

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²		
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	(25.0 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Exceeds	88.5%	(44.3 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	85.9%	(21.5 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		90.8%	(90.8 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.9%	100.0%	-	99.9%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	767	603	-	1370	768	603	-	1371
Mathematics	99.9%	100.0%	-	99.9%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	766	603	-	1369	767	603	-	1370
Writing	99.7%	99.7%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	766	602	-	1368	768	604	-	1372
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	229	172	-	401	229	172	-	401
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10,2010-11,2011-12

Performance Indicators

Level: Elementary School

School: HULSTROM OPTIONS K-8 SCHOOL

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	767	95.44	99
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	766	96.61	99
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	766	88.38	98
Science	4	4		Exceeds	229	88.65	99
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	478	62	14	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	477	64	19	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	478	66	22	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2		Exceeds	46	66	46	Yes
Total	14	14	100%	Exceeds				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	29	57	17	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	126	62	16	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	68	72	14	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	22	66	54	Yes
Mathematics	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	29	57	28	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	124	65	21	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	66	69	18	Yes
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	29	58	25	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	126	69	25	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	68	76	22	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	63	66	52	Yes
Total	41	44	93.2%	Exceeds				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Performance Indicators

Level: Middle School

School: HULSTROM OPTIONS K-8 SCHOOL

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	602	94.52	97
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	602	89.53	98
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	601	90.52	98
Science	4	4		Exceeds	172	84.3	97
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	598	55	11	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	599	51	23	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	597	49	23	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	69	57	12	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	199	54	14	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	85	67	11	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	28	62	59	Yes
Mathematics	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	69	56	46	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	199	52	39	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	85	63	19	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	49	51	85	No
Writing	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	69	53	28	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	199	51	29	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	85	65	24	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	48	54	72	No
Total	38	48	79.2%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Points	
Academic Achievement	<i>The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:</i>					
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds	4	16 (4 for each content area)	25	
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	<i>If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>			TCAP	CELA	
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4	2	14 (4 for each content area and 2 for English language proficiency)	
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	<i>If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>			TCAP		CELA
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	<i>If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>					
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4	60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25	
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1			
	<i>If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>					
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for each performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment	
Achievement; Growth; Gaps	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.	Total Framework Points	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total Framework points eligible.
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	• below 37%

School plan type assignments	
Performance Plan	Plan description
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.