -      | -    | Meets   | 988  | -       | -         | 988     | 994            | -      | -    | 994     |  |
| Writing      | 99.5%                | -      | -    | 99.5%   | Meets                | -      | -    | Meets   | 989  | -       | -         | 989     | 994            | -      | -    | 994     |  |
| Science      | 99.1%                | -      | -    | 99.1%   | Meets                | -      | -    | Meets   | 326  | -       | -         | 326     | 329            | -      | -    | 329     |  |
| Colorado ACT | -                    | -      | -    | -       | -                    | -      | -    | -       | -    | -       | -         | -       | -              | -      | -    | -       |  |

| Performance Indicators          | Rating/Plan                  | % of Points | Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup> |  |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Academic Achievement            | Approaching                  | 50.0%       | ( 12.5 out of 25 points )                  |  |
| Academic Growth                 | Meets                        | 78.6%       | ( 39.3 out of 50 points )                  |  |
| Academic Growth Gaps            | Approaching                  | 61.7%       | (15.4 out of 25 points)                    |  |
| Test Participation <sup>3</sup> | Meets 95% Participation Rate |             |  |  |
| TOTAL                           |                              | 67.2%       | ( 67.2 out of 100 points )                 |  |

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Final plan type based on: 3 Year SPF report.

| Performance Indicators                 |               |                 |          |               |          |                          | Level: E                 | lementary Scho     |
|--|---------------|-----------------|----------|---------------|----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| School: MISSION VIEJO ELEMENT          | ARY SCHOOL    |                 |          |               |          |                          | District: CHERRY CRE     | EK 5 - 0130 (3 Yea |
| Academic Achievement                   | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating        | N        | % Proficient/Advanced    | School's Percentile      |                    |
| Reading                                | 2             | 4               |          | Approaching   | 952      | 67.12                    | 37                       |                    |
| Mathematics                            | 2             | 4               |          | Approaching   | 955      | 64.82                    | 38                       |                    |
| Writing                                | 2             | 4               |          | Approaching   | 954      | 50.21                    | 40                       |                    |
| Science                                | 2             | 4               |          | Approaching   | 320      | 40.31                    | 40                       |                    |
| Total                                  | 8             | 16              | 50%      | Approaching   |          |                          |                          |                    |
|  |               |                 |          |               |          |                          | Median Adequate Growth   | Made Adequate      |
| Academic Growth                        | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating        | N        | Median Growth Percentile | Percentile               | Growth?            |
| Reading                                | 3             | 4               |          | Meets         | 608      | 46                       | 34                       | Yes                |
| Mathematics                            | 3             | 4               |          | Meets         | 612      | 54                       | 51                       | Yes                |
| Writing                                | 3             | 4               |          | Meets         | 611      | 52                       | 45                       | Yes                |
| English Language Proficiency (CELApro) | 2             | 2               |          | Exceeds       | 51       | 68                       | 46                       | Yes                |
| Total                                  | 11            | 14              | 78.6%    | Meets         |          |                          |                          |                    |
|  |               |                 |          |               | Subgroup | Subgroup Median Growth   | Subgroup Median Adequate | Made Adequat       |
| Academic Growth Gaps                   | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating        | N        | Percentile               | Growth Percentile        | Growth?            |
| Reading                                | 12            | 20              | 60%      | Approaching   |          |                          |                          |                    |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible            | 3             | 4               |          | Meets         | 281      | 47                       | 41                       | Yes                |
| Minority Students                      | 3             | 4               |          | Meets         | 274      | 45                       | 36                       | Yes                |
| Students with Disabilities             | 1             | 4               |          | Does Not Meet | 66       | 31                       | 74                       | No                 |
| English Learners                       | 3             | 4               |          | Meets         | 48       | 58                       | 44                       | Yes                |
| Students needing to catch up           | 2             | 4               |          | Approaching   | 204      | 49                       | 61                       | No                 |
| Mathematics                            | 12            | 20              | 60%      | Approaching   |          |                          |                          |                    |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible            | 2             | 4               |          | Approaching   | 283      | 54                       | 60                       | No                 |
| Minority Students                      | 2             | 4               |          | Approaching   | 277      | 54                       | 57                       | No                 |
| Students with Disabilities             | 1             | 4               |          | Does Not Meet | 68       | 37                       | 84                       | No                 |
| English Learners                       | 4             | 4               |          | Exceeds       | 50       | 65                       | 60                       | Yes                |
| Students needing to catch up           | 3             | 4               |          | Meets         | 208      | 56                       | 78                       | No                 |
| Writing                                | 13            | 20              | 65%      | Meets         |          |                          |                          |                    |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible            | 2             | 4               |          | Approaching   | 282      | 51                       | 52                       | No                 |
| Minority Students                      | 3             | 4               |          | Meets         | 275      | 52                       | 46                       | Yes                |
| Students with Disabilities             | 2             | 4               |          | Approaching   | 69       | 41                       | 80                       | No                 |
| English Learners                       | 4             | 4               |          | Exceeds       | 48       | 62                       | 58                       | Yes                |
| Students needing to catch up           | 2             | 4               |          | Approaching   | 316      | 48                       | 65                       | No                 |
| Total                                  | 37            | 60              | 61.7%    | Approaching   |          |                          |                          |                    |

| coring Guide     |                                 |   |                                      |                           |                 |                       |                |             |                                    | Level:             |
|------------------|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| coring Guide for | Performanc                      | e Indicators on the School Performance Fran           | nework Report                        |                           |                 |                       | _              | _           |                                    |                    |
| erformance Indi  | cator Scoring                   | g Guide   |                                      |                           |                 | Rating                | Point          | Value       | Total Possible per EMH Leve        | el Framework Poir  |
|                  | The sch                         | ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad | vanced was:                          |                           |                 | . –                   |                |             | -<br>-                             |                    |
|                  | • at o                          | r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200 | 9-10 baseline).                      |                           |                 | Exceeds               |                | 4           | 16                                 |                    |
| Academic         | • belo                          | ow the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc  | centile of all schools (using 2009-1 | 10 baseline).             |                 | Meets                 |                | 3           | (4 for each                        | 25                 |
| Achievement      | t • belo                        | ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc  | centile of all schools (using 2009-1 | 10 baseline).             |                 | Approaching           |                | 2           | content area)                      |                    |
|                  | • belo                          | ow the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10  | baseline).                           |                           |                 | Does Not Meet         |                | 1           |                                    |                    |
|                  | If the sc                       | hool meets the median adequate student growth pe      | rcentile and its median student g    |                           | TCAP            | CELA                  |                |             |                                    |                    |
|                  | • at o                          | r above 60.   |                                      | Exceeds                   | 4               | 2                     | 14             |             |                                    |                    |
|                  | • belo                          | ow 60 but at or above 45.                             |                                      |                           | Meets           | 3                     | 1.5            | (4 for each |                                    |                    |
|                  | • belo                          | ow 45 but at or above 30.                             |                                      |                           |                 | Approaching           | 2              | 1           | content area                       |                    |
| Academic         | • belo                          | ow 30.  |                                      |                           |                 | Does Not Meet         | 1              | 0.5         | and 2 for                          | 50                 |
| Growth           | If the sc                       | hool does not meet the median adequate student gr     | rowth percentile and its median st   |                           |                 |                       |                |             |                                    |                    |
|                  | • at o                          | r above 70.   |                                      |                           |                 | Exceeds               | 4              | 2           | language                           |                    |
|                  | • belo                          | ow 70 but at or above 55.                             |                                      |                           |                 | Meets                 | 3              | 1.5         | proficiency)                       |                    |
|                  | • belo                          | ow 55 but at or above 40.                             |                                      |                           |                 | Approaching           | 2              | 1           |                                    |                    |
|                  | • belo                          | ow 40.  |                                      |                           |                 | Does Not Meet         | 1              | 0.5         |                                    |                    |
|                  | If the st                       | udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen       |                                      |                           |                 |                       |                |             |                                    |                    |
|                  | • at o                          | r above 60.   |                                      | Exceeds                   |                 | 4                     |                |             |                                    |                    |
|                  | • belo                          | ow 60 but at or above 45.                             |                                      | Meets                     |                 | 3                     |                |             |                                    |                    |
|                  | • belo                          | ow 45 but at or above 30.                             |                                      |                           |                 |                       |                |             | 60                                 |                    |
| Academic         | • belo                          | ow 30.  |                                      |                           |                 | Does Not Meet         |                | 1           | (4 for each of 5                   |                    |
| Growth Gaps      | s If the st                     | udent subgroup does not meet the median adequate      | e student growth percentile and it   | :                         |                 |                       | subgroups in 3 | 25          |                                    |                    |
|                  | • at o                          | r above 70.   |                                      | Exceeds                   |                 | 4                     | subject areas) |             |                                    |                    |
|                  | • belo                          | ow 70 but at or above 55.                             |                                      | Meets                     |                 | 3                     |                |             |                                    |                    |
|                  | • belo                          | ow 55 but at or above 40.                             |                                      |                           | Approaching     |                       | 2              |             |                                    |                    |
|                  | • belo                          | ow 40.  |                                      |                           |                 |                       |                |             |                                    |                    |
| Points for eac   | ch performa                     | nce indicator   |                                      | Cut-Points for plan       | type assign     | ment                  |                |             |                                    |                    |
|                  | Cut Point: T                    | he school earned of the points eligible on            | this Indicator.                      |                           | Cut Point: T    | he school ea          | rned           | of the      | total Framework points elig        | ible.              |
| chievement;      | <ul> <li>at or above</li> </ul> | ve 87.5%  | Exceeds                              |                           | • at or abo     | ve 59%                |                |             |                                    | Performance        |
| rowth; Gaps      | • at or abov                    | ve 62.5% - below 87.5%                                | Meets                                | Total Framework           | • at or abo     | above 47% - below 59% |                |             |                                    | Improvement        |
|                  | <ul> <li>at or above</li> </ul> | ve 37.5% - below 62.5%                                | Approaching                          | Points                    | • at or abo     | ve 37% - below        | 47%            |             |                                    | Priority Improveme |
|                  | below 37.5% Does Not Meet       |   |                                      | below 37                  | %               |                       |                |             | Turnaround                         |                    |
| ool plan type a  | assignments                     |   |                                      |                           |                 |                       |                |             |                                    |                    |
|                  |                                 | Plan description                                      |                                      |                           |                 |                       |                |             |                                    |                    |
| formance Plar    | <u>ו</u>                        | The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe    | erformance Plan.                     | A school may not implem   | nent a Priority | Improvement a         | and/or T       | urnarou     | nd Plan for longer than a combir   | ed total of        |
| provement Pla    | n                               | The school is required to adopt and implement an I    | mprovement Plan.                     | five consecutive years be | efore the Distr | ict or Institute i    | s require      | ed to res   | structure or close the school. The | five               |
| ority Improven   | nent Plan                       | The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr    | iority Improvement Plan.             | consecutive school years  | commences of    | on July 1 during      | the sum        | nmer im     | mediately following the fall in wh | nich the           |
|                  |                                 |   |                                      |                           |                 | , .                   |                |             |                                    |                    |

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

# Reference

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

### Academic Achievement

|   | Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)           |         |        |       |       |        |       |         |        |       |         |        |       |
|---|---|---------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|---------|--------|-------|---------|--------|-------|
| The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's                        |   | Reading |        |       | Math  |        |       | Writing |        |       |         |        |       |
| proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or                    |   | Elem    | Middle | High  | Elem  | Middle | High  | Elem    | Middle | High  | Elem    | Middle | High  |
| advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes                | N of Schools  | 1008    | 479    | 327   | 1007  | 480    | 327   | 1007    | 480    | 327   | 912     | 407    | 286   |
| results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,                            | 15th percentile   | 49.18   | 50.44  | 54.92 | 48.60 | 29.72  | 15.97 | 32.48   | 34.95  | 30.96 | 19.67   | 23.85  | 27.50 |
| mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and<br>Escritura. | 50th percentile   | 71.65   | 71.43  | 73.33 | 70.89 | 52.48  | 33.52 | 53.52   | 57.77  | 50.00 | 47.53   | 48.00  | 50.00 |
| Escritura.  | 90th percentile   | 89.10   | 88.24  | 87.23 | 89.34 | 75.00  | 54.79 | 76.83   | 79.67  | 72.24 | 75.96   | 75.11  | 72.41 |
|   | Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline) |         |        |       |       |        |       |         |        |       |         |        |       |
| All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first                  |   | Reading |        |       | Math  |        |       | Writing |        |       | Science |        |       |
| year the performance framework reports were released                          |   | Elem    | Middle | High  | Elem  | Middle | High  | Elem    | Middle | High  | Elem    | Middle | High  |
| (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).                  | N of Schools  | 1032    | 507    | 362   | 1032  | 507    | 361   | 1032    | 507    | 362   | 972     | 469    | 347   |
|   | 15th percentile   | 50.00   | 50.56  | 53.34 | 48.73 | 29.69  | 13.49 | 32.56   | 36.84  | 30.00 | 20.46   | 25.00  | 27.93 |

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.