School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP ACADEMY - 0263

District: MAPLETON 1 - 0010 (1 Year')

Priority Improvement

Will enter Year 3* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.3%	(8.7 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	31.7%	(11.1 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		44.0%	(44.0 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Studer	nts Tested			Participat	ion Rating			Student	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	98.9%	100.0%	98.9%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	91	125	87	303	92	125	88	305
Mathematics	98.9%	100.0%	98.9%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	91	125	87	303	92	125	88	305
Writing	98.9%	100.0%	98.9%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	91	125	87	303	92	125	88	305
Science	100.0%	100.0%	96.7%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	32	47	29	108	32	47	30	109
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	32	-	-	-	33	-





Level: EMH

^{*} on July 1, 2013

School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP ACADEMY	Performance Indicators							Level: Fl	ementary Schoo
Reading		ADEMY							
Reading	Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eliaible	% Points	Ratina	N	% Proficient/Advanced		
Mathematics		1				87			
Science 1		1	4			88	40.91	6	1
Total	Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	87	29.89	12	'
Reading Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Percentile Percentile Growth Mathematics 1 4 Does Not Meet 53 25 70 No Winding 2 4 Approaching 52 47 60 No Winding 6.5 14 46.4% Approaching 52 47 60 No Winding 6.5 14 46.4% Approaching 52 47 60 No Winding 6.5 14 46.4% Approaching 52 47 60 No Winding 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	30	10	5	'
Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Percentile Growth Reading 2 4 Approaching 52 48 52 No Mriting 2 4 Does Not Meet 53 25 70 No Writing 2 4 Approaching 52 47 60 No English Language Proficiency (CELApro) 1.5 2 Meets 94 48 39 Yes Total 6.5 14 46.4% Approaching Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup Median Adequate Made Ade	Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Mathematics 1 4 Does Not Meet 53 25 70 No Writing 2 4 Approaching 52 47 60 No English Language Proficiency (CELApro) 1.5 2 Meets 94 48 39 Yes Total 6.5 14 46.4% Approaching Subgroup Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile Made Adeq Growth Reading 9 16 56.3% Approaching 36 48 50 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 36 48 50 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 36 48 48 52 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - Nc20 - - - - - Nc Students with Disabilities 1 4 Approaching 31 47 69 No Mathematics <	Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	•	Made Adequate Growth?
Writing	Reading	2	4		Approaching	52	48	52	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro) 1.5 2	Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	53	25	70	No
Total 6.5	Writing	2	4		Approaching	52	47	60	No
Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Subgroup Median Growth Growth Growth Percentile Made Adea Growth Reading Reading 9 16 56.3% Approaching 36 48 50 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 48 48 52 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N N 2 4 No No English Learners 3 4 Meets 38 58 51 Yes Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 31 47 69 No Mathematics 4 16 25% Does Not Meet 37 32 64 No Minority Students 1 4 Does Not Meet 37 32 64 No Minority Students 1 4 Does Not Meet 39 25 70 No Students needing to catch up	English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	94	48	39	Yes
Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Percentile Growth Percentile Growth Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 36 48 50 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 48 48 52 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20	Total	6.5	14	46.4%	Approaching				
Reading 9 16 56.3% Approaching Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 36 48 50 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 48 48 52 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20	Academic Growth Gaps	Points Farned	Points Fliaible	% Points	Ratina		-		Made Adequate Growth?
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 36 48 50 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 48 48 52 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N×20 -	•								0.01.01.1
Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 48 48 52 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20						36	48	50	No
Students with Disabilities									
Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 31 47 69 No Mathematics 4 16 25% Does Not Meet			0		-				
Mathematics 4 16 25% Does Not Meet Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 1 4 Does Not Meet 37 32 64 No Minority Students 1 4 Does Not Meet 49 25 70 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N - No - - - - - - No - No	English Learners	3	4		Meets	38	58	51	Yes
Mathematics 4 16 25% Does Not Meet Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 1 4 Does Not Meet 37 32 64 No Minority Students 1 4 Does Not Meet 49 25 70 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N - No - - - - - - No - No	Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	31	47	69	No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 1 4 Does Not Meet 37 32 64 No Minority Students 1 4 Does Not Meet 49 25 70 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - No - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 -		1	4		Does Not Meet	37	32	64	No
English Learners 1 4 Does Not Meet 39 25 65 No Students needing to catch up 1 4 Does Not Meet 29 25 85 No Writing 9 16 56.3% Approaching Approaching<	Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	49	25	70	No
Students needing to catch up 1 4 Does Not Meet 29 25 85 No Writing 9 16 56.3% Approaching Secondary Approaching Approaching </td <td>Students with Disabilities</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>N<20</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing 9 16 56.3% Approaching Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 36 47 54 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 48 44 60 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20	English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	39	25	65	No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 36 47 54 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 48 44 60 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 -	Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	25	85	No
Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 48 44 60 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 -	Writing	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 -	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	36	47	54	No
English Learners 3 4 Meets 38 53 53 Yes	Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	48	44	60	No
	Students with Disabilities	0	0		=	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 39 43 68 No	English Learners	3	4		Meets	38	53	53	Yes
	Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	39	43	68	No

48

45.8%

Approaching

22

Total

Performance Indicators								l: Middle School
School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP ACA	DEMY						District: MAPLETO	N 1 - 0010 (1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	117	27.35	1	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	119	16.81	3	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	117	21.37	3	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	42	7.14	1	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	114	38	63	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	114	45	94	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	114	51	81	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	45	56	54	Yes
Total	6.5	14	46.4%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
A south and a Constant Constant						• •		· ·
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	Points Earned 6	Points Eligible 16	% Points 37.5%		N	<u>Percentile</u>	Growth Percentile	Growth?
<u> </u>				Approaching Does Not Meet	N 87	Percentile 37	Growth Percentile 65	Growth?
Reading	6	16		Approaching				
Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	6	16		Approaching Does Not Meet	87	37	65	No
Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	6 1 1	16 4 4		Approaching Does Not Meet	87 104	37 38	65 63	No No
Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	6 1 1 0	16 4 4 0		Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet -	87 104 N<20	37 38 -	65 63 -	No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	6 1 1 0 2	16 4 4 0 4		Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet - Approaching	87 104 N<20 79	37 38 - 49	65 63 - 68	No No - No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	6 1 1 0 2 2	16 4 4 0 4 4	37.5%	Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet - Approaching Approaching	87 104 N<20 79	37 38 - 49	65 63 - 68	No No - No
Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics	6 1 1 0 2 2	16 4 4 0 4 4 16	37.5%	Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching Approaching	87 104 N<20 79 86	37 38 - 49 43	65 63 - 68 72	No No - No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	6 1 1 0 2 2 2 8	16 4 4 0 4 4 16 4	37.5%	Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching	87 104 N<20 79 86	37 38 - 49 43	65 63 - 68 72	No No - No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	6 1 1 0 2 2 2 8 2	16 4 4 0 4 4 16 4	37.5%	Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching	87 104 N<20 79 86 87	37 38 - 49 43 48 44	65 63 - 68 72 94 94	No No - No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	6 1 1 0 2 2 2 8 2 2	16 4 4 0 4 4 16 4 0	37.5%	Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching	87 104 N<20 79 86 87 104 N<20	37 38 - 49 43 48 44	65 63 - 68 72 94 94	No No - No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	6 1 1 0 2 2 2 8 2 2 0 2	16 4 4 0 4 4 16 4 0 4	37.5%	Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching	87 104 N<20 79 86 87 104 N<20	37 38 - 49 43 48 44 - 45	65 63 - 68 72 94 94 - 94	No No No No No No No No No
Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	6 1 1 0 2 2 2 8 2 2 0 2	16 4 4 0 4 4 16 4 0 4 4	50%	Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	87 104 N<20 79 86 87 104 N<20	37 38 - 49 43 48 44 - 45	65 63 - 68 72 94 94 - 94	No No No No No No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing	6 1 1 0 2 2 8 2 2 0 2 2 2 8	16 4 4 0 4 16 4 4 0 4 16	50%	Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet - Approaching	87 104 N<20 79 86 87 104 N<20 80	37 38 - 49 43 48 44 - 45 47	65 63 - 68 72 94 94 - 94 94	No N
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	6 1 1 0 2 2 8 2 2 0 2 2 8 2 2	16 4 4 0 4 16 4 0 4 16 4 4 16 4	50%	Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet - Approaching	87 104 N<20 79 86 87 104 N<20 80 86	37 38 - 49 43 48 44 - 45 47	65 63 - 68 72 94 94 - 94 94 - 94	No N

Approaching

Approaching

82

Students needing to catch up

Total

2

22

4

48

45.8%

52

87

No

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Schoo
School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP ACADE	MY						District: MAPLETON	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	75	37.33	4	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	76	10.53	7	1
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	75	20	6	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	8.33	1	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4	7110000	Exceeds	67	67	63	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	67	69	99	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	68	62	95	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	49	56	73	No
Total	11.5	14	82.1%	Meets	.5		,,	140
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	50	63	63	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	66	66	63	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	47	64	75	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	41	68	91	No
Mathematics	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	50	75	99	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	66	67	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	47	60	99	No
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	58	70	99	No
Writing	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	51	59	92	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	67	62	94	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	47	63	96	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	49	66	98	No
Total	40	48	83.3%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet		31/33/23/ 30	54.8/57.6/56.5/ 63.3 %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0.75	3	25%	Does Not Meet	-		,,,	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		26 /24/N<16/19	61.5 /58.3/-/57.9%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		29/ 27 /17/20	55.2/ 63 /52.9/60%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<1	.6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		20 /19/N<16/N<16	60 /57.9/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching		224	7.6%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		32	14.9	20.0
		•					=	_0.0

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

Priority Improvement Plan

erformance Indicate	orScoring Guide			Ratin	g Poir	nt Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	velFramework Pol
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advan	nced was:						
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-	10 baseline).		Excee	S	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile.	ntile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	aseline).	Meet		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percer	ntile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	aseline).	Approac	ing	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	seline).		Does Not	∕leet	1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	entile and its median student growt	th percentile was:		TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.			Excee	s 4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meet	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approac	ing 2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not	Meet 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grov	wth percentile and its median stude	nt arowth percentile was:	<u>'</u>	TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.	. ,	. 3	Excee		2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meet		1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approac		1	- proncioney)	
	• below 40.			Does Not	-	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median stu	ident arowth percentile was:					
	• at or above 60.	percentile and its median sta	g. o percentile was.	Excee	s	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meet		3	_	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approac	_	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps		tudent growth percentile and its me	adian student growth norsen		vicce		subgroups in 3	15
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate si	tudent growth percentile and its me	edidii stadent growth percen	Excee	<u>. I</u>	4	- ·	15
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meet		3	subject areas)	
	below 55 but at or above 40. below 55 but at or above 40.					2	_	
				Approac		1	_	
	• below 40.	The sale allowed allowed	P	Does Not	_			_
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/a	iisaggregatea graauation		Overal	- 00	•	
	• at or above 90%.			Excee		1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meet		0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approac		0.5	_	
	• below 65%.			Does Not	vleet 1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						16	
ostsecondary and	at or below 1%.			Excee	S	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-1	0 baseline).		Meet		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-	10 baseline).		Approac	ing	2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not	∕leet	1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	Colorado ACT composite score v	vas:					
	• at or above 22.	<u>'</u>		Excee	s I	4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)) baseline).		Meet	_	3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10).			Approac		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not	_	1	_	
Balata Carranta			O I Daily for all and					
_	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t					
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the				ool earned	of the t	otal Framework points eligi	
chievement;	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		at or above 60%				Performance
Frowth; Gaps	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	at or above 47%				Improvement
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	at or above 33%	below 47%			Priority Improveme
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%				Turnaround
ool plan type assi	gnments							
	Plan description							
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan.	A school may not impleme	nt a Priority Improve	nent and/or 1	urnaround	Plan for longer than a combined	l total of
ormanice riali	e serioor is required to adopt and implement a ref		7. 36.1001 may not impleme	and a rifferity improver	iciit aiiu/01 1	amaround	Tian for longer than a combined	total of

5

SPF 2012 - 0010 - 0263

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0010 - 0263

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	51.6	58.1	61.3	63.3
Anticipated Year	2009	52.2	56.5	56.5	
of Graduation	2010	48.6	57.6		
	2011	54.8			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	45	52.6	57.9	57.9
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	50	58.3		
	2011	61.5			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	47.6	52.4	57.1	60
Anticipated Year	2009	47.1	52.9	52.9	
of Graduation	2010	51.7	63		
	2011	55.2			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	52.6	57.9		
	2011	60			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This School's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	51.6	58.1	61.3	63.3
Anticipated Year	2009	52.2	56.5	56.5	
of Graduation	2010	48.6	57.6		
	2011	54.8			
	Aggregated	51.7	57.5	59.3	63.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	45	52.6	57.9	57.9
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	50	58.3		
	2011	61.5			
	Aggregated	54.1	57.9	60.6	57.9

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	47.6	52.4	57.1	60
Anticipated Year	2009	47.1	52.9	52.9	
of Graduation	2010	51.7	63		
	2011	55.2			
	Aggregated	51	56.9	55.3	60

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

7

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	52.6	57.9		
	2011	60			
	Aggregated	54.7	58.1	62.5	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0010 - 0263