School: HORIZON HIGH SCHOOL - 4108

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (3 Year1)

# Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

				DISTRICT. ADAIVI.	3 12 11VL 31AK 3C1100L3 - 0020 (3 1eai )
Performance In	dicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achi	evement	Approaching	56.3%	( 8.4 out of 15 points )	
d Academic Grove	wth	Meets	71.4%	( 25.0 out of 35 points )	
e n <b>Academic Gro</b> v e	wth Gaps	Meets	65.0%	( 9.8 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary	and Workforce Readiness	Meets	65.6%	( 23.0 out of 35 points )	
% Test Participat	ion³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL			66.2%	( 66.2 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

<b>Test Participation Rate</b>	s															
	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating Students			ts Tested Total Students							
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.2%	99.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2804	2804	-	-	2827	2827
Mathematics	-	-	99.5%	99.5%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2811	2811	-	-	2825	2825
Writing	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2810	2810	-	-	2827	2827
Science	-	-	99.3%	99.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1351	1351	-	-	1360	1360
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.3%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	1222	-	-	-	1231	-





Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High School
School: HORIZON HIGH SCHOOL						District:	: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOO	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	2751	70.01	43	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2758	38.11	67	1
Writing	2	4		Approaching	2757	45.63	39	
Science	2	4		Approaching	1324	46.53	42	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Doints	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth  Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
		Politis Eligible	70 FUIITS					
Reading	3	4		Meets	2671 2680	50	19	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets		55	82	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	2681	46	55	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2		Exceeds	83	79	66	Yes
Total	10	14	71.4%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	272	51	26	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	859	52	28	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	152	45	93	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	317	54	26	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	801	55	74	No
Mathematics	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	272	61	91	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	858	57	94	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	153	58	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	318	62	91	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	1350	60	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	273	43	68	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	860	46	70	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	155	46	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	318	51	66	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1289	47	90	No
Total	39	60	65%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4	70 T OHILS	Meets	20	34/1670/ <b>1199</b> /661	83.6/84.7/ <b>85.2</b> /84.4%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.5	4	62.5%	Meets	20	5 i, 10/0/1133/001	03.0/07.7/ <b>03.2</b> /04.470	3070
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		255/ <b>209</b> /133/69	70.2/ <b>74.2</b> /72.2/71%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		525/512/ <b>364</b> /193	79.4/81.4/ <b>81.6</b> /80.3%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		156/173/127/ <b>70</b>	64.7/56.6/60.6/ <b>68.6</b> %	80%
English Learners	0.75	1		Meets		125/99/ <b>72</b> /34	78.4/83.8/ <b>84.7</b> /82.4%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		6638	1.7%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		1222	19.6	20.1
Total	10.5	16	65.6%	Meets		1222	13.0	20.1
Iotai	10.5	10	03.076	MICCIS				

Scoring Guide Level: H

formance Indicat	orScorina Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Poi
,	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advar	nred was:						Total resolute per anni 1000	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-:			T	Exceeds	Ι	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile		seline).		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Approaching		2	content area)	
7.Cilic Vellicite	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bar	, ,			oes Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percent		h percentile was:		ocs meet	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.		<b>,</b>	Γ	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			D	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	yth nercentile and its median studer	nt arowth nercentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
Ciontii	• at or above 70.	in percentile and its inearan seaden	e growen percentare mass	Т	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			-	Approaching	2	1	_ proficiency)	
	• below 40.				oes Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student q	rowth nercentile and its median stu	dent arowth nercentile was:		ocs (voc wiece				
	• at or above 60.	ionen percentine ana ito incaran sea.	acine grower percentine wasi	I	Exceeds	Ī	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3	-	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				oes Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate si	tudent arowth percentile and its me	dian student arowth nercent		ocs meet	1		subgroups in 3	15
Growth Gups	• at or above 70.	ducing growin percentile and its me	aidii stadeiit growtii perceiit	1	Exceeds	1	4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			- t	Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.      below 55 but at or above 40.			+	Approaching		2	-	
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet		1	-	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/d	isaaareaated araduation		ocs (voc wiece	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	The school's graduation rate/al	suggregated graduation	Tute was.	Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			-	Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.75	-	
	• below 65%.				Does Not Meet	1	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				oes Not Meet		0.23	16	
	·			1	Cd-	ı	4		25
ostsecondary and		0.1 11 1			Exceeds		•	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines	i i i				Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-	10 baseline).			Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			D	Does Not Meet	L	1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	olorado ACT composite score w	ras:						
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds		4	_	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)				Meets		3	_	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)	) baseline).			Approaching		2	_	
	• below 17.			D	Does Not Meet		1		
-Points for each p	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	ype assignr	ment				
Cu	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	nis Indicator.		Cut Point:	The school	earned	of the to	otal Framework points eligible	e.
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or ab					Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		ove 47% - belo	ow 60%			Improvement
· -	• at or above 37.5% - below 87.5%	Approaching	Points		ove 33% - belo			p	riority Improveme
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	, onics	• below 3		J.V -7//U			Turnaround
	- DCIOW J1.J/U	DUES NUL WICEL		DCIOW 3					. urriur ouriu

Cut-Points for eac	h performan	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	ype assignment					
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or abov	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60% Perform					
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement				
	at or abov	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement				
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround				
School plan type a	ssignments									
		Plan description								
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Peri	formance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longe	r than a combined total of				
Improvement Plai	า	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or close	the school. The five				
Priority Improvem	ority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the									
Turnaround Plan	Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan. school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.									

3

SPF 2012 - 0020 - 4108

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0020 - 4108

# **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

# State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	81.3	82.7	83.4	84.4
Anticipated Year	2009	82.7	85.4	87.6	
of Graduation	2010	86.5	86.6		
	2011	85.6			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	68.7	70.6	70	71
Anticipated Year	2009	62.5	74.6	74.6	
of Graduation	2010	76.4	76.9		
	2011	73.1			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	77.6	78.9	79.5	80.3
Anticipated Year	2009	77.6	82.5	84	
of Graduation	2010	82.4	83.7		
	2011	81			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65.6	60	60.8	68.6
Anticipated Year	2009	61.5	52.7	60.4	
of Graduation	2010	71.9	56.3		
	2011	58.3			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	79.4	82.4	82.4	82.4
Anticipated Year	2009	74.4	84.2	86.8	
of Graduation	2010	84	85.2		
	2011	77.8			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	81.3	82.7	83.4	84.4
Anticipated Year	2009	82.7	85.4	87.6	
of Graduation	2010	86.5	86.6		
	2011	85.6			
	Aggregated	83.6	84.7	85.2	84.4

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	68.7	70.6	70	71
Anticipated Year	2009	62.5	74.6	74.6	
of Graduation	2010	76.4	76.9		
	2011	73.1			
	Aggregated	70.2	74.2	72.2	71

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	77.6	78.9	79.5	80.3
Anticipated Year	2009	77.6	82.5	84	
of Graduation	2010	82.4	83.7		
	2011	81			
	Aggregated	79.4	81.4	81.6	80.3

### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65.6	60	60.8	68.6
Anticipated Year	2009	61.5	52.7	60.4	
of Graduation	2010	71.9	56.3		
	2011	58.3			
	Aggregated	64.7	56.6	60.6	68.6

# English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	79.4	82.4	82.4	82.4
Anticipated Year	2009	74.4	84.2	86.8	
of Graduation	2010	84	85.2		
	2011	77.8			
	Aggregated	78.4	83.8	84.7	82.4

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0020 - 4108