## School Performance Framework 2012

### School: SKYLINE VISTA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 7952

District: WESTMINSTER 50 - 0070 (1 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Level: E

-  (	•	
νort	nrm	ance
		ance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	I
Performance	at or above 59%	T
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	Т
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 37%	2

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

mproving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

#### **Test Participation Rates**

rest rai tielpation na																
	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating					Studen	ts Tested		Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.5%	-	-	99.5%	Meets	-	-	Meets	183	-	-	183	184	-	-	184
Mathematics	99.5%	-	-	99.5%	Meets	-	-	Meets	183	-	-	183	184	-	-	184
Writing	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	184	-	-	184	184	-	-	184
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	54	-	-	54	54	-	-	54
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

-

TOTAL	71.6%	(71.6 out of 100 points)	
<sup>2</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on a	n indicator due to insu	fficient numbers of students. In t	hese cases the points are removed from

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary Scho
School: SKYLINE VISTA ELEMENT	ARY SCHOOL						District: WESTMINSTE	R 50 - 0070 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	168	44.64	11	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	168	58.33	24	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	169	30.18	12	
Science	2	4		Approaching	50	28	24	
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	105	47	47	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	106	65	65	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	105	57	60	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2		Exceeds	143	71	43	Yes
Total	12	14	85.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	99	47	50	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	94	47	47	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	59	47	47	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	56	47	71	No
Mathematics	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	100	64	66	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	96	65	65	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4	1	Exceeds	60	67	64	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	49	70	82	No
Writing	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	99	56	61	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	94	58	64	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	58	65	70	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	82	61	74	No
Total	37	48	77.1%	Meets				

coring Guide										Level:	
coring Guide for	Performanc	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report				_	_			
erformance Indi	cator Scoring	g Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	el Framework Poir	
	The sch	ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad	vanced was:								
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using     below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th		9-10 baseline).			Exceeds	4		16		
Academic	• belo	ow the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-:	10 baseline).		Meets		3	(4 for each	25	
Achievement	t • belo	ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-	10 baseline).		Approaching		2	content area)		
	• belo	ow the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).			Does Not Meet	t	1			
	If the sc	hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	rcentile and its median student g		TCAP	CELA					
	• at o	r above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14				
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each				
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area		
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Meet	t 1	0.5	and 2 for	50	
Growth	If the sc	hool does not meet the median adequate student gr	rowth percentile and its median s	tudent growth percentile w	las:		TCAP	CELA	English		
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language		
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)		
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1			
	• belo	ow 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1	0.5			
	If the st	udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its media								
	• at o	r above 60.			Exceeds		4				
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3					
	below 60 but at or above 45.     below 45 but at or above 30.					Approaching	2		60		
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Meet	t	1	(4 for each of 5		
Growth Gaps	s If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student growth percentile and it	ts median student growth p	percentile was	:		2 60	25		
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds		4	subject areas)		
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3			
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	]			
	• belo	ow 40.				Does Not Meet	t	1			
Points for eac	ch performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment					
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: 1	The school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points elig	ible.	
chievement;	<ul> <li>at or above</li> </ul>	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		at or abo	ve 59%				Performance	
rowth; Gaps	• at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	at or abo	ve 47% - below	59%			Improvement	
	<ul> <li>at or above</li> </ul>	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	at or abo	ve 37% - below	47%			Priority Improveme	
	• below 37		Does Not Meet		below 37	%				Turnaround	
ool plan type	assignments										
		Plan description									
formance Plar	1	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	erformance Plan.	A school may not implen	nent a Priority	Improvement a	and/or T	urnarou	nd Plan for longer than a combir	ed total of	
provement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years be	efore the Distr	ict or Institute i	is requir	ed to res	structure or close the school. The	five	
ority Improven	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	s commences	on July 1 during	the sun	nmer im	mediately following the fall in wh	nich the	

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

# Reference

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing					
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	Advanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 <sup>.</sup>	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.