School Performance Framework 2012

School: STEM SCHOOL - 8275

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (1 Year¹)

Performance
Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their A overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then **A** matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Test	Framework Points Earned	Plan Assignment				
	at or above 59%	Performance				
тот	at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement				
	at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement				
² Scho	below 37%	Turnaround				

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points		
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	89.3%	(22.3 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	70.8%	(35.4 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	71.4%	(17.9 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		75.6%	(75.6 out of 100 points)	

ools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage ³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Improving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Student		Total Students					
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	149	103	-	252	149	103	-	252
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	149	103	-	252	149	103	-	252
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	149	103	-	252	149	103	-	252
Science	100.0%	0.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	49	0	-	49	49	0	-	49
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Final plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report.

Performance Indicators							Level: Ele	ementary Scho
School: STEM SCHOOL						Di	strict: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOO	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	148	87.84	87	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	148	92.57	94	
Writing	3	4		Meets	148	65.54	73	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	49	81.63	94	
Total	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	90	50	22	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	91	63	35	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	91	40	37	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Galeria		Colomba Adadima Adamata	
Annalansia Crowth Cana	Deinte Franced	Deinte Flinible	0/ Deinte	Dettine	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	20	48	27	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0	4000/		N<20		-	-
Mathematics	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	21	74	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0	750/	-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	21	44	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20			-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	34	60	57	Yes
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle School
School: STEM SCHOOL						Distri	ct: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHO	OLS - 0020 (1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	103	87.38	87	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	103	81.55	94	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	103	81.55	92	
Science	0	0		-				
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
				.	•.		Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	97	53	18	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	98	53	35	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	98	41	36	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	23	45	26	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_		-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	23	50	62	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	23	41	48	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide										Level: EN			
¥		e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	mework Report			Dullas	0.1	14.1					
Performance Indi						Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	elFramework Poin			
		ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or aa			T			-	10				
		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200		101 11 1		Exceeds		4	16				
Academic		ow the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per				Meets		3	(4 for each	25			
Achievement		ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	1 0		pproaching	ning 2		content area)					
		ow the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10		es Not Meet		1							
		hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student <u>c</u>	growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA					
		r above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14				
		ow 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each				
		ow 45 but at or above 30.			A	pproaching	2	1	content area				
Academic	• belo	ow 30.			Do	es Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50			
Growth	If the sc	hool does not meet the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median s	student growth percentile w	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English				
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language				
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)					
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.		A	pproaching	2	1						
	• belo	ow 40.			Do	es Not Meet	1	0.5	1				
	If the st	udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its medic	an student growth percentile	le was:								
	• at o	r above 60.	· · ·	· ·		Exceeds	4		1				
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	1					
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching Does Not Meet				60				
Academic	• belo	ow 30.							(4 for each of 5				
Growth Gaps	If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequat	e student growth percentile and i	its median student growth p	percentile was:				subgroups in 3	25			
	-	r above 70.			Exceeds 4			subject areas)					
		by 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3					
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			A	pproaching		2					
	• belo					es Not Meet		1					
ut-Points for eac	h performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	n type assignme	ent							
		he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.				rned	of the	total Framework points elig	jible.			
Achievement;	• at or abo	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above §	59%				Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or abo	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 4	47% - below	59%			Improvement			
<i>,</i> ,	• at or abo	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 3	37% - below	47%			Priority Improvemen			
	below 37.5% Does Not Meet				• below 37%			Turnaround					
hool plan type a	assignments												
		Plan description											
erformance Plar					A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of								
nprovement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an	Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five									
riority Improven		The school is required to adopt and implement a P	riority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the									
• • •		· · · · · · ·											

a Guid

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Loval, EN

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing				
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	Advanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading	Math				Writing			Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.