School Performance Framework 2012

School: ENGLEWOOD LEADERSHIP ACADEMY - 2750

Level: M District: ENGLEWOOD 1 - 0120 (1 Year¹)

	P		
Perf	orm	าวท	^
ГСП		iaii	LC.

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	10
Performance	at or above 59%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	Т
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 37%	² S

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	93.8%	(23.5 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Exceeds	91.7%	(45.9 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	83.3%	(20.8 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³ Me	ets 95% Participation Rate			

TOTAL	90.2% (90.2 out of 100 points) ■	
2 Schools may not be eligible for all possible poi	s on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In thes	se cases, the points are removed from

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1)

meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple

levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

mproving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIEW.org

Test Participation Rates

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	66	-	66	-	66	-	66
Mathematics	-	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	66	-	66	-	66	-	66
Writing	-	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	66	-	66	-	66	-	66
Science	-	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	25	-	25	-	25	-	25
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

1

individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Final plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report.

Performance Indicators							Leve	I: Middle Scho
School: ENGLEWOOD LEADERSHI	P ACADEMY						District: ENGLEWOO	D 1 - 0120 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	65	90.77	93	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	65	73.85	88	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	65	84.62	96	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	24	87.5	98	
Total	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	64	57	14	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	64	62	56	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	64	67	33	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	24	57	23	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	20	51	25	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	24	59	61	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	20	65	70	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Writing	8	8	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	24	60	38	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	20	81	49	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	20	24	83.3%	Meets				

coring Guide										Level: N		
coring Guide for	Performance	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report									
erformance Indi	cator Scoring	Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	elFramework Poin		
	The scho	ol's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad	vanced was:			5						
		above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200				Exceeds		4	16			
Academic	• belo	w the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-10) baseline).		Meets		3	(4 for each	25		
Achievemen	t • belo	w the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-10) baseline).		Approaching		2	content area)			
	• belo	w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).	Does Not Meet		1	1 1					
		nool meets the median adequate student growth pe	•	owth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA				
	• at or	above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14			
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each			
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area			
Academic	• belo	w 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50		
Growth	If the sch	nool does not meet the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median stu	ident growth percentile w	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English			
	• at or	above 70.	· ·	• ,		Exceeds	4	2	language			
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)			
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1					
	• belo	w 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	-			
	If the stu	dent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its median	student growth percentile	e was:							
	• at or	above 60.			Exceeds		4					
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3					
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60			
Academic	• belo	w 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5			
Growth Gaps	s If the stu	dent subgroup does not meet the median adequate				subgroups in 3	25					
-	• at or	above 70.				Exceeds	I .	4	subject areas)			
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	1				
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	-				
	• belo	w 40.				Does Not Meet		1	-			
-Points for eac	ch performan	ice indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment						
	Cut Point: Tl	ne school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: T	he school ear	rned	of the	total Framework points elig	ible.		
chievement;	 at or abov 	e 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abov	/e 59%				Performance		
rowth; Gaps	 at or abov 	e 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or abov	/e 47% - below	59%			Improvement		
	 at or abov 	e 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or abov	/e 37% - below	47%			Priority Improvemen		
	below 37.5% Does Not Meet		Does Not Meet		below 37	7%				Turnaround		
ool plan type	assignments											
		Plan description										
formance Plar	ו <u>ו</u>	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	erformance Plan.	A school may not implem	ind Plan for longer than a combi	ned total of						
provement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
ority Improver	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences c	n July 1 during	the sum	nmer im	mediately following the fall in w	hich the		
									· · · · ·			

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math				Writing		Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	Advanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.